UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

XANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

Commission file number: <u>1-7182</u>

MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC.

	MERRILL LYNCH &	CO., INC.					
	(Exact name of Registrant as spec	cified in its charter)					
Delaware 13-2740599							
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)		(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)					
Bank of America Corporate Cente 100 N. Tryon Street Charlotte, North Carolina	r	28255					
(Address of principal executive offices)		(Zip Code)					
	(704) 386-5681						
	Registrant's telephone number, inc	cluding area code:					
Securities registered pursuant to Sect	ion 12(b) of the Act:						
Title of Each Class		Name of Each Exchange	on Which Registered				
respect thereto); Trust Preferred Securities of Merrill Lyncl with respect thereto);	n Capital Trust I (and the guarantee of the Registrant with n Capital Trust II (and the guarantee of the Registrant n Capital Trust III (and the guarantee of the Registrant	New York Sto	ck Exchange				
	Securities registered pursuant to Section	on 12(g) of the Act: None					
Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a we	ll-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securitie	es Act.					
_ YES <u>X</u> NO							
	equired to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of	f the Exchange Act.					
_ YES X NO							
	1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or $15(d cherry reports)$, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements		the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter				
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant h Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) durin	as submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site ag the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the r						
	nt filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not containe ence in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this For		the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy				
	a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated any" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):	filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the def	initions of "large accelerated filer,"				
Large accelerated filer _	Accelerated filer	Non-accelerated filer \underline{X} check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company _				
Indicate by check mark whether the Deritoret							
_ YES X NO	s a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Ad	ci).					
	ere was no voting common equity of the Registrant ("Common"	Stock") held by non-affiliates. The Registrant has	no non-voting Common Stock.				
	3. there were 1.000 shares of Common Stock outstanding, all of		-				

As of the close of business on February 28, 2013, there were 1,000 shares of Common Stock outstanding, all of which were held by Bank of America Corporation. The Registrant is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America Corporation and meets the conditions set forth in General Instructions I(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K and is therefore filing this Form with a reduced disclosure format as permitted by Instruction I (2).

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Part I</u>	
Item 1. Business	<u>3</u>
Item 1A. Risk Factors	<u>4</u>
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	<u>21</u>
Item 2. Properties	<u>22</u>
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	<u>22</u>
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>22</u>
Part II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>23</u>
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	23
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Introduction	<u>25</u>
Executive Overview	26
Results of Operations	<u>29</u>
Off-Balance Sheet Exposures	<u>32</u>
Funding and Liquidity	39
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	43
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>50</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	50
Consolidated Financial Statements	_
Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss)	<u>51</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income	52
Consolidated Balance Sheets	53
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholder's Equity	55
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>56</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>57</u>
	<u>57</u>
Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	<u> </u>
Note 2. Transactions with Bank of America	<u>68</u>
Note 3. Segment and Geographic Information	<u>70</u>
Note 4. Fair Value Disclosures	<u>71</u>
Note 5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments	<u>82</u>
Note 6. Derivatives	<u>84</u>
Note 7. Securities Financing Transactions	<u>96</u>
Note 8. Investment Securities	<u>97</u>
Note 9. Securitizations and Other Variable Interest Entities ("VIEs")	<u>100</u>
Note 10. Loans, Notes and Mortgages	<u>106</u>
Note 11. Goodwill and Intangible Assets	<u>109</u>
Note 12. Borrowings and Deposits	<u>110</u>
Note 13. Stockholder's Equity and Earnings Per Share	<u>114</u>
Note 14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees	<u>115</u>
Note 15. Employee Benefit Plans	<u>130</u>
Note 16. Employee Incentive Plans	<u>138</u>
Note 17. Income Taxes	<u>142</u>
Note 18. Regulatory Requirements	<u>145</u>
Note 19. Quarterly Information (Unaudited)	<u>146</u>
Note 20. Sale of International Wealth Management Businesses and Brokerage Joint Venture Investment	<u>146</u>
Note 21. Parent Company Information	<u>147</u>
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>150</u>
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	<u>150</u>
Item 9B. Other Information	<u>151</u>
Part III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>151</u>
Item 11. Executive Compensation	<u>151</u>

EX-31.1 EX-31.2 EX-32.1 EX-32.2 EX-101

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	<u>151</u>
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	<u>151</u>
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	<u>151</u>
Part IV	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>153</u>
Signatures	<u>154</u>
EX-12	
EX-23	
EX-24	

PART I

Item 1. Business

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. ("ML & Co." and together with its subsidiaries, "Merrill Lynch", or the "Company") is a holding company that, through its subsidiaries, is one of the world's leading capital markets, advisory and wealth management companies. The Company was founded in 1914 and became a publicly traded company on June 23, 1971. In 1973, the holding company ML & Co., a Delaware corporation, was created. Through our subsidiaries, we are a leading global market-maker and underwriter of securities and derivatives across a broad range of asset classes, and we serve as a strategic advisor to corporations, governments, institutions and individuals worldwide. When used in this report, "we", "us" and "our" may refer to ML & Co. individually, ML & Co. and its subsidiaries, or certain of ML & Co.'s subsidiaries or affiliates.

We are a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America Corporation ("Bank of America") and meet the requirements to omit certain information from this Form 10-K as permitted by General Instruction I (2) of Form 10-K. We have also provided a brief description of our business activities in Item 1 as permitted by General Instruction I (2).

Pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 280, Segment Reporting ("Segment Reporting"), operating segments represent components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available that is regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision maker in determining how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The business activities of Merrill Lynch are included within certain of the operating segments of Bank of America. Detailed financial information of the nature that could be used to allocate resources and assess the performance and operations for components of Merrill Lynch, however, is not provided to Merrill Lynch's chief operating decision maker. As a result, Merrill Lynch does not contain any identifiable operating segments under Segment Reporting, and therefore the financial information of Merrill Lynch is presented as a single segment.

The following is a brief discussion of the nature and scope of our activities in 2012.

Capital Markets and Advisory Activities. We conduct sales and trading activities and act as a market maker in securities, derivatives, currencies, and other financial instruments to satisfy client demands. In addition, we distribute fixed income, currency, commodity and equity products, and derivatives. We also provide clients with financing, securities clearing, settlement, and custody services, and engage in select principal investing activities.

We also assist clients in raising capital through underwritings and private placements of equity, debt and related securities, and loan syndications and offer advisory services to clients on strategic issues, valuation, mergers, acquisitions and restructurings.

Wealth and Investment Management Activities. We provide brokerage, investment advisory and financial planning services, offering a broad range of both proprietary and third-party wealth management products and services globally to individuals, small- to mid-size businesses, and employee benefit plans. We also create and manage wealth management products, including alternative investment products for clients.

Research. We also provide a variety of research services on a global basis. These services are at the core of the value proposition we offer to institutional and individual investor clients and are an integral component of our product offerings.

For additional information about our business, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Regulation

Certain aspects of our business, and the business of our competitors and the financial services industry in general, are subject to extensive regulation by United States ("U.S.") federal and state regulatory agencies and securities exchanges and by various non-U.S. government agencies or regulatory bodies, securities exchanges, self-regulatory organizations and central banks.



United States Regulatory Oversight and Supervision

Holding Company Supervision

As a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America, a bank holding company that is also a financial holding company, we are subject to the oversight of, and inspection by, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve").

Broker-Dealer Regulation

Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("MLPF&S"), Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corp. ("ML Pro") and certain other subsidiaries of ML & Co. are registered as broker-dealers with the Securities Exchange Commission ("SEC") and, as such, are subject to regulation by the SEC and by self-regulatory organizations, such as the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority. Certain of our subsidiaries and affiliates, including MLPF&S, are registered as investment advisors with the SEC.

Our subsidiaries that are broker-dealers registered with the SEC are subject to Rule 15c3-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") which is designed to measure the general financial condition and liquidity of a broker-dealer. Under this rule, these entities are required to maintain the minimum net capital deemed necessary to meet broker-dealers' continuing commitments to customers and others. Under certain circumstances, this rule limits the ability of such broker-dealers to allow withdrawal of such capital by ML & Co. or other Merrill Lynch subsidiaries. Additional information regarding certain net capital requirements is in Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Financial Reform Act

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Financial Reform Act") was signed into law. As a result of the Financial Reform Act, several significant regulatory developments occurred in 2012, and additional regulatory developments may occur in 2013 and beyond. The Financial Reform Act has impacted and will continue to impact our earnings through revenue reductions, higher costs and imposition of new restrictions on us. For a description of significant developments, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Executive Overview - Other Events - Financial Reform Act" in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

Non-U.S. Regulatory Oversight and Supervision

Our business is also subject to extensive regulation by various non-U.S. regulators including governments, securities exchanges, central banks and other regulatory bodies. Certain of our subsidiaries are regulated as broker-dealers under the laws of the jurisdictions in which they operate. Subsidiaries engaged in banking and trust activities outside the U.S. are regulated by various government entities in the particular jurisdiction where they are chartered, incorporated and/or conduct their business activities. In some cases, the legislative and regulatory developments outside the U.S. applicable to these subsidiaries may have an impact on our business and results of operations. Our financial services operations in the United Kingdom ("U.K.") are subject to regulation by and supervision of the Financial Services Authority (the "FSA"). In July of 2010, the U.K. proposed abolishing the FSA and replacing it with the Financial Policy Committee within the Bank of England (the "FPC") and two new regulators, the Prudential Regulatory Authority (the "PRA") and the Consumer Protection and Markets Authority (the "CPMA"). Under the proposal, our U.K. regulated entities will be subject to the supervision of the FPC and the PRA for prudential matters and the CPMA for conduct of business matters. The new financial regulatory structure is scheduled to be formally established on April 1, 2013. We continue to monitor the development and potential impact of this regulatory restructuring.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In the course of conducting our business operations, we are exposed to a variety of risks, some of which are inherent in the financial services industry and others of which are more specific to our own businesses. The discussion below addresses the most significant factors of which we are aware that could affect our businesses,



operations, and financial condition. Additional factors that could affect our financial condition and operations are discussed in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Forward-Looking Statements." However, other factors not discussed below or elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K could also adversely affect our businesses, operations, and financial condition. Therefore, the risk factors below should not be considered a complete list of potential risks that we may face.

Any risk factor described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or in any of our other SEC filings could by itself, or together with other factors, materially adversely affect our liquidity, cash flows, competitive position, business, results of operations and financial condition.

General Economic and Market Conditions Risk

Our businesses and results of operations may be adversely affected by the U.S. and international financial markets and economic conditions generally. Our businesses and results of operations are affected by the financial markets and general economic conditions in the U.S. and abroad, including factors such as the level and volatility of short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, home prices, unemployment and under-employment levels, bankruptcies, household income, consumer spending, fluctuations in both debt and equity capital markets, liquidity of the global financial markets, the availability and cost of capital and credit, investor sentiment and confidence in the financial markets, European sovereign debt risks and the strength of the U.S. economy and the non-U.S. economies in which we operate. The deterioration of any of these conditions could adversely affect our business and securities portfolios, our level of charge-offs and provision for credit losses, the carrying value of our deferred tax assets, our capital levels and liquidity and our results of operations.

Continued elevated unemployment, under-employment and household debt, along with continued stress in the consumer real estate market and certain commercial real estate markets in the U.S., pose challenges for domestic economic performance and the financial services industry. The sustained high unemployment rate and the lengthy duration of unemployment have directly impaired consumer finances and pose risks to the financial services industry. Continued uncertainty in the housing markets and elevated levels of distressed and delinquent mortgages pose further risks to the housing market. The current environment of heightened scrutiny of financial institutions has resulted in increased public awareness of and sensitivity to financial services industry fees and practices. Each of these factors may adversely affect our fees and costs.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the potential inability to meet our contractual and contingent financial obligations, on- or off-balance sheet, as they become due.

Adverse changes to Bank of America's or our credit ratings from the major credit rating agencies could significantly limit our access to funding or the capital markets, increase our borrowing costs, or trigger additional collateral or funding requirements. Our borrowing costs and ability to raise funds are directly impacted by our credit ratings. In addition, credit ratings may be important to customers or counterparties when we compete in certain markets and when we seek to engage in certain transactions, including over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives.

Credit ratings and outlooks are opinions expressed by rating agencies on our creditworthiness and that of our obligations or securities, including longterm debt, short-term borrowings and other securities, including asset securitizations. Following the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America, the major credit rating agencies have indicated that the primary drivers of Merrill Lynch's credit ratings are Bank of America's credit ratings. Bank of America's credit ratings are subject to ongoing review by the rating agencies, which consider a number of factors, including Bank of America's financial strength, performance, prospects and operations as well as factors not under Bank of America's control.

On June 21, 2012, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") completed its previously-announced review for possible downgrade of financial institutions with global capital markets operations, downgrading the ratings of 15 banks and securities firms, including the ratings of Bank of America and ML & Co. Bank of America's and ML &

Table of Contents

Co.'s long-term debt ratings were downgraded one notch as part of this action. Each of the three major rating agencies downgraded the ratings of Bank of America and ML & Co. in late 2011.

Currently, Bank of America's and ML & Co.'s long-term/short-term senior debt ratings and outlooks expressed by the rating agencies are as follows: Baa2/P-2 (negative) by Moody's; A-/A-2 (negative) by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P"); and A/F1 (stable) by Fitch Ratings ("Fitch"). The rating agencies could make further adjustments to Bank of America's (and consequently, our) credit ratings at any time. There can be no assurance that additional downgrades will not occur.

A further reduction in certain of our credit ratings could negatively affect our liquidity, access to credit markets, the related cost of funds, our businesses and certain trading revenues, particularly in those businesses where counterparty creditworthiness is critical. If Bank of America's or Merrill Lynch's (including our bank's or broker dealer subsidiaries') short-term credit ratings were downgraded by one or more levels, we may suffer the potential loss of access to short-term funding sources such as repurchase agreement financing and/or increased cost of funds.

In addition, under the terms of certain OTC derivative contracts and other trading agreements, in the event of a further downgrade of the credit ratings of ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries, counterparties to those agreements may require ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries to provide additional collateral, terminate these contracts or agreements, or provide other remedies. At December 31, 2012, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by one incremental notch, the amount of additional collateral contractually required by derivative contracts and other trading agreements would have been approximately \$0.4 billion. If the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by a second incremental notch, approximately \$4.0 billion in additional incremental collateral would have been required.

Also, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by one incremental notch, the derivative liability that would be subject to unilateral termination by counterparties as of December 31, 2012 was \$1.9 billion, against which \$1.2 billion of collateral has been posted. If the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by a second incremental notch, the derivative liability that would be subject to unilateral termination by the counterparties as of December 31, 2012 was an incremental \$1.3 billion, against which \$0.7 billion of collateral has been posted.

While certain potential impacts are contractual and quantifiable, the full consequences of a credit ratings downgrade to a financial institution are inherently uncertain, as they depend upon numerous dynamic, complex and inter-related factors and assumptions, including whether any downgrade of a firm's long-term credit ratings precipitates downgrades to its short-term credit ratings, and assumptions about the potential behaviors of various customers, investors and counterparties.

For a further discussion of our liquidity matters, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Funding and Liquidity."

If we or Bank of America are unable to access the capital markets or our borrowing costs increase, our liquidity and competitive position will be negatively affected. Liquidity is essential to our business. We fund our assets primarily with a mix of secured and unsecured liabilities through a globally coordinated funding strategy with Bank of America. We have established intercompany lending and borrowing arrangements to facilitate centralized liquidity management. As a result, our liquidity risk is derived in large part from Bank of America's liquidity risk. Bank of America's and our liquidity could be adversely affected by any inability to access the capital markets; illiquidity or volatility in the capital markets; unforeseen outflows of cash, including customer deposits, funding for commitments and contingencies; the ability to sell assets on favorable terms; increased liquidity requirements on our banking or nonbanking subsidiaries imposed by their home countries; or negative perceptions about Bank of America's and our short- or long-term business prospects, including downgrades of credit ratings. Several of these factors may arise due to circumstances that neither we nor Bank of America may be able to control, such as a general market disruption, negative views about the financial services industry generally, changes in the regulatory environment, actions by credit rating agencies or an operational problem that affects third parties, us or Bank of America.

Our and Bank of America's cost of obtaining funding is directly related to prevailing market interest rates and to credit spreads. Credit spreads are the amount in excess of the interest rate of U.S. Treasury securities, or other benchmark securities, of a similar maturity that we or Bank of America need to pay to funding providers. Increases in interest rates and such credit spreads can significantly increase the cost of funding for us and Bank of America. Changes in credit spreads are market-driven and may be influenced by market perceptions of the creditworthiness of us and Bank of America. Changes to interest rates and credit spreads occur continuously and may be unpredictable and highly volatile.

For additional information about our liquidity, including credit ratings and outlooks, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Funding and Liquidity."

Our dependence upon funds from our subsidiaries and our parent could adversely impact our liquidity. ML & Co. is a holding company that is a separate and distinct legal entity from its parent, Bank of America, and our broker-dealers and other subsidiaries. We evaluate and manage liquidity on a legal entity basis. Legal entity liquidity is an important consideration as there are legal and other limitations on our ability to utilize liquidity from one legal entity to satisfy the liquidity requirements of another, including ML & Co. For instance, ML & Co. depends on dividends, distributions and borrowings or other payments from its subsidiaries and may depend in large part on financing from Bank of America to fund payments on our obligations, including debt obligations. Bank of America may, in some instances, be unable to provide us with the funding we need to fund payments on our obligations. Many of our subsidiaries, including our broker-dealer subsidiaries, are subject to laws that restrict dividend payments to ML & Co. In addition, our broker-dealer subsidiaries are subject to restrictions on their ability to use funds deposited with them in brokerage accounts to fund their businesses. Additional restrictions on related party transactions, increased capital and liquidity requirements and additional limitations of ML & Co. and even require ML & Co. to provide additional funding to such subsidiaries. Regulatory action of that kind could impede access to funds we need to make payments on our obligations. In addition, our right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary's creditors. For additional information about regulatory capital requirements and limitations on our subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends, see Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Mortgage and Housing Market-Related Risk

Our mortgage loan repurchase obligations or claims from third parties could result in additional material losses. In connection with residential mortgage loans sold directly to government sponsored enterprises (the "GSEs"), and residential mortgage loans sold to investors other than GSEs as whole loans or private-label securitizations, Merrill Lynch and certain of its subsidiaries made various representations and warranties, breaches of which may result in a requirement that we repurchase the mortgage loans or otherwise make whole or provide other remedies to counterparties. For example, Merrill Lynch and certain of its subsidiaries, including First Franklin Financial Corporation ("First Franklin"), sold approximately \$132 billion in original principal balance of such loans originated from 2004 to 2008 (primarily subprime and alt-A) through private-label securitizations or whole loan sales. Most of the loans sold in the form of whole loans were subsequently pooled into private-label securitizations sponsored by the third-party buyer of the whole loans. For the outstanding balance of these loans as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Off-Balance Sheet Exposures - Representations and Warranties - Experience with Non-GSE Investors" in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K. In addition, Merrill Lynch and First Franklin securitized first-lien residential mortgage loans, generally in the form of mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") guaranteed by the GSEs.

At December 31, 2012, the total notional amount of our unresolved representations and warranties repurchase claims from all sources totaled approximately \$6.0 billion compared with \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2011. The total amount of our recorded liability related to representations and warranties repurchase exposures was \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2012. We currently estimate that the range of possible loss for representations and warranties exposures could be up to \$1.1 billion over accruals at December 31, 2012. This range of possible loss does not represent a probable loss. For information concerning the changes to our liability for representations and

warranties recorded in 2012 and 2011 for our repurchase exposure on private-label securitizations, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Our estimated liability and range of possible loss for representations and warranties exposures is based on currently available information and is necessarily dependent on, and limited by, a number of factors, including our historical claims and settlement experience and the experience of our affiliates with non-GSE counterparties, projections of future defaults and, for private-label securitizations, the implied repurchase experience based on the BNY Mellon Settlement (as defined below), as well as significant judgment and a number of assumptions that are subject to change, including the assumption that the conditions to the BNY Mellon Settlement are satisfied. As a result, our liability and estimated range of possible loss related to our representations and warranties exposures may materially change in the future based on factors beyond our control. Future provisions and/or estimated ranges of possible loss for representations and warranties may be significantly impacted if actual experiences are different from our assumptions in our predictive models, including, without limitation, the ultimate resolution of the BNY Mellon Settlement, estimated repurchase rates, economic conditions, home prices, consumer and counterparty behavior, and a variety of judgmental factors. In addition, we have not recorded any representations and warranties liability for certain private-label securitizations sponsored by whole-loan investors, where we have little to no claim experience.

Adverse developments with respect to one or more of the assumptions underlying the liability for representations and warranties and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss could result in significant increases to future provisions and/or the estimated range of possible loss. For example, if courts, in the context of claims brought by private-label securitization trustees, were to disagree with our interpretation that the underlying agreements require a claimant to prove that the representations and warranties breach was the cause of the loss, it could significantly impact the estimated range of possible loss. Additionally, if recent court rulings related to monoline litigation, including one related to an affiliate of ours, that have allowed sampling of loan files instead of requiring a loan-by-loan review to determine if a representations and warranties breach has occurred, are followed generally by the courts in other monoline litigation, private-label securitization counterparties may view litigation as a more attractive alternative compared to loan-by-loan review.

If future representations and warranties losses occur in excess of our recorded liability and estimated range of possible loss, including as a result of the factors set forth above, such losses could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

The liability for representations and warranties exposures and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss for these representations and warranties exposures do not consider any losses related to litigation matters disclosed in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, nor do they include any potential securities law or fraud claims or potential indemnity or other claims against us. Merrill Lynch is not able to reasonably estimate the amount of any possible loss with respect to any such securities law, fraud or other claims against us, except to the extent reflected in the aggregate range of possible loss for litigation and regulatory matters disclosed in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements; however, such loss could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

For additional information about our representations and warranties exposure, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Off-Balance Sheet Exposures — Representations and Warranties" and Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our representations and warranties losses could be substantially higher than existing accruals and the existing estimated range of possible loss for representations and warranties liability if court approval of the BNY Mellon Settlement is not obtained or if it is otherwise abandoned. On June 28, 2011, Bank of America and certain of its non-Merrill Lynch subsidiaries entered into a settlement agreement with The Bank of New York Mellon ("BNY Mellon"), as trustee, to resolve, among other claims, all outstanding and potential claims related to alleged representations and warranties breaches (including repurchase claims) with respect to the 525 legacy first-lien and five second-lien non-GSE residential mortgage-backed securitization trusts containing loans principally originated between 2004 and 2008 and for which BNY Mellon acts as trustee or indenture trustee (the "BNY Mellon Settlement"). The BNY Mellon Settlement is subject to final court approval and certain other conditions. Although

the final court hearings on the settlement are scheduled to begin on May 2, 2013, we cannot currently predict the timing or ultimate outcome of the court approval process, which can include appeals and could take a substantial period of time. There can be no assurance that final court approval of the BNY Mellon Settlement will be obtained, that all conditions will be satisfied (including the receipt of private letter rulings from the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") and other tax rulings and opinions) or, if certain conditions to the BNY Mellon Settlement permitting withdrawal are met, that Bank of America and certain of its non-Merrill Lynch subsidiaries will not withdraw from the BNY Mellon Settlement agreement.

If final court approval is not obtained with respect to the BNY Mellon Settlement, or if Bank of America and certain of its non-Merrill Lynch subsidiaries determine to withdraw from the BNY Mellon Settlement agreement in accordance with its terms, Merrill Lynch's future representations and warranties losses with respect to non-GSEs could substantially exceed our non-GSE reserve, together with our estimated range of reasonably possible loss for all representations and warranties exposures of up to \$1.1 billion over existing accruals at December 31, 2012. Developments with respect to one or more of the assumptions underlying the estimated range of possible loss for representations and warranties (including the timing and ultimate outcome of the court approval process relating to the BNY Mellon Settlement) could result in significant increases in our non-GSE reserve and/or this estimated range of possible loss. For additional information regarding the BNY Mellon Settlement, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Off-Balance Sheet Exposures - Representations and Warranties".

If the U.S. housing market weakens or home prices decline, our consumer loan portfolios, credit quality, credit losses, representations and warranties exposures, and earnings may be adversely affected. Although U.S. home prices have shown signs of improvement during 2012, the declines over the past several years negatively impacted the credit performance of certain of our portfolios.

Conditions in the U.S. housing market over the past several years also resulted in significant write-downs of asset values in several asset classes, notably mortgage-backed securities and exposure to monolines. If the U.S. housing market were to weaken, the value of real estate could decline, which could negatively affect our exposure to representations and warranties. While there were indications in 2012 that the U.S. economy is stabilizing, the performance of our overall portfolios may not significantly improve in the near future. A protracted continuation or worsening of difficult U.S. housing market conditions may exacerbate the adverse effects outlined above and could have a significant adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss arising from a borrower, obligor or counterparty default when a borrower, obligor or counterparty does not meet its obligations.

Economic or market disruptions, insufficient credit loss reserves or concentration of credit risk, may necessitate an increase in the provision for credit losses, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. When we buy debt securities, loan money, commit to loan money or enter into a letter of credit or other contract with a counterparty, we incur credit risk, or the risk of losses if our borrowers do not repay their loans or our counterparties fail to perform according to the terms of their agreements. A number of our products expose us to credit risk, including loans, lending commitments, derivatives, trading account assets and assets held-for-sale. The credit quality of our portfolios has a significant impact on our earnings.

Global and U.S. economic conditions may impact our credit portfolios. To the extent economic or market disruptions occur, such disruptions would likely increase our credit exposure to customers, obligors or other counterparties due to the increased risk that they may default on their obligations to us.

We estimate and establish an allowance for credit losses for losses inherent in our lending activities (including unfunded lending commitments), excluding those measured at fair value, through a charge to earnings. The amount of allowance is determined based on our evaluation of the potential credit losses included within our loan portfolio. The process for determining the amount of the allowance requires difficult, subjective and complex judgments. Our ability to assess future economic conditions or the creditworthiness of our customers, obligors or other counterparties is imperfect. We may suffer unexpected losses if the models and assumptions we use to establish



reserves and make judgments in extending credit to our borrowers and other counterparties become less predictive of future events. In such an event, we might need to increase the size of our allowance, which reduces our earnings.

In the ordinary course of our business, we also may be subject to a concentration of credit risk in a particular industry, country, counterparty, borrower or issuer. A deterioration in the financial condition or prospects of a particular industry or a failure or downgrade of, or default by, any particular entity or group of entities could negatively affect our businesses, and the processes by which we set limits and monitor the level of our credit exposure to individual entities, industries and countries may not function as we have anticipated. While our activities expose us to many different industries and counterparties, we routinely execute a high volume of transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including broker-dealers, commercial banks, investment funds and insurers. This has resulted in significant credit concentration with respect to this industry.

In the ordinary course of business, we also enter into transactions with sovereign nations, U.S. states and U.S. municipalities. Unfavorable economic or political conditions, disruptions to capital markets, currency fluctuations, social instability and changes in government policies could impact the operating budgets or credit ratings of sovereign nations, U.S. states and U.S. municipalities and expose us to credit risk.

For additional information about our credit risk and credit risk management policies and procedures, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk — Credit Risk Management."

We could suffer losses and our ability to engage in routine trading and funding transactions could be adversely affected as a result of the actions or deterioration in the commercial soundness of our counterparties and other financial services institutions. We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and we routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including broker-dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, mutual and hedge funds and other institutional clients. Financial services institutions and other counterparties are inter-related because of trading, funding, clearing or other relationships. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services institutions, or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to significant future liquidity problems, including losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of a counterparty or client. In addition, our credit risk may be impacted when the collateral held by us cannot be realized or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivatives exposure due us.

Our derivatives businesses may expose us to unexpected risks and potential losses. We are party to a large number of derivatives transactions, including credit derivatives. Our derivatives businesses may expose us to unexpected market, credit and operational risks that could cause us to suffer unexpected losses. Severe declines in asset values, unanticipated credit events or unforeseen circumstances that may cause previously uncorrelated factors to become correlated (and vice versa) may create losses resulting from risks not appropriately taken into account in the development, structuring or pricing of a derivative instrument. The terms of certain of our OTC derivative contracts and other trading agreements provide that upon the occurrence of certain specified events, such as a change in our credit ratings, we may be required to provide additional collateral or to provide other remedies, or our counterparties may have the right to terminate or otherwise diminish our rights under these contracts or agreements.

Many derivative instruments are individually negotiated and non-standardized, which can make exiting, transferring or settling some positions difficult. Many derivatives require that we deliver to the counterparty the underlying security, loan or other obligation in order to receive payment. In a number of cases, we do not hold, and may not be able to obtain, the underlying security, loan or other obligation.

In the event of a further downgrade of the credit ratings of ML & Co. and certain subsidiaries, certain derivative and other counterparties may request we substitute our affiliate, Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA") as counterparty for certain derivative contracts and other trading agreements. Our ability to substitute or make changes to these agreements to meet counterparties' requests may be subject to certain limitations, including counterparty willingness, regulatory limitations on naming BANA as the new counterparty, and the type or amount of collateral required. It is possible that such limitations on our ability to substitute or make changes to these agreements, including naming BANA as the new counterparty, could adversely affect our results of operations.

Derivatives contracts and other transactions entered into with third parties are not always confirmed by the counterparties or settled on a timely basis. While a transaction remains unconfirmed or during any delay in settlement, we are subject to heightened credit and operational risk and in the event of default may find it more difficult to enforce the contract. In addition, as new and more complex derivatives products have been created, covering a wider array of underlying credit and other instruments, disputes about the terms of the underlying contracts may arise, which could impair our ability to effectively manage our risk exposures from these products and subject us to increased costs.

For additional information on our derivatives exposure, see Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Market Risk

Market risk is the risk that values of assets and liabilities or revenues will be adversely affected by changes in market conditions such as market volatility. Market risk is inherent in the financial instruments associated with our operations, including loans, deposits, securities, short-term borrowings, long-term debt, trading account assets and liabilities, and derivatives.

Negative changes in the levels of market volatility and other financial or capital market conditions may increase our market risk. Our liquidity, cash flows, competitive position, business, results of operations and financial condition are affected by market risk factors such as changes in interest and currency exchange rates, equity and futures prices, the implied volatility of interest rates, credit spreads and other economic and business factors. These market risks may adversely affect, among other things, (i) the value of our on- and off-balance sheet securities, trading assets, and other financial instruments, (ii) the cost of debt capital and our access to credit markets, (iii) the value of assets under management, (iv) fee income relating to assets under management, (v) customer allocation of capital among investment alternatives, (vi) the volume of client activity in our trading operations, (vii) investment banking fees, and (viii) the general profitability and risk level of the transactions in which we engage. For example, the value of curtain of our assets is sensitive to changes in market interest rates. If the Federal Reserve changes or signals a change in its current mortgage securities repurchase program, market interest rates could be affected, which could adversely impact the value of such assets.

We use various models and strategies to assess and control our market risk exposures but those are subject to inherent limitations. Our models, which rely on historical trends and assumptions, may not be sufficiently predictive of future results due to limited historical patterns, extreme or unanticipated market movements and illiquidity, especially during severe market downturns or stress events. The models that we use to assess and control our market risk exposures also reflect assumptions about the degree of correlation among prices of various asset classes or other market indicators.

In times of market stress or other unforeseen circumstances, such as the market conditions experienced in 2008 and 2009, previously uncorrelated indicators may become correlated, or previously correlated indicators may move in different directions. These types of market movements have at times limited the effectiveness of our hedging strategies and have caused us to incur significant losses, and they may do so in the future. These changes in correlation can be exacerbated where other market participants are using risk or trading models with assumptions or algorithms that are similar to ours. In these and other cases, it may be difficult to reduce our risk positions due to the activity of other market participants or widespread market dislocations, including circumstances where asset values are declining significantly or no market exists for certain assets. To the extent that we own securities that do not have an established liquid trading market or are otherwise subject to restrictions on sale or hedging, we may not be able to reduce our positions and therefore reduce our risk associated with such positions. In addition, challenging market conditions may also adversely affect our investment banking fees.

For additional information about market risk and our market risk management policies and procedures, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" in Part II, Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

Further downgrades in the U.S. government's sovereign credit rating, or in the credit ratings of instruments issued, insured or guaranteed by related institutions, agencies or instrumentalities, could result in risks to Merrill Lynch and its credit ratings and general economic conditions that we are not able to predict.

On June 8, 2012, S&P affirmed its AA+ long-term and A-1+ short-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S. government. The outlook remains negative. On July 10, 2012, Fitch affirmed its AAA long-term and F1+ short-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S. government. The outlook remains negative. Moody's also rates the U.S. government AAA with a negative outlook. All three rating agencies have indicated that they will continue to assess fiscal projections and consolidation measures, as well as the medium-term economic outlook for the U.S.

There continues to be the perceived risk of a sovereign credit ratings downgrade of the U.S. government, including the ratings of U.S. Treasury securities and other government-backed securities. It is foreseeable that the ratings and perceived creditworthiness of instruments issued, insured or guaranteed by institutions, agencies or instrumentalities directly linked to the U.S. government could also be correspondingly affected by any such downgrade. Instruments of this nature are key assets on the balance sheets of financial institutions, including Merrill Lynch, and are widely used as collateral by financial institutions to meet their day-to-day cash flows in the short-term debt market. A downgrade of the sovereign credit ratings of the U.S. government and perceived creditworthiness of U.S. government-related obligations could impact our ability to obtain funding that is collateralized by affected instruments, as well as affecting the pricing of that funding when it is available. A downgrade may also adversely affect the market value of such instruments.

We cannot predict if, when or how any changes to the credit ratings or perceived creditworthiness of these organizations will affect economic conditions. The credit rating agencies' ratings for Bank of America (and consequently, Merrill Lynch) could be directly or indirectly impacted by a downgrade of the U.S. government's sovereign rating because the credit ratings of large systemically important financial institutions currently incorporate a degree of uplift due to assumptions concerning government support.

A downgrade of the sovereign credit ratings of the U.S. government or the credit ratings of related institutions, agencies or instrumentalities would significantly exacerbate the other risks to which Merrill Lynch is subject and any related adverse effects on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business may be affected by uncertainty about the financial stability of several European Union ("EU") countries, the risk that those countries may default on their sovereign debt and related stresses on financial markets, the Euro and the EU. Risks and ongoing concerns about the debt crisis in Europe could have a detrimental impact on the global economic recovery, sovereign and non-sovereign debt in these countries and the financial condition of European financial institutions, and international financial institutions with exposure to the region, including us. Market and economic disruptions have affected, and may continue to affect, consumer confidence levels and spending, personal bankruptcy rates, levels of incurrence and default on consumer debt and residential mortgages, and housing prices, among other factors. There can be no assurance that the market disruptions in Europe, including the increased cost of funding for certain governments and financial institutions, and the possible loss of EU member states, will not spread, nor can there be any assurance that future assistance packages will be available or, even if provided, will be sufficient to stabilize the affected countries and markets in Europe or elsewhere. To the extent European economic recovery uncertainty continues to negatively impact consumer confidence and consumer credit factors, or should the EU enter a deep recession, both the U.S. economy and our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Merrill Lynch has substantial U.K. net deferred tax assets, which consist primarily of net operating losses ("NOLs") that are realizable by a few non-U.S. subsidiaries that have a recent history of cumulative losses. These net deferred tax assets relate to NOLs that may be realized over an extended number of years. Management has concluded that no valuation allowance is necessary with respect to such net deferred tax assets, based in part on current expectations, including regarding the cessation of certain business activities, changes to capital and funding, forecasts of business activities and the indefinite period to carry forward NOLs. Significant changes to those expectations, such as would be caused by a substantial and prolonged worsening of the condition of Europe's capital markets, could lead management to reassess its valuation allowance conclusions.

Global economic uncertainty, regulatory initiatives and reform have impacted, and will likely continue to impact, non-U.S. credit and trading portfolios. There can be no assurance our risk mitigation efforts in this respect will be sufficient or successful. Our total sovereign and non-sovereign exposure to Greece, Italy, Ireland, Portugal, and

Spain was \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2012. Our total net sovereign and non-sovereign exposure to these countries was \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2012, after taking into account net credit default protection. At December 31, 2012, the fair value of net credit default protection purchased was \$1.4 billion. Losses could still result because our credit protection contracts pay out only under certain scenarios.

For additional information regarding our direct sovereign and non-sovereign exposures in Europe, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk - Credit Risk Management" in Part II, Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

We may incur losses if the values of certain assets decline. We have a large portfolio of financial instruments, including, among others, certain corporate loans and loan commitments, loans held-for-sale, repurchase agreements, long-term deposits, trading assets and liabilities, derivatives assets and liabilities, available-for-sale securities and certain other assets and liabilities that we measure at fair value. We determine the fair values of these instruments based on the fair value hierarchy under applicable accounting guidance. The fair values of these financial instruments include adjustments for market liquidity, credit quality and other transaction-specific factors, where appropriate.

Gains or losses on these instruments can have a direct and significant impact on our results of operations, unless we have effectively hedged our exposures. Fair values may be impacted by declining values of the underlying assets or the prices at which observable market transactions occur and the continued availability of these transactions. The financial strength of counterparties with whom we have economically hedged some of our exposure to these assets, also will affect the fair value of these assets. Sudden declines and volatility in the prices of assets may substantially curtail or eliminate the trading activity for these assets, which may make it difficult to sell, hedge or value such assets. The inability to sell or effectively hedge assets reduces our ability to limit losses in such positions and the difficulty in valuing assets may increase our risk-weighted assets, which requires us to maintain additional capital and increases our funding costs.

Asset values also directly impact revenues in our asset management businesses. We receive asset-based management fees based on the value of our clients' portfolios or investments in funds managed by us and, in some cases, we also receive incentive fees based on increases in the value of such investments. Declines in asset values can reduce the value of our clients' portfolios or fund assets, which in turn can result in lower fees earned for managing such assets.

For additional information about fair value measurements, see Note 4 and Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Changes in the method of determining the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") or other reference rates may adversely impact the value of debt securities and other financial instruments we hold or issue that are linked to LIBOR or other reference rates in ways that are difficult to predict and could adversely impact our financial condition or results of operations. In recent years, concerns have been raised about the accuracy of the calculation of the daily LIBOR. The method for determining how LIBOR is formulated and its use in the market going forward may change, including, but not limited to, replacing the administrator of LIBOR, reducing the currencies and tenors for which LIBOR is calculated and requiring banks to provide LIBOR submissions based on actual transaction data or otherwise changing the structure of LIBOR, each of which could impact the volatility of LIBOR. Similar changes may occur with respect to other reference rates. Accordingly, it is not currently possible to determine whether, or to what extent, any such changes would impact the value of any debt securities we hold or issue that are linked to LIBOR or other reference rates, or any loans, derivatives and other financial obligations or extensions of credit we hold or which are due to us, or for which we are an obligor, that are linked to LIBOR or other reference rates, or to what extent, such changes would impact to results of operations.

Regulatory and Legal Risk

Government measures to regulate the financial services industry, including the Financial Reform Act, have increased and will continue to increase our compliance and operating costs and could require us to change certain of our business practices, limit our product offerings, limit our ability to efficiently pursue business opportunities, require an increase to our capital, impact asset values, and reduce our revenues. As a financial institution, we are heavily regulated at the state, federal and international levels. Following the financial crisis and related global

economic downturn, we have faced and expect to continue to face increased public and legislative scrutiny as well as stricter and more comprehensive regulation of our business. These regulatory and legislative measures, either individually, in combination or in the aggregate, could require us to further change our business practices, impose additional costs on us, limit our product offerings, limit our ability to efficiently pursue business opportunities, require an increase to our capital, impact asset values and reduce our revenues.

Federal banking and regulatory agencies have proposed regulations under the Financial Reform Act to limit proprietary trading as well as the sponsorship of or investment in hedge funds and private equity funds (the "Volcker Rule"). The proposed regulations include clarifications to the definition of proprietary trading and distinctions between permitted and prohibited activities. Although the comment period for these proposed regulations has expired, the regulatory agencies have not finalized the Volcker Rule regulations.

The statutory provisions of the Volcker Rule became effective on July 21, 2012 and gave financial institutions two years from the effective date, with the possibility for extensions for certain investments, to bring activities and investments into compliance with the statutory provisions and final regulations. Although Merrill Lynch exited its stand-alone proprietary trading business as of June 30, 2011 in anticipation of the Volcker Rule and to further our initiative to optimize our balance sheet, the ultimate impact of the Volcker Rule on us remains uncertain as the regulations implementing the Volcker Rule are not final. However, based on the content of the proposed regulations, it is possible the Volcker Rule implementation could limit or restrict our remaining trading activities. If exemptions in the Volcker Rule and the proposed regulations are not available, the Volcker Rule could also limit or restrict our ability to sponsor and hold ownership interests in hedge funds, private equity funds and other subsidiary operations. Additionally, the Volcker Rule could increase our operational and compliance costs, reduce our trading revenues and adversely affect our results of operations. The date on which final regulations will be issued is currently uncertain.

Additionally, the Financial Reform Act includes measures to broaden the scope of derivative instruments subject to regulation by requiring clearing and exchange trading of certain derivatives; imposing new capital, margin, reporting, registration and business conduct requirements for certain market participants; and imposing position limits on certain OTC derivatives. The Financial Reform Act grants the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC") and the SEC substantial new authority and requires numerous rulemakings by these agencies. Swaps dealers conducting dealing activity with U.S. persons above a specified dollar threshold were required to register with the CFTC on or before December 31, 2012. Upon registration, swap dealers became subject to additional CFTC rules relating to business conduct and reporting, and will continue to become subject to additional CFTC rules as and when such rules take effect.

The Financial Reform Act required regulators to promulgate the rulemakings necessary to implement these regulations by July 16, 2011. However, the rulemaking process was not completed as of that date, and is not expected to conclude until well into 2013. Further, the regulators granted temporary relief from certain requirements that would have taken effect on July 16, 2011 absent any rulemaking. The SEC temporary relief is effective until final rules relevant to each requirement become effective. The CFTC temporary relief largely expired on December 31, 2012. The CFTC also granted relief from some of the rules that would have become effective during the fourth quarter of 2012, either completely suspending or delaying the application of some requirements.

While the CFTC has provided temporary exemptive relief from application of derivatives requirements of the Financial Reform Act for certain non-U.S. derivatives activity, there remains some uncertainty as to how the derivatives requirements of the Financial Reform Act will apply to non-U.S. derivatives activity because the CFTC has not yet adopted final cross-border guidance. The CFTC has completed much of its other rulemakings, with the exception of final margin, capital and exchange trading rules, while the SEC has finalized a small number of clearing-related rules. The ultimate impact of the derivatives regulations that have not yet been finalized, and the time it will take to comply, continue to remain uncertain. The final regulations will impose additional operational and compliance costs on us and may require us to restructure certain businesses and may negatively impact our results of operations.

Similarly, in the U.K., the FSA has issued proposed rules requiring the submission of significant information about certain U.K. incorporated subsidiaries and other financial institutions, as well as branches of non-U.K. banks located in the U.K (including information on intra-group dependencies, legal entity separation and barriers to resolution) to allow the FSA to develop resolution plans. As a result of the FSA review, we could be required to take certain actions over the next several years, which could impose operational costs and potentially result in the restructuring of certain businesses and subsidiaries.

Many of the provisions under the Financial Reform Act have only begun to be implemented or remain to be implemented in the future and will be subject both to further rulemaking and the discretion of applicable regulatory bodies. The Financial Reform Act will continue to impact our earnings through revenue reductions, higher costs and imposition of new restrictions on us. The Financial Reform Act may also continue to have a material adverse impact on the value of certain assets and liabilities held on our balance sheet. The ultimate impact of the Financial Reform Act on our business will depend on regulatory interpretation and rulemaking, as well as the success of any of our actions to mitigate the negative impacts of certain provisions.

Changes in the structure of the GSEs and the relationship among the GSEs, the government and the private markets, or the conversion of the current conservatorship of the GSEs into receivership, could result in significant changes to our business operations and may adversely impact our business. Each GSE is currently in a conservatorship, with its primary regulator, the Federal Housing Finance Agency (the "FHFA"), acting as conservator. During these conservatorships the FHFA may increasingly converge certain risk management and other standards and practices of each enterprise, which could result in a more uniform market for delivering agency conforming mortgage loans. We cannot predict if, when or how the conservatorships will end, or any associated changes to the GSEs' business structure that could result. We also cannot predict whether the conservatorships will end in receivership. There are several proposed approaches to reform the GSEs which, if enacted, could change the structure of the GSEs and the relationship among the GSEs, the government and the private markets, including the trading markets for agency conforming mortgage loans and markets for mortgage-related securities in which we participate. We cannot predict the prospects for the enactment, timing or content of legislative or rulemaking proposals regarding the future status of the GSEs. Accordingly, there continues to be uncertainty regarding the future of the GSEs, including whether they will continue to exist in their current form.

We are subject to significant financial and reputational risks from potential legal liability and regulatory action. We face significant legal risks in our business, and the volume of claims and amount of damages and penalties claimed in litigation and regulatory proceedings against us and other financial institutions remain high and are increasing. Increased litigation costs, substantial legal liability or significant regulatory action against us could have material adverse effects on our financial condition and results of operations or cause significant reputational harm to us, which in turn could adversely impact our business prospects. In addition, we continue to face increased litigation risk and regulatory scrutiny. We continue to experience increased litigation and other disputes with counterparties regarding relative rights and responsibilities. Consumers, clients and other counterparties have grown more litigious. Our experience with certain regulatory authorities suggests a migration towards an increasing supervisory focus on enforcement, including in connection with alleged violations of law and customer harm. The current environment of additional regulation, increased regulatory compliance burdens, and enhanced regulatory enforcement, combined with ongoing uncertainty related to the continuing evolution of the regulatory environment, has resulted in significant operational and compliance costs and may limit our ability to continue providing certain products and services.

For information concerning certain litigation-related expenses recognized for 2012 and 2011, the estimated aggregate range of possible losses in excess of the accrued liability (if any) related to litigation matters (where an estimate is possible) at December 31, 2012, as well as a further discussion of litigation risks, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Our business prospects are vulnerable to changes in governmental fiscal and monetary policy. Our businesses and earnings are affected by domestic and international fiscal and monetary policy. The Federal Reserve regulates the supply of money and credit in the U.S. and its policies affect our cost of funds for lending, investing and capital raising activities and the return we earn on those loans and investments, both of which affect our net interest revenue. The Federal Reserve's actions also can affect the value of financial instruments and other assets, such as

debt securities, and its policies also can affect our borrowers, potentially increasing the risk that they may fail to repay their loans. Our businesses and earnings are also affected by the fiscal or other policies that are adopted by the U.S. government, various U.S. regulatory authorities and non-U.S. governments and regulatory authorities. Changes in domestic and international fiscal and monetary policies are beyond our control and difficult to predict, but could have an adverse impact on our capital requirements and the costs of running our business.

We may be adversely affected by changes in U.S. and non-U.S. tax and other laws and regulations. The U.S. Congress and the Administration have signaled interest in reforming the U.S. corporate income tax code. Possible approaches include lowering the 35% corporate tax rate, modifying the taxation of income earned outside of the U.S. and limiting or eliminating various other deductions, tax credits and/or other tax preferences. It is not possible at this time to quantify either the one-time impact from remeasuring deferred tax assets and liabilities that might result upon tax reform enactment or the ongoing impact reform might have on income tax expense.

In addition, income from certain non-U.S. subsidiaries has not been subject to U.S. income tax as a result of long-standing deferral provisions applicable to income that is derived in the active conduct of a banking and financing business abroad (active finance income). The U.S. Congress has extended the application of these deferral provisions several times, most recently in January 2013. These provisions now are set to expire for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2014. Absent an extension of these provisions, active financing income earned by certain non-U.S. subsidiaries will generally be subject to a tax provision that considers incremental U.S. income tax. The impact of the expiration of these provisions would depend upon the amount, composition and geographic mix of our future earnings.

Other countries have also proposed and adopted certain regulatory changes targeted at financial institutions or that otherwise affect us. The EU has adopted increased capital requirements and the U.K. has (i) increased liquidity requirements for local financial institutions, including regulated U.K. subsidiaries of non-U.K. bank holding companies and other financial institutions as well as branches of non-U.K. banks located in the U.K.; (ii) adopted a Bank Levy, which will apply to the aggregate balance sheet of branches and subsidiaries of non-U.K. banks and banking groups operating in the U.K.; and (iii) proposed the creation and production of recovery and resolution plans by U.K.-regulated entities.

On July 17, 2012, the U.K. 2012 Finance Bill was enacted, which reduced the corporate income tax rate by two percent to 23%. The first one percent reduction was effective April 1, 2012 and the second will be effective April 1, 2013. These rate reductions favorably affect income tax expense on future U.K. earnings, but also required us to remeasure our U.K. net deferred tax assets using the lower tax rates. The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2012 included a \$781 million charge for the remeasurement. If the U.K. corporate income tax rate were to be reduced to 21% by 2014, as suggested in U.K. Treasury announcements and assuming no change in the deferred tax asset balance, we would record a charge to income tax expense of approximately \$800 million in the period of enactment. We are also monitoring other international legislative proposals that could impact us, such as changes to corporate income tax laws. Currently, in the U.K., NOL carryforwards have an indefinite life. Were the U.K. taxing authorities to introduce limitations on the future utilization of NOLs and we were unable to document our continued ability to fully utilize our NOLs, we would be required to establish a valuation allowance by a charge to income tax expense.

Risk of the Competitive Environment in Which We Operate

We face significant and increasing competition in the financial services industry. We operate in a highly competitive environment. Over time, there has been substantial consolidation among companies in the financial services industry, and this trend accelerated in recent years. This trend has also hastened the globalization of the securities and financial services markets. We will continue to experience intensified competition as consolidation and globalization of the financial products and services at more competitive prices. To the extent we expand into new business areas and new geographic regions, we may face competitors with more experience and more established relationships with clients, regulators and industry participants in the relevant market, which could adversely affect our ability to compete. In addition, technological advances and the growth of e-commerce have made it possible for non-depository institutions to offer products and services that traditionally

were banking products, and for financial institutions to compete with technology companies in providing electronic and internet-based financial solutions. Increased competition may negatively affect our earnings by creating pressure to lower prices on our products and services and/or reducing market share.

Damage to our reputation could significantly harm our businesses, including our competitive position and business prospects. Our ability to attract and retain customers, clients, investors and employees is impacted by our reputation. We continue to face increased public and regulatory scrutiny resulting from the financial crisis and economic downturn, as well as our acquisition by Bank of America and the suitability or reasonableness of recommending particular trading or investment strategies.

Significant harm to our reputation can also arise from other sources, including indirectly as a result of actions by Bank of America or damage to its reputation, employee misconduct, litigation or regulatory outcomes, failing to deliver minimum or required standards of service and quality, compliance failures, unethical behavior, unintended disclosure of confidential information, and the activities of our clients, customers and counterparties, including vendors. Actions by the financial services industry generally or by certain members or individuals in the industry also can significantly adversely affect our reputation.

We are subject to complex and evolving laws and regulations regarding privacy, data protections and other matters.

Principles concerning the appropriate scope of consumer and commercial privacy vary considerably in different jurisdictions, and regulatory and public expectations regarding the definition and scope of consumer and commercial privacy may remain fluid in the future. It is possible that these laws may be interpreted and applied by various jurisdictions in a manner inconsistent with our current or future practices, or that is inconsistent with one another. We face regulatory, reputational and operational risks if personal, confidential or proprietary information of customers or clients in our possession is mishandled or misused.

We could suffer significant reputational harm if we fail to properly identify and manage potential conflicts of interest. Management of potential conflicts of interest has become increasingly complex as we expand our business activities through more numerous transactions, obligations and interests with and among our clients. The failure to adequately address, or the perceived failure to adequately address, conflicts of interest could affect the willingness of clients to deal with us, or give rise to litigation or enforcement actions, which could adversely affect our businesses.

Our actual or perceived failure to address these and other issues gives rise to reputational risk that could cause significant harm to us and our business prospects, including failure to properly address operational risks. Failure to appropriately address any of these issues could also give rise to additional regulatory restrictions, legal risks and reputational harm, which could, among other consequences, increase the size and number of litigation claims and damages asserted or subject us to enforcement actions, fines and penalties and cause us to incur related costs and expenses.

Our ability to attract and retain qualified employees is critical to the success of our business and failure to do so could hurt our business prospects and competitive position. Our performance is heavily dependent on the talents and efforts of highly skilled individuals. Competition for qualified personnel within the financial services industry and from businesses outside the financial services industry has been, and is expected to continue to be, intense. Our competitors include non-U.S.-based institutions and institutions subject to different compensation and hiring regulations than those imposed on U.S. institutions and financial institutions. The difficulty we face in competing for key personnel is exacerbated in emerging markets, where we are often competing for qualified employees with entities that may have a significantly greater presence or more extensive experience in the region.

In order to attract and retain qualified personnel, we must provide market-level compensation. As a subsidiary of Bank of America, we may be subject to limitations on compensation practices (which may or may not affect our competitors) by regulators in the U.S. or around the world. Any future limitations on executive compensation imposed by legislation or regulation could adversely affect our ability to attract and maintain qualified employees. Furthermore, a substantial portion of our annual incentive compensation paid to our senior employees has in recent years taken the form of long-term equity awards. Therefore, the ultimate value of of this compensation depends on the price of Bank of America's common stock when the awards vest. If we are unable to continue to attract and retain qualified individuals, our business prospects and competitive position could be adversely affected.

In addition, if we fail to retain the wealth advisors that we employ in our wealth and investment management business, particularly those with significant client relationships, such failure could result in a significant loss of clients or the withdrawal of significant client assets.

We may not be able to achieve expected cost savings from cost-saving initiatives, including from Project New BAC, or in accordance with currently anticipated time frames. We are currently engaged in numerous efforts to achieve certain cost savings, including, among other things, Project New BAC.

Project New BAC is a two-phase, enterprise-wide initiative to simplify and streamline workflows and processes, align businesses and costs more closely with Bank of America's overall strategic plan and operating principles, and increase revenues. Phase 1 focused on Bank of America's consumer businesses and related support, technology and operations functions. Phase 2 focuses on businesses and related support, technology and operations functions not subject to evaluation in Phase 1. All aspects of Phase 1 of Project New BAC are currently expected to be implemented by the end of 2013, with Phase 2 expected to be fully implemented by mid-2015.

We may be unable to fully realize the cost savings and other anticipated benefits from our cost saving initiatives, or in accordance with currently anticipated timeframes.

Our inability to adapt our products and services to evolving industry standards and consumer preferences could harm our business. Our success depends on our ability to adapt our products and services to evolving industry standards. There is increasing pressure by competitors to provide products and services at lower prices. This can reduce our revenues from our fee-based products and services. In addition, the widespread adoption of new technologies, including internet services, could require us to incur substantial expenditures to modify or adapt our existing products and services. We might not be successful in developing or introducing new products and services, responding or adapting to changes in consumer spending and saving habits, achieving market acceptance of our products and services, or sufficiently developing and maintaining loyal customers.

Risks Related to Risk Management

Our risk management framework may not be effective in mitigating risk and reducing the potential for significant losses. Our risk management framework is designed to minimize risk and loss to us. We seek to identify, measure, monitor, report and control our exposure to the types of risk to which we are subject, including strategic, credit, market, liquidity, compliance, operational and reputational risks, among others. While we employ a broad and diversified set of risk monitoring and mitigation techniques, those techniques are inherently limited because they cannot anticipate the existence or future development of currently unanticipated or unknown risks. Recent economic conditions, heightened legislative and regulatory scrutiny of the financial services industry and increases in the overall complexity of our operations, among other developments, have resulted in a heightened level of risk for us. Accordingly, we could suffer losses as a result of our failure to properly anticipate and manage these risks.

A failure in or breach of our operational or security systems or infrastructure, or those of third parties with which we do business, including as a result of cyber attacks, could disrupt our businesses, result in the disclosure or misuse of confidential or proprietary information, damage our reputation, increase our costs and cause losses. Our business is highly dependent on our ability to process, record and monitor, on a continuous basis, a large number of transactions, many of which are highly complex, across numerous and diverse markets in many currencies. The potential for operational risk exposure exists throughout our organization, and is not limited to operations functions. Operational risk exposures can impact our results of operations, such as losses resulting from unauthorized trades by employees, and their impact may extend beyond financial losses.

Integral to our performance is the continued efficacy of our internal processes, systems, relationships with third parties and the vast array of employees and key executives in our day-to-day and ongoing operations. With regard to the physical infrastructure and systems that support our operations, we have taken measures to implement backup systems and other safeguards, but our ability to conduct business may be adversely affected by any significant and widespread disruption to our infrastructure or systems. Our financial, accounting, data processing, backup or other operating systems and facilities may fail to operate properly or become disabled or damaged as a result of a number of factors including events that are wholly or partially beyond our control and adversely affect our ability to process these transactions or provide these services. There could be sudden increases in customer transaction volume;

electrical or telecommunications outages; natural disasters such as earthquakes, tornadoes and hurricanes; disease pandemics; events arising from local or larger scale political or social matters, including terrorist acts; and cyber attacks. We continuously update these systems to support our operations and growth. This updating entails significant costs and creates risks associated with implementing new systems and integrating them with existing ones.

Information security risks for large financial institutions such as Bank of America and Merrill Lynch have significantly increased in recent years in part because of the proliferation of new technologies, the use of the Internet and telecommunications technologies to conduct financial transactions, and the increased sophistication and activities of organized crime, hackers, terrorists and other external parties, including foreign state actors. Our operations rely on the secure processing, transmission and storage of confidential, proprietary and other information in our computer systems and networks. We rely on our digital technologies, computer and email systems, software, and networks to conduct our operations. In addition, to access our products and services, our customers may use personal smartphones, PCs and other computing devices, tablet PCs, and other mobile devices that are beyond our control systems. Our technologies, systems, networks, and our customers' devices have been subject to, and are likely to continue to be the target of, cyber attacks, computer viruses, malicious code, phishing attacks or information security breaches that could result in the unauthorized release, gathering, monitoring, misuse, loss or destruction of our or our customers' confidential, proprietary and other information, or otherwise disrupt our or our customers' or other third parties' business operations. For example, our websites have been subject to a series of distributed denial of service cyber security incidents. Although these incidents have not had a material impact on Merrill Lynch, nor have they resulted in unauthorized access to our or our customers' confidential, proprietary on ther that such incidents may continue.

Although to date we have not experienced any material losses relating to cyber attacks or other information security breaches, there can be no assurance that we will not suffer such losses in the future. Our risk and exposure to these matters remains heightened because of, among other things, the evolving nature of these threats, the prominent size and scale of Bank of America and Merrill Lynch and their roles in the financial services industry, their expanded geographic footprint and international presence, the outsourcing of some of their business operations, the continued uncertain global economic environment, threats of cyberterrorism, and system and customer account conversions. As a result, cybersecurity and the continued development and enhancement of our controls, processes and practices designed to protect our systems, computers, software, data and networks from attack, damage or unauthorized access remain a priority for us. As cyber threats continue to evolve, we may be required to expend significant additional resources to continue to modify or enhance our protective measures or to investigate and remediate any information security vulnerabilities.

In addition, we also face the risk of operational failure, termination or capacity constraints of any of the third parties with which we do business or that facilitate our business activities, including clearing agents, exchanges, clearing houses or other financial intermediaries we use to facilitate our securities transactions. In recent years, there has been significant consolidation among clearing agents, exchanges and clearing houses and increased interconnectivity of multiple financial institutions with central agents, exchanges and clearing houses. This consolidation and interconnectivity increases the risk of operational failure, on both individual and industry-wide bases, as disparate complex systems need to be integrated, often on an accelerated basis. Any such failure, termination or constraint could adversely affect our ability to effect transactions, service our clients, manage our exposure to risk or expand our businesses and could have an adverse impact on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Disruptions or failures in the physical infrastructure or operating systems that support our business and customers, or cyber attacks or security breaches of the networks, systems or devices that our customers use to access our products and services could result in the loss of customers and business opportunities, significant business disruption to our operations and business, misappropriation of our confidential information and/or that of our customers, or damage to our computers or systems and those of our customers and/or counterparties, and could result in violations of applicable privacy laws and other laws, litigation exposure, regulatory fines, penalties or intervention, loss of confidence in our security measures, reputational damage, reimbursement or other compensatory costs, and additional compliance costs.

Risk of Being an International Business

We are subject to numerous political, economic, market, reputational, operational, legal, regulatory and other risks in the non-U.S. jurisdictions in which we operate. We do business throughout the world, including in developing regions of the world commonly known as emerging markets. Our businesses and revenues derived from non-U.S. jurisdictions are subject to risk of loss from currency fluctuations, social or judicial instability, changes in governmental policies or policies of central banks, expropriation, nationalization and/or confiscation of assets, price controls, capital controls, exchange controls, other restrictive actions, unfavorable political and diplomatic developments and changes in legislation. These risks are especially acute in emerging markets. Many non-U.S. jurisdictions in which we do business have been negatively impacted by recessionary conditions. While a number of these jurisdictions are showing signs of recovery, others continue to experience increasing levels of stress. In addition, the increasing potential risk of default on sovereign debt in some non-U.S. jurisdictions could expose us to substantial losses. Risks in one country can affect our operations in another country or countries, including our operations in the U.S. As a result, any such unfavorable conditions or developments could have an adverse impact on our company.

Our non-U.S. businesses are also subject to extensive regulation by various non-U.S. regulators, including governments, securities exchanges, central banks and other regulatory bodies, in the jurisdictions in which those businesses operate. In many countries, the laws and regulations applicable to the financial services and securities industries are uncertain and evolving, and it may be difficult for us to determine the exact requirements of local laws in every market or manage our relationships with multiple regulators in various jurisdictions. Our inability to remain in compliance with local laws in a particular market and manage our relationships with regulators could have an adverse effect not only on our businesses in that market but also on our reputation generally.

We also invest or trade in the securities of corporations and governments located in non-U.S. jurisdictions, including emerging markets. Revenues from the trading of non-U.S. securities may be subject to negative fluctuations as a result of the above factors. Furthermore, the impact of these fluctuations could be magnified because non-U.S. trading markets, particularly in emerging market countries, are generally smaller, less liquid and more volatile than U.S. trading markets.

In addition to non-U.S. legislation, our international operations are also subject to U.S. legal requirements. For example, our international operations are subject to U.S. laws on foreign corrupt practices, the Office of Foreign Assets Control, and anti-money laundering regulations. Additionally, we are subject to Section 13(r) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which requires a registrant to provide disclosure in its periodic reports and file a notice with the SEC if it or its affiliates knowingly engage in certain activities identified under the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012. The SEC is required to report any such disclosure to the U.S. President and certain Congressional committees. The President thereafter is required to initiate an investigation into the reported activity and, within 180 days of initiating such an investigation, determine whether sanctions should be imposed. If we are required to report any such activities, whether or not any sanctions are actually imposed on us or our affiliates as a result of these activities, our reputation could be harmed and our results of operations could be adversely impacted.

We are subject to geopolitical risks, including acts or threats of terrorism, and actions taken by the U.S. or other governments in response thereto and/or military conflicts that could adversely affect business and economic conditions abroad as well as in the U.S.

Risk from Accounting Changes

Changes in accounting standards or inaccurate estimates or assumptions in applying accounting policies could adversely affect us. Our accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. Some of these policies require use of estimates and assumptions that may affect the reported value of our assets or liabilities and results of operations and are critical because they require management to make difficult, subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain. If those assumptions, estimates or judgments were incorrectly made, we could be required to correct and restate prior-period financial statements.

Accounting standard-setters and those who interpret the accounting standards (such as the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB"), the SEC and our independent registered public accounting firm) may also amend or even reverse their previous interpretations or positions on how various standards should be applied. These changes may be difficult to predict and could impact how we prepare and report our financial statements. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in Merrill Lynch needing to revise and republish prior-period financial statements.

On December 20, 2012, the FASB issued a proposed standard on accounting for expected credit losses. The standard would replace multiple existing impairment models, including replacing an "incurred loss" model for loans with an "expected credit loss" model. The FASB announced it will establish the effective date when it issues the final standard. We cannot predict whether or when a final standard will be issued, when it will be effective or what its final provisions will be. It is possible that the final standard could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations once it is issued and becomes effective.

For further information on some of our critical accounting policies and standards and recent accounting changes, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Risk of Being a Wholly-Owned Subsidiary

We are a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America and therefore are subject to strategic decisions of Bank of America and affected by Bank of America's performance. We are fundamentally affected by our relationship with Bank of America. As a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America, we are subject to a wide range of possible strategic decisions that Bank of America may make from time to time. Those strategic decisions could include the level and types of financing and other support made available to us by Bank of America. In addition, circumstances and events affecting Bank of America can significantly affect us. For example, the primary drivers of our credit ratings are Bank of America's credit ratings, and when rating agencies take actions regarding Bank of America's credit ratings and outlooks, they generally take the same actions with respect to our ratings and outlooks. Also, we have several borrowing arrangements and a globally coordinated funding strategy with Bank of America. Significant changes in Bank of America's strategy or its relationship with us could have a material adverse effect on our business. Material adverse changes in the performance of Bank of America or its other subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. We are indirectly exposed, therefore, to many of the risks to which Bank of America is directly exposed. Bank of America has not assumed or guaranteed the long-term debt that was issued or guaranteed by ML & Co. or its subsidiaries prior to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America.

As a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America, a bank holding company that is also a financial holding company, we are subject to the oversight of, and inspection by, the Federal Reserve. If Bank of America does not comply with regulatory requirements applicable to banking institutions with respect to regulatory capital, capital ratios and liquidity and required increases in the foregoing, our liquidity would be adversely affected. In order to comply with such requirements, Bank of America may be required to liquidate company assets, among other actions. Our activities are limited to those that are permissible for Bank of America under applicable laws and regulations. As a financial holding company, Bank of America (directly or through its subsidiaries) may engage in activities that are "financial in nature." Bank of America's status as a financial holding company requires, among other conditions, that each of its subsidiary insured depository institutions be well-capitalized and well-managed. Failure to satisfy these conditions may result in the Federal Reserve limiting the activities of Bank of America, which thereby could restrict our current business activities, require divestiture of certain of our assets and operations or limit potential future strategic plans.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

Facility Name	Location	General Character of the Physical Property	Property Status	Property Sq. Ft. ⁽¹⁾
4 World Financial Center	New York, NY	34 Story Building	Leased	1,636,512
2 World Financial Center	New York, NY	44 Story Building	Leased	674,726
Hopewell Campus	Hopewell, NJ	8 Building Campus with 4 Ancillary Buildings	Leased	1,788,961
Jacksonville Campus	Jacksonville, FL	4 Building Campus	Owned	577,402
Merrill Lynch Financial Centre	London, UK	4 Building Campus	Leased	568,256
Nihonbashi 1-Chome Building	Tokyo, Japan	24 Story Building	Leased	208,498

As of December 31, 2012, our principal offices and other materially important properties consisted of the following:

(1) For leased properties, Property Sq. Ft. represents the square footage occupied by Merrill Lynch.

Our principal executive offices are located at Bank of America Corporate Center in Charlotte, North Carolina, which is owned by one of Bank of America's subsidiaries. In addition, we have employees located at Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park in New York, New York.

We believe our owned and leased properties are adequate for our business needs and are well maintained. We continue to evaluate our owned and leased real estate and may determine from time to time that certain of our premises and facilities, or ownership structures, are no longer necessary for our operations. In connection therewith, we are evaluating the sale or sale / leaseback of certain properties and we may incur costs in connection with any such transactions.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Refer to "Litigation and Regulatory Matters" in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

ML & Co. made no purchases of its common stock during the year ended December 31, 2012. There were 1,000 shares of ML & Co. common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2012, all of which were held by Bank of America Corporation.

Dividends Per Common Share

As of the date of this report, Bank of America is the sole holder of the outstanding common stock of ML & Co. There is no trading market for ML & Co. common stock. No cash dividends were declared or paid for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. With the exception of regulatory restrictions on subsidiaries' abilities to pay dividends, there were no restrictions on ML & Co.'s present ability to pay dividends on common stock, other than ML & Co.'s obligation to make payments on its junior subordinated debt related to trust preferred securities, and the governing provisions of Delaware General Corporation Law.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

There are no equity securities of ML & Co. that are authorized for issuance under any equity compensation plans. Refer to Note 15 and Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on employee benefit and equity compensation plans.

Item 6.	Selected Financial
	Data

Not required pursuant to General Instruction I (2).

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This report on Form 10-K, the documents that it incorporates by reference and the documents into which it may be incorporated by reference may contain, and from time to time Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. ("ML & Co. and, collectively with its subsidiaries, "Merrill Lynch," the "Company," "we," "our" or "us") and its management may make certain statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used in this report, "we," "us" and "our" may refer to ML & Co. individually, ML & Co. and its subsidiaries, or certain of ML & Co.'s subsidiaries or affiliates. These statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Forward-looking statements often use words such as "expects," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "targets," "intends," "plans," "goal" and other similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as "will," "may," "might," "should," "would" and "could." The forward-looking statements made represent the current expectations, plans or forecasts of Merrill Lynch regarding its future results and revenues and future business and economic conditions more generally, including statements concerning: that Merrill Lynch would record a charge to income tax expense of approximately \$800 million if the income tax rate were reduced to 21% by 2014 as suggested in United Kingdom ("U.K.") Treasury announcements and assuming no change in the deferred tax asset balance; the expectation that unresolved repurchase claims related to private-label securitization trustees will continue to increase; the resolution of representations and warranties repurchase and other claims; the final resolution of the BNY Mellon Settlement; the estimates of liability and range of possible loss for representations and warranties repurchase claims; the possibility that future representations and warranties losses may occur in excess of the amounts recorded for those exposures; Merrill Lynch's intention to vigorously contest any requests for repurchase for which it concludes that a valid basis does not exist; that swap dealers will continue to be subject to additional Commodity Futures Trading Commission rules as and when such rules take effect; the realizability of deferred tax assets prior to expiration of any carryforward periods; effects of the ongoing debt crisis in Europe, including the expectation of continued volatility as long as challenges remain, the expectation that Merrill Lynch will continue to support client activities in the region and that exposures may vary over time as Merrill Lynch monitors the situation and manages its risk profile; liquidity; the revenue impact resulting from, and any mitigation actions taken in response to, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, including, but not limited to, the Volcker Rule; that it is our objective to maintain highquality credit ratings; the estimated range of possible loss from and the impact on Merrill Lynch of various legal proceedings discussed in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements; our trading risk management processes; Bank of America's intentions to streamline its organizational structure and reduce complexity and costs by reducing the number of its subsidiaries, and that Bank of America may, subject to applicable regulatory approvals, consents and other conditions of closing, merge ML & Co. with and into Bank of America Corporation; and other matters relating to Merrill Lynch. The foregoing is not an exclusive list of all forward-looking statements we make. These statements are not guarantees of future results or performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict and often are beyond our control. Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, any of these forward-looking statements.

You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement and should consider the following uncertainties and risks, as well as the risks and uncertainties more fully discussed elsewhere in this report, under Item 1A. "Risk Factors" of this report, and in any of ML & Co.'s subsequent Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings: Merrill Lynch's ability to resolve its representations and warranties repurchase claims made by monolines and private-label and other investors, including as a result of any adverse court rulings, and the chance that we could face related securities, fraud, indemnity or other claims from one or more of the monolines or private-label and other investors; uncertainties about the financial stability of several countries in the European Union (the "EU"), the risk that those countries may default on their sovereign debt and related stresses on financial markets, the Euro and the EU and Merrill Lynch's exposures to such risks, including direct, indirect and operational; the negative impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act on Merrill Lynch's businesses and earnings, including as a result of additional regulatory interpretation and rulemaking and the success of

Table of Contents

Merrill Lynch's actions to mitigate such impacts; adverse changes to Merrill Lynch's credit ratings from the major credit rating agencies; estimates of the fair value of certain of Merrill Lynch's assets and liabilities; unexpected claims, damages and fines resulting from pending or future litigation and regulatory proceedings; Merrill Lynch's ability to fully realize the cost savings and other anticipated benefits from Project New BAC, including in accordance with currently anticipated timeframes; decisions to downsize, sell or close units or otherwise change the business mix of Merrill Lynch; and other similar matters.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and Merrill Lynch undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that arise after the date the forward-looking statement was made.

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements referred to in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") are incorporated by reference into MD&A. Certain prior-period amounts have been reclassified in order to conform with the current year's presentation.

Introduction

Merrill Lynch was founded in 1914 and became a publicly traded company on June 23, 1971. In 1973, the holding company ML & Co. was created. Through our subsidiaries, we are one of the world's leading capital markets, advisory and wealth management companies. We are a leading global market-maker and underwriter of securities and derivatives across a broad range of asset classes, and we serve as a strategic advisor to corporations, governments, institutions and individuals worldwide. On January 1, 2009, Merrill Lynch was acquired by, and became a wholly-owned subsidiary of, Bank of America Corporation ("Bank of America").

Intragroup Reorganization

On November 1, 2012, in connection with an intragroup reorganization involving Bank of America and a number of its subsidiaries, Merrill Lynch acquired two affiliated companies and their respective subsidiaries from Bank of America. The acquisition was effected through a non-cash capital contribution from Bank of America. In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 805, *Business Combinations*, Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K include the historical results of the acquired affiliated companies and their subsidiaries as if the transaction had occurred as of January 1, 2009, the date at which all the affected entities were first under the common control of Bank of America. Merrill Lynch has recorded the assets and liabilities acquired in connection with the transaction at their historical carrying values, which resulted in increases to stockholder's equity and receivables from Bank of America of approximately \$13.5 billion, \$9.1 billion and \$9.0 billion as of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Business Segments

Pursuant to ASC 280, *Segment Reporting*, operating segments represent components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available that is regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision maker in determining how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The business activities of Merrill Lynch are included within certain of the operating segments of Bank of America. Detailed financial information of the nature that could be used to allocate resources and assess the performance and operations for components of Merrill Lynch, however, is not provided to Merrill Lynch's chief operating decision maker. As a result, Merrill Lynch does not contain any identifiable operating segments under Segment Reporting, and therefore the financial information of Merrill Lynch is presented as a single segment.

Form 10-K Presentation

As a result of the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America, certain information is not required in this Form 10-K as permitted by General Instruction I (2) of Form 10-K. We have also abbreviated the MD&A as permitted by General Instruction I (2).



EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

We reported net income of \$290 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared with a net loss of \$1.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011. Revenues, net of interest expense ("net revenues") for 2012 were \$20.2 billion compared with \$24.4 billion in 2011. Our pre-tax loss was \$2.3 billion in 2012 and \$4.6 billion in 2011.

The improvement in our after-tax results for the year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily driven by lower non-interest expenses and certain income tax benefits reflected in our effective income tax rate, partially offset by lower net revenues.

The decline in non-interest expenses was primarily associated with our liability for representations and warranties related to our repurchase exposure on certain private-label securitizations. In the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded a \$2.7 billion provision for representations and warranties due to our determination that we had sufficient experience related to our exposure on certain private-label securitizations as a result of Bank of America's settlement with the Bank of New York Mellon during that period. In the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded a reduction to non-interest expenses of \$754 million that lowered our liability for representations and warranties exposures, since recent levels of claims and file requests with certain counterparties have been less than originally anticipated and, as a result, a portion of the loss was no longer deemed probable. See "Off-Balance Sheet Exposures - Representations and Warranties" for further information. The decline in non-interest expenses also included lower compensation and benefits and other expenses.

Our effective income tax rate was 112.8% in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with 64.1% in the prior year. The effective income tax rate in 2012 was primarily driven by certain income tax benefits. See "Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared With Year Ended December 31, 2011" for further information.

The decline in our net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily attributable to lower principal transactions revenues due to the valuation of certain of our liabilities as compared with the prior year. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded net losses of \$3.2 billion due to the impact of the narrowing of our credit spreads on the carrying value of certain of our long-term debt liabilities, primarily structured notes, while in the year ended December 31, 2012 due to the impact of net valuation adjustments associated with the narrowing of our credit spreads on the fair value of certain derivative liabilities (i.e., the debit valuation adjustment or "DVA") as compared with gains from DVA due to the widening of our credit spreads of \$493 million in the prior year. These decreases in principal transactions revenues were partially offset by improved results from our fixed income trading activities.

Transactions with Bank of America

We have entered into various transactions with Bank of America, including transactions in connection with certain sales and trading and financing activities, as well as the allocation of certain shared services. Total net revenues and non-interest expenses related to transactions with Bank of America for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$1.6 billion and \$2.2 billion, respectively. Such net revenues and non-interest expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$1.5 billion and \$2.5 billion, respectively. Net revenues and non-interest expenses for both periods included intercompany service fee revenues and expenses from Bank of America associated with allocations of certain centralized or shared business activities between Merrill Lynch and Bank of America. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.



On January 6, 2013, Bank of America entered into an agreement with Fannie Mae ("FNMA") to resolve substantially all outstanding and potential repurchase and certain other claims relating to the origination, sale and delivery of certain residential mortgage loans. As part of the agreement, Bank of America repurchased for \$6.6 billion certain residential mortgage loans that had previously been sold to FNMA, which Bank of America valued at less than the purchase price. The majority of such loans are held by Merrill Lynch.

Other Events

Sale of International Wealth Management Businesses and Brokerage Joint Venture Investment

In 2012, we entered into agreements to sell our International Wealth Management ("IWM") businesses based outside of the U.S., subject to regulatory approval in multiple jurisdictions, and the first of a series of closings occurred in February 2013. Also, in late 2012, Merrill Lynch sold its investment in a Japanese brokerage joint venture, which resulted in a gain of approximately \$370 million. The IWM businesses and the Japanese brokerage joint venture had combined client balances of approximately \$115 billion.

Financial Reform Act

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Financial Reform Act"), which was signed into law on July 21, 2010, enacted sweeping financial regulatory reform and has altered and will continue to alter the way in which we conduct certain businesses, increase our costs and reduce our revenues. Many aspects of the Financial Reform Act remain subject to final rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the precise impact on Merrill Lynch, our customers or the financial services industry.

Limitations on Proprietary Trading; Sponsorship and Investment in Hedge Funds and Private Equity Funds

On October 11, 2011, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve"), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC"), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") and the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), representing four of the five regulatory agencies charged with promulgating regulations implementing limitations on proprietary trading as well as the sponsorship of or investment in hedge funds and private equity funds (the "Volcker Rule") established by the Financial Reform Act, released for comment proposed implementing regulations under the Volcker Rule. The proposed regulations include clarifications to the definition of proprietary trading and distinctions between permitted and prohibited activities. However, in light of the complexity of the proposed regulations and the large volume of comments received (the proposal requested comments on over 1,300 questions on 400 different topics), it is not possible to predict the content of the final regulations or when they will be issued.

The statutory provisions of the Volcker Rule became effective on July 21, 2012 and gave financial institutions two years from the effective date, with the possibility for extensions for certain investments, to bring activities and investments into compliance with the statutory provisions and final regulations. Although we exited our stand-alone proprietary trading business as of June 30, 2011 in anticipation of the Volcker Rule and to further our initiative to optimize our balance sheet, the ultimate impact of the Volcker Rule on us remains uncertain as the regulations implementing the Volcker Rule are not final. However, based on the contents of the proposed regulations, it is possible the Volcker Rule implementation could limit or restrict our remaining trading activities. If exemptions in the Volcker Rule and the proposed regulations are not available, the Volcker Rule could also limit or restrict our ability to sponsor and hold ownership interests in hedge funds, private equity funds, commodity pools and other subsidiary operations. Additionally, the Volcker Rule could increase our operational and compliance costs, reduce our trading revenues and adversely affect our results of operations.

Derivatives

The Financial Reform Act includes measures to broaden the scope of derivative instruments subject to regulation by requiring clearing and exchange trading of certain derivatives; imposing new capital, margin, reporting, registration



and business conduct requirements for certain market participants; and imposing position limits on certain over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives. The Financial Reform Act grants the CFTC and the SEC substantial new authority and requires numerous rulemakings by these agencies. Swap dealers conducting dealing activity with U.S. persons above a specified threshold were required to register with the CFTC on or before December 31, 2012. We registered Merrill Lynch Commodities Inc., Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc., Merrill Lynch Financial Markets, Inc., Merrill Lynch International and Merrill Lynch International Bank Limited as swap dealers on December 31, 2012. Upon registration, swap dealers became subject to additional CFTC rules relating to business conduct and reporting, and will continue to become subject to additional CFTC rules as and when such rules take effect. Those rules include, but are not limited to, measures that require clearing and exchange trading of certain derivatives, new capital and margin requirements for certain market participants, and additional reporting requirements for derivatives under the jurisdiction of the CFTC. The CFTC also granted relief from some of the rules that would have become effective during the fourth quarter of 2012, either completely suspending or delaying the application of some requirements.

While the CFTC has provided temporary exemptive relief from application of derivatives requirements of the Financial Reform Act for certain non-U.S. derivatives activity, there remains some uncertainty as to how the derivatives requirements of the Financial Reform Act will apply to non-U.S. derivatives activity because the CFTC has not yet adopted final cross-border guidance. The CFTC has completed much of its other rulemakings, with the exception of final margin, capital and exchange trading rules, while the SEC has finalized a small number of clearing-related rules. The ultimate impact of the derivatives regulations that have not been finalized, and the time it will take to comply, remain uncertain. The final regulations will impose additional operational and compliance costs on us and may require us to restructure certain businesses and may negatively impact our results of operations.

Transactions with Affiliates

The terms of certain of our OTC derivative contracts and other trading agreements provide that upon the occurrence of certain specified events, such as a change in our credit ratings, we may be required to provide additional collateral or to provide other remedies, or our counterparties may have the right to terminate or otherwise diminish our rights under these contracts or agreements. In the event of further downgrades of our credit ratings, we may engage in discussions with certain derivative and other counterparties regarding their rights under these agreements,

including potentially naming new counterparties. Our ability to substitute or make changes to these agreements to meet counterparties' requests may be subject to certain limitations, including counterparty willingness, regulatory limitations on naming our affiliate, Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA"), as the new counterparty, and the type or amount of collateral required. It is possible that such limitations on our ability to substitute or make changes to these agreements, including naming BANA as the new counterparty, could adversely affect our results of operations.

Other Matters

Bank of America and Merrill Lynch have established guidelines and policies for managing capital across their respective subsidiaries. The guidance for our subsidiaries with regulatory capital requirements, including branch operations of banking subsidiaries, requires each entity to maintain satisfactory capital levels. The U.K. has adopted increased capital and liquidity requirements for local financial institutions, including regulated U.K. subsidiaries of non-U.K. bank holding companies and other financial institutions as well as branches of non-U.K. banks located in the U.K. In addition, the U.K. has proposed the creation and production of recovery and resolution plans, commonly referred to as living wills, by significant regulated legal entities.



RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

			% Change between the Year Ended	
	e Year Ended nber 31, 2012	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011	December 31, 2012 and the Year Ended December 31, 2011	
Revenues				
Principal transactions	\$ 2,306	\$ 5,876	(61)%	
Commissions	5,079	5,698	(11)	
Managed account and other fee-based revenues	5,427	5,203	4	
Investment banking	4,914	5,179	(5)	
Earnings from equity method investments	285	347	(18)	
Intercompany service fee revenue from Bank of America	986	944	4	
Other revenues ⁽¹⁾	1,923	1,749	10	
Subtotal	20,920	24,996	(16)	
Interest and dividend revenues	6,395	8,186	(22)	
Less interest expense	7,098	8,785	(19)	
Net interest expense	(703)	(599)	17	
Revenues, net of interest expense	20,217	24,397	(17)	
Non-interest expenses				
Compensation and benefits	14,608	15,773	(7)	
Communications and technology	1,588	1,771	(10)	
Occupancy and related depreciation	1,204	1,367	(12)	
Brokerage, clearing, and exchange fees	951	1,121	(15)	
Advertising and market development	501	500	—	
Professional fees	921	1,029	(10)	
Office supplies and postage	104	126	(17)	
Representations and warranties	(754)	2,731	N/M	
Intercompany service fee expense from Bank of America	1,798	2,320	(23)	
Other	 1,554	2,250	(31)	
Fotal non-interest expenses	 22,475	28,988	(22)	
Pre-tax loss	 (2,258)	(4,591)	(51)	
Income tax benefit	(2,548)	(2,944)	(13)	
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 290	\$ (1,647)	N/M	

(1) Amounts include other income and other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities. The other-than-temporary impairment losses were \$6 million and \$59 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

N/M = Not meaningful.

Consolidated Results of Operations

Our net earnings for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$290 million compared with a net loss of \$1.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011. Net revenues for 2012 were \$20.2 billion compared with \$24.4 billion in 2011.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared With Year Ended December 31, 2011

Principal transactions revenues include both realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading assets and trading liabilities and investment securities classified as trading. Principal transactions revenues were \$2.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with \$5.9 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decline was primarily due to a decrease in revenue associated with the valuation of certain of our liabilities. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded net losses of \$3.2 billion due to the impact of the narrowing of Merrill Lynch's credit spreads on the carrying value of certain of our long-term debt liabilities, primarily structured notes, while in the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded net gains of \$2.0 billion due to the widening of our credit spreads. We also recorded losses from DVA of \$1.1 billion in the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared with

gains from DVA of \$493 million in the prior year. In addition, as discussed below, principal transactions revenues in 2011 included \$418 million of net revenues associated with our stand-alone proprietary trading business, which we exited in June 2011. These decreases in principal transactions revenues were partially offset by improved results from our fixed income trading activities, primarily in our mortgage, credit and rates and currencies businesses. Conditions in the fixed income markets were generally more favorable in 2012 due to an improved global economic climate. During 2011, market conditions were generally difficult as a result of concerns over global and U.S. economic conditions, regulatory uncertainty and the implications of the European sovereign debt crisis, which contributed to heightened market volatility and increased risk aversion among investors. Revenues from our mortgage products business improved, as the results from the year ended December 31, 2011 reflected the more difficult market conditions and included losses from credit valuation adjustments related to financial guarantors. Revenues from credit products increased as lower market volatility drove credit spreads tighter. Investor demand for investment grade and high yield corporate instruments also increased as a result of the lower volatility and relative outperformance compared with 2011. Revenues from rates and currency products also increased, resulting from the improvement in market conditions as compared with the prior year as well as improved positioning.

Included in principal transactions revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011 were net revenues associated with activities we identified as "proprietary trading," which was conducted separately from our customer trading activities. Our stand-alone proprietary trading operations engaged in trading activities in a variety of products, including stocks, bonds, currencies and commodities. In connection with regulatory reform measures and our initiative to optimize our balance sheet, we exited our stand-alone proprietary trading business as of June 30, 2011. The revenues from these operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$442 million, of which \$418 million were included within principal transactions revenues. The remainder of the revenues for these operations were primarily recorded within net interest revenues. See also "Executive Overview - Other Events - Limitations on Proprietary Trading; Sponsorship and Investment in Hedge Funds and Private Equity Funds."

Net interest expense is a function of (i) the level and mix of total assets and liabilities, including trading assets, deposits, financing and lending transactions, and trading strategies associated with our businesses, and (ii) the prevailing level, term structure and volatility of interest rates. Net interest expense is an integral component of trading activity. In assessing the profitability of our client facilitation and trading activities, we view principal transactions and net interest expense in the aggregate as net trading revenues. Changes in the composition of trading inventories and hedge positions can cause the mix of principal transactions revenue and net interest expense to fluctuate from period to period. Net interest expense was \$703 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared with net interest expense of \$599 million in the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in net interest expense was primarily due to lower net interest revenues generated from our fixed income trading activities, partially offset by lower financing costs.

Commissions revenues primarily arise from agency transactions in listed and OTC equity securities and commodities and options. Commissions revenues also include distribution fees for promoting and distributing mutual funds. Commissions revenues were \$5.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, a decrease of 11% from the prior year. The decline was primarily attributable to our global equity products trading business, and included the impact of lower trading volumes globally, as average trading volumes as measured by the major market indices in the U.S., Europe and Pacific Rim regions declined by approximately 27%, 9% and 5%, respectively. Commissions revenues from our global wealth management businesses also declined as a result of lower transaction volumes as compared with the prior year.

Managed account and other fee-based revenues primarily consist of asset-priced portfolio service fees earned from the administration of separately managed and other investment accounts for retail investors, annual account fees, and certain other account-related fees. Managed account and other fee-based revenues were \$5.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of 4% from the prior year. The increase was driven by higher fee-based revenues from our global wealth management activities, reflecting higher levels of fee-based assets from which such revenues are generated as well as increased revenues from fees on new accounts and asset management fees. The increase in fee-based assets was due to both strong client flows into long-term products and market appreciation.

Investment banking revenues include (i) origination revenues representing fees earned from the underwriting of debt, equity and equity-linked securities, as well as loan syndication and commitment fees and (ii) advisory services revenues including merger and acquisition and other investment banking advisory fees. Total investment banking revenues were \$4.9 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, a decrease of 5% from the prior year. Underwriting revenues decreased 2% to \$3.9 billion, as lower revenues from equity issuances were partially offset by an increase in revenues from debt issuances. Revenues from advisory services decreased 15% to \$1.0 billion, reflecting reduced global merger and acquisition transaction fee pools. Investment banking revenues from transactions involving Bank of America were \$125 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$322 million in the year ended December 31, 2011.

Earnings from equity method investments include our pro rata share of income and losses associated with investments accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Earnings from equity method investments were \$285 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with \$347 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease reflected lower revenues from certain equity method investments. Refer to Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on equity method investments.

Intercompany service fee revenues from Bank of America include revenues associated with the provision of certain shared business activities with Bank of America. Intercompany service fee revenues from Bank of America were \$986 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of 4% from the prior year. The increase was driven by higher fees earned from Bank of America in connection with certain shared brokerage and trading activities.

Other revenues include gains and losses on investment securities, including certain available-for-sale securities, gains and losses on private equity investments, and gains and losses on loans and other miscellaneous items. Other revenues were \$1.9 billion in the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared with \$1.7 billion in the year ended December 31, 2011. Other revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 included a gain of approximately \$370 million from the sale of a Japanese brokerage joint venture investment and gains of \$405 million resulting from the repurchase and retirement of certain of our long-term borrowings, while Other revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011 included a gain of \$377 million from the sale of our remaining investment in BlackRock, Inc. Other revenues in 2012 also reflected lower gains from certain private equity investments.

Compensation and benefits expenses were \$14.6 billion in the year ended December 31, 2012, a decrease of 7% from the prior year period. The decrease included lower amortization expense associated with stock-based compensation awards, including awards granted to retirement-eligible employees, as compared with the prior year. Salary and other compensation costs also decreased, which was related to a decline in employee headcount. Incentive-based compensation expense also declined as compared with the prior year.

Non-compensation expenses were \$7.9 billion in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with \$13.2 billion in the prior year. Non-compensation expenses in the year ended December 31, 2012 included an expense reduction of \$754 million to lower our liability for representations and warranties exposures, while the year ended December 31, 2011 included a provision for representations and warranties of \$2.7 billion. See "Off-Balance Sheet Exposures - Representations and Warranties" for further information. Excluding the impact of these items, non-compensation expenses were \$8.6 billion and \$10.5 billion in the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Communications and technology expenses were \$1.6 billion and decreased 10%, primarily due to lower costs for technology equipment and systems consulting. Occupancy and related depreciation expenses were \$1.2 billion, a decrease of 12%, reflecting lower rental and other occupancy costs. Brokerage, clearing and exchange fees were \$951 million and decreased 15%, primarily due to lower brokerage and other fees due to lower transaction volumes. Professional fees were \$921 million, a decrease of 10% due to lower legal, consulting and employment services fees. Intercompany service fee expenses from Bank of America were \$1.8 billion in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with \$2.3 billion in the prior year. The decline reflected a lower level of intercompany service fees from Bank of America were \$1.6 billion, a decrease of 31%, reflecting a decline in litigation-related expenses as well as certain other expenses.

The income tax benefit was \$2.5 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with an income tax benefit of \$2.9 billion for 2011, resulting in effective tax rates of 112.8% and 64.1%, respectively.



Included in the income tax benefit for 2012 was a \$1.7 billion tax benefit attributable to the excess of foreign tax credits recognized in the U.S. upon repatriation of the earnings of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries over the related U.S. tax liability. A portion of the foreign taxes that resulted in the credits were paid in the past by a certain Bank of America subsidiary that was part of the 2012 intragroup reorganization. As discussed below, also included in the income tax benefit was a \$781 million charge to reduce the carrying value of certain U.K. net deferred tax assets due to the 2% U.K. corporate income tax rate reduction that was enacted during the third quarter of 2012. Our effective tax rate for 2012 excluding these two items was 72.2% and was greater than the statutory rate due to the impact of other non-U.S. items, including an increase in our accumulated earnings presumed to be permanently reinvested in non-U.S. subsidiaries.

The income tax benefit for 2011 was driven by our recurring tax preference items, a \$1.0 billion benefit from the release of the remaining valuation allowance applicable to capital loss carryover deferred tax asset and a benefit of \$593 million for planned realization of previously unrecognized deferred tax assets related to the tax basis in certain subsidiaries. These benefits were partially offset by a \$774 million charge for the U.K. corporate income tax rate reduction enacted in 2011 and by a \$258 million charge to establish a valuation allowance for a portion of certain non-U.S. deferred tax assets.

On July 17, 2012, the U.K. 2012 Finance Bill was enacted, which reduced the U.K. corporate income tax rate by two percent to 23%. The first one percent reduction was effective April 1, 2012 and the second will be effective April 1, 2013. These reductions favorably affect income tax expense on future U.K. earnings, but also required us to remeasure our U.K. net deferred tax assets using the lower tax rates. If the U.K. corporate income tax rate were to be reduced to 21% by 2014, as suggested in U.K. Treasury announcements and assuming no change in the deferred tax asset balance, we would record a charge to income tax expense of approximately \$800 million in the period of enactment.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET EXPOSURES

As a part of our normal operations, we enter into various off-balance sheet arrangements that may require future payments. The table and discussion below outline our significant off-balance sheet arrangements, as well as their future expirations, as of December 31, 2012. Refer to Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

(dollars in millions)

	Expiration							
	ľ	Maximum Payout		Less than 1 Year		1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years	Over 5 Years
Standby liquidity facilities	\$	125	\$	106	\$	_	\$ 3	\$ 16
Residual value guarantees		320		320				
Standby letters of credit and other guarantees		491		333		112	37	9

Standby Liquidity Facilities

We provide standby liquidity facilities primarily to certain unconsolidated municipal bond securitization variable interest entities ("VIEs"). In these arrangements, we are required to fund these standby liquidity facilities if certain contingent events take place (e.g., a failed remarketing) and in certain cases if the fair value of the assets held by the VIE declines below the stated amount of the liquidity obligation. The potential exposure under the facilities is mitigated by economic hedges and/or other contractual arrangements entered into by Merrill Lynch. Refer to Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Residual Value Guarantees

At December 31, 2012, residual value guarantees of \$320 million consist of amounts associated with certain power plant facilities. Payments under these guarantees would only be required if the fair value of such assets declined below their guaranteed value. As of December 31, 2012, no payments have been made under these guarantees and the carrying value of the associated liabilities was not material, as (i) Merrill Lynch believes that the estimated fair value of such assets was in excess of their guaranteed value and/or (ii) there is a very remote risk of future payment pursuant to the remaining contractual provisions.

Standby Letters of Credit

At December 31, 2012, we provided guarantees to certain counterparties in the form of standby letters of credit in the amount of \$0.5 billion.

Representations and Warranties

In prior years, Merrill Lynch and certain of its subsidiaries, including First Franklin Financial Corporation ("First Franklin"), sold pools of first-lien residential mortgage loans and home equity loans as private-label securitizations (in a limited number of these securitizations, monolines insured all or some of the securities) or in the form of whole loans. Most of the loans sold in the form of whole loans were subsequently pooled into private-label securitizations sponsored by the third-party buyer of the whole loans. In addition, Merrill Lynch and First Franklin securitized first-lien residential mortgage loans generally in the form of mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by the government sponsored enterprises (the "GSEs"). In connection with these transactions, we made various representations and warranties. Breaches of these representations and warranties may result in the requirement to repurchase mortgage loans or to otherwise make whole or provide other remedies to the GSEs, whole-loan investors, securitization trusts or monoline insurers (collectively, "repurchases"). In all such cases, we would be exposed to any credit loss on the repurchased mortgage loans after accounting for any mortgage insurance or mortgage guarantee payments that we may receive.

Subject to the requirements and limitations of the applicable sales and securitization agreements, these representations and warranties can be enforced by the GSEs, the whole-loan investor, the securitization trustee, or others as governed by the applicable agreement or, in a limited number of first-lien and home equity securitizations where monoline insurers have insured all or some of the securities issued, by the monoline insurer, where the contract so provides. In the case of loans sold to parties other than the GSEs, the contractual liability to repurchase typically arises only if there is a breach of the representations and warranties that materially and adversely affects the interest of the investor, or investors, or of the monoline insurer (as applicable) in the loan. Contracts with the GSEs do not contain equivalent language.

For additional information about accounting for representations and warranties and our representations and warranties repurchase claims and exposures, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We have vigorously contested any request for repurchase when we conclude that a valid basis for repurchase does not exist and will continue to do so in the future. We may reach settlements in the future if opportunities arise on terms we believe to be advantageous.

Recent Developments Related to the Bank of America BNY Mellon Settlement

As a result of Bank of America's settlement (the "BNY Mellon Settlement") with the Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee (the "Trustee") in the second quarter of 2011, Merrill Lynch determined that it had sufficient experience to record a liability of \$2.7 billion in that period related to its exposure on certain private-label securitizations. Recent levels of claims and file requests with certain counterparties have been less than originally anticipated and, as a result, we recorded a reduction to non-interest expenses of \$754 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 that lowered the liability for representations and warranties exposures, as a portion of the loss was no longer deemed probable.

The BNY Mellon Settlement is subject to final court approval and certain other conditions. On August 10, 2012, the court issued an order setting a schedule for discovery and other proceedings, and setting May 2, 2013 as the date for the final court hearing on the settlement to begin. Bank of America and Merrill Lynch are not parties to the proceeding. If final court approval is not obtained by December 31, 2015, Bank of America may withdraw from the BNY Mellon Settlement, if the Trustee consents. The BNY Mellon Settlement also provides that if trusts among the 525 first-lien and five second-lien non-GSE securitization trusts holding loans with an unpaid principal balance exceeding a specified amount are excluded from the final BNY Mellon Settlement, based on investor objections or otherwise, Bank of America has the option to withdraw from the BNY Mellon Settlement agreement.

It is not currently possible to predict how many parties will ultimately object to the BNY Mellon Settlement, whether the objections will prevent receipt of final court approval or the ultimate outcome of the court approval process, which can include appeals and could take a substantial period of time. In particular, conduct of discovery and the resolution of the objections to the settlement and any appeals could take a substantial period of time and these factors could materially delay the timing of final court approval. Accordingly, it is not possible to predict when the court approval process will be completed.

There can be no assurance that final court approval of the BNY Mellon Settlement will be obtained, that all conditions to the BNY Mellon Settlement will be satisfied or, if certain conditions to the BNY Mellon Settlement permitting withdrawal are met, that Bank of America will not withdraw from the settlement. If final court approval is not obtained or if Bank of America withdraws from the BNY Mellon Settlement in accordance with its terms, Merrill Lynch's future representations and warranties losses could be substantially different than existing accruals and the estimated range of possible loss over existing accruals.

Unresolved Repurchase Claims

Unresolved representations and warranties repurchase claims represent the notional amount of repurchase claims made by counterparties, typically the outstanding principal balance or the unpaid principal balance at the time of default. In the case of first-lien mortgages, this amount is often significantly greater than the expected loss amount due to the benefit of collateral and, in some cases, mortgage insurance or mortgage guarantee payments. Claims received from a counterparty remain outstanding until the underlying loan is repurchased, the claim is rescinded by the counterparty, or the claim is otherwise resolved.

The notional amount of unresolved repurchase claims from private-label securitization trustees, whole-loan investors and others increased to \$5.8 billion at December 31, 2012 compared with \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2011. The increase in the notional amount of unresolved repurchase claims is primarily due to increases in the submission of claims by private-label securitization trustees; the level of detail, support and analysis impacting overall claim quality, and, therefore, claims resolution; and the lack of an established process to resolve disputes related to these claims. We anticipated an increase in aggregate non-GSE claims at the time of the BNY Mellon Settlement in June 2011, and such increase in aggregate non-GSE claims was taken into consideration in developing the increase in our representations and warranties liability at that time. Although recent claims activity has been lower than anticipated, we expect unresolved repurchase claims related to private-label securitizations to continue to increase as claims continue to be submitted by private-label securitizations require repurchase claimants to show that a breach of representations and warranties had a material adverse impact on the claimant. We believe this to mean that the claimant is required to prove that the breach caused a loss to investors in the trust (or in certain cases, to the monoline insurer or other financial guarantor). We also believe that many of the defaults observed in private-label securitizations have been, and continue to be, driven by external factors, such as the substantial depreciation in home prices, persistently high unemployment and other negative economic trends, diminishing the likelihood that breaches of representations and warranties, where present, caused a loss.

The table below presents unresolved representations and warranties claims by counterparty at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The unresolved repurchase claims include only claims where we believe that the counterparty has a basis to submit claims. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we received \$4.8 billion of new repurchase claims, which consists of approximately \$4.7 billion from private-label securitization trustees, \$54 million from GSEs, \$22 million from whole-loan investors and \$17 million from monoline investors.

Unresolved Repurchase Claims by Counterparty

	December 31,					
		2012	2011			
GSEs	\$	93 \$	65			
Monoline		147	136			
Whole-loan investors, private-label securitization trustees and other		5,805	1,101			
Total	\$	6,045 \$	1,302			

At December 31, 2012, the notional amount of unresolved repurchase claims was \$6,045 million. We have performed an initial review with respect to \$4,922 million of these claims and do not believe a valid basis for repurchase has been established by the claimants. We are still in the process of reviewing the remaining \$1,123 million of these claims. When a claim has been denied and there has not been communication with the counterparty for six months, Merrill Lynch views these claims as inactive; however, they remain in the unresolved repurchase claims balance until resolution.

In addition to the total unresolved repurchase claims above, there are \$1.3 billion in repurchase demands outstanding from a master servicer where we believe the claimant has not satisfied the contractual thresholds to direct the securitization trustee to take action and/or that these demands are otherwise procedurally or substantively invalid. We do not believe the \$1.3 billion in demands received are valid repurchase claims, and therefore it is not possible to predict the resolution with respect to such demands.

Cash Settlements

As presented in the table below, during the year ended December 31, 2012, we paid \$73 million to resolve \$73 million of repurchase claims through repurchase or reimbursement to investors or securitization trusts for losses they incurred, resulting in a loss on the related loans at the time of repurchase or reimbursement to investors or securitization trusts for losses they incurred, resulting in a loss on the related loans at the time of repurchase or reimbursement to investors or securitization trusts for losses they incurred, resulting in a loss on the related loans at the time of repurchase or reimbursement to investors or securitization trusts for losses they incurred, resulting in a loss on the related loans at the time of repurchase or reimbursement of \$48 million. Cash paid for loan repurchases includes the unpaid principal balance of the loan plus past due interest. The amount of loss for loan repurchases is reduced by the fair value of the underlying loan collateral. The repurchase of loans and indemnification payments related to repurchase claims generally resulted from material breaches of representations and warranties related to the loans' material compliance with the applicable underwriting standards, including borrower misrepresentation, credit exceptions without sufficient compensating factors and non-compliance with underwriting procedures. The actual representations and warranties made in a sales transaction and the resulting repurchase and indemnification activity can vary by transaction or investor. A direct relationship between the type of defect that causes the breach of representations and warranties and the severity of the realized loss has not been observed.
Table of Contents

(dollars in millions)

		Year Ended Decembe	er 31,
	2	012	2011
Claims resolved (1)	\$	73 \$	62
Damasharaa		14	12
Repurchases Indemnification payments		14 59	12 46
Total payments	\$	73 \$	58
(1) D			

(1) Represents unpaid principal balance.

Liability for Representations and Warranties

The liability for representations and warranties is included in Interest and other payables on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the related provision is included in Non-interest expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss).

Our estimate of the liability for representations and warranties exposures and the corresponding range of possible loss is based on currently available information, significant judgment, and a number of other factors and assumptions that are subject to change. For additional information, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The liability for representations and warranties exposures and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss for these representations and warranties exposures do not consider any losses related to litigation matters disclosed in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, nor do they include any potential securities law or fraud claims or potential indemnity or other claims against us. We are not able to reasonably estimate the amount of any possible loss with respect to any such securities law, fraud or other claims against us, except to the extent reflected in the aggregate range of possible loss for litigation and regulatory matters disclosed in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements; however, such loss could be material.

At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the liability for representations and warranties was \$2.0 billion and \$2.8 billion. As a result of the BNY Mellon Settlement in the second quarter of 2011, we determined that we had sufficient experience to record a liability of \$2.7 billion in that period related to our exposure on certain private-label securitizations. Recent levels of claims and file requests with certain counterparties have been less than originally anticipated and, as a result, we recorded a reduction to non-interest expenses of \$754 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 that lowered the liability for representations and warranties exposures, as a portion of the loss was no longer deemed probable.

Estimated Range of Possible Loss

Our estimated liability at December 31, 2012 for obligations under representations and warranties is necessarily dependent on, and limited by, a number of factors, including for private-label securitizations, the implied repurchase experience based on the BNY Mellon Settlement, as well as certain other assumptions and judgmental factors. Accordingly, future provisions associated with obligations under representations and warranties may be materially impacted if actual experiences are different from our historical experience or our understandings, interpretations or assumptions.

The representations and warranties liability represents our best estimate of probable incurred losses as of December 31, 2012. However, it is reasonably possible that future representations and warranties losses may occur in excess of the amounts recorded for these exposures. In addition, we have not recorded any representations and warranties liability for certain private-label securitizations sponsored by whole-loan investors, where we have little to no claim experience. We currently estimate that the range of possible loss for representations and warranties primarily of non-GSE exposures, could be up to \$1.1 billion over accruals at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$0.6 billion from December 31, 2011. The increase in the range of possible loss was primarily

attributable to the reduction in our liability for representations and warranties exposures discussed above. The estimated range of possible loss related to these representations and warranties exposures does not represent a probable loss and is based on currently available information, significant judgment, and a number of assumptions that are subject to change. For additional information about the methodology used to estimate the representations and warranties liability and the corresponding range of possible loss, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Future provisions and/or ranges of possible loss for representations and warranties may be significantly impacted if actual experiences are different from our assumptions in our predictive models, including, without limitation, the ultimate resolution of the BNY Mellon Settlement, estimated repurchase rates, economic conditions, estimated home prices, consumer and counterparty behavior, and a variety of other judgmental factors. Adverse developments with respect to one or more of the assumptions underlying the liability for representations and warranties and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss could result in significant increases to future provisions and/or this estimated range of possible loss. For example, if courts, in the context of claims brought by private-label securitization trustees, were to disagree with our interpretation that the underlying agreements require a claimant to prove that the representations and warranties breach was the cause of the loss, it could significantly impact the estimated range of possible loss.

Additionally, if recent court rulings related to monoline litigation, including one related to an affiliate of ours, that have allowed sampling of loan files instead of requiring a loan-by-loan review to determine if a representations and warranties breach has occurred, are followed generally by the courts in other monoline litigation, private-label securitization counterparties may view litigation as a more attractive alternative as compared to a loan-by-loan review. Finally, although we believe that the representations and warranties typically given in non-GSE transactions are less rigorous and actionable than those given in GSE transactions, we do not have significant experience resolving loan-level claims in non-GSE transactions to measure the impact of these differences on the probability that a loan will be required to be repurchased.

Experience with Non-GSE Investors

As presented in the table below, Merrill Lynch, including First Franklin, sold loans originated from 2004 to 2008 (primarily subprime and alt-A) with an original principal balance of \$132 billion to investors other than the GSEs (although the GSEs are investors in certain private-label securitizations), of which approximately \$65 billion in principal has been paid off and \$45 billion has defaulted or is severely delinquent (i.e., 180 days or more past due) at December 31, 2012.

As it relates to private-label securitizations, a contractual liability to repurchase mortgage loans generally arises only if counterparties prove there is a breach of the representations and warranties that materially and adversely affects the interest of the investor or all investors in a securitization trust or of the monoline insurer (as applicable). We believe that the longer a loan performs, the less likely it is that an alleged representations and warranties breach had a material impact on the loan's performance or that a breach even exists. Because the majority of the borrowers in this population would have made a significant number of payments if they are not yet 180 days or more past due, we believe that the principal balance at the greatest risk for repurchase claims in this population of private-label securitization investors are loans that already have defaulted and those that are currently severely delinquent. Additionally, only counterparties with the contractual right to demand repurchase of a loan can present valid repurchase claims. In the case of private-label securitization trust investors, they generally have to meet certain presentation thresholds in order to require trustees to present repurchase claims.

While we believe the agreements for private-label securitizations generally contain less rigorous representations and warranties and place higher burdens on investors seeking repurchases than the explicit provisions of the comparable agreements with the GSEs, without regard to any variations that may have arisen as a result of dealings with the GSEs, the agreements generally include a representation that underwriting practices were prudent and customary.

The following table details the population of loans originated between 2004 and 2008 and the population of loans sold as whole loans or in non-GSE private-label securitizations by entity together with the defaulted and severely



Table of Contents

delinquent loans stratified by the number of payments the borrower made prior to default or becoming severely delinquent as of December 31, 2012. In connection with these transactions, we provided representations and warranties, and the whole-loan investors may retain those rights even when the whole loans were aggregated with other collateral into private-label securitizations sponsored by the whole-loan investors. At least 25 payments have been made on approximately 60% of the defaulted and severely delinquent loans. In the current year, we have received approximately \$4.7 billion of representations and warranties claims from private-label securitization trustees related to these vintages, and approximately \$22 million from whole-loan investors related to these vintages. We believe that many of the defaults observed in these securitizations have been, and continue to be, driven by external factors, such as the substantial depreciation in home prices, persistently high unemployment and other negative economic trends, diminishing the likelihood that any loan defect (assuming one exists at all) was the cause of a loan's default. As of December 31, 2012, approximately 34% of the loans sold to non-GSE counterparties that were originated between 2004 and 2008 have defaulted or are severely delinquent.

(dollars in billions)

		Р	rinci	pal Balance				Principal at Risk							
Entity	Pr	riginal incipal alance		Outstanding Principal Balance December 31, 2012	Outstanding Principal Balance Over 180 Days	efaulted pal Balance	Defaulted or Severely Delinquent		Borrower Made Less than 13 Payments		Borrower Made 13 to 24 Payments		Borrower Made 25 to 36 Payments	Ma T	orrower de More han 36 yments
Merrill Lynch (excluding First Franklin)	\$	50	\$	14	\$ 4	\$ 13	\$ 17	\$	3	\$	4	\$	3	\$	7
First Franklin		82		18	 5	 23	 28		5		6		5		12
Total ⁽¹⁾	\$	132	\$	32	\$ 9	\$ 36	\$ 45	\$	8	\$	10	\$	8	\$	19

(1) Excludes transactions sponsored by Merrill Lynch where no representations or warranties were made.

Legal Matters

Merrill Lynch has been named as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions, and other litigation arising in connection with its activities as a global diversified financial services institution. Refer to Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information, including the estimated aggregate range of possible loss.

Derivatives

We record all derivative transactions at fair value on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We do not monitor our exposure to derivatives based on the notional amount because that amount is not a relevant indicator of our risk to these contracts, as it is generally not indicative of the amount that we would owe on the contract. Instead, a risk framework is used to define risk tolerances and establish limits to help to ensure that certain risk-related losses occur within acceptable, predefined limits. Derivatives that meet the accounting definition of a guarantee and credit derivatives are included in Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Involvement with VIEs

We transact with VIEs in a variety of capacities, including those that we help establish as well as those initially established by third parties. We utilize VIEs in the ordinary course of business to support our own and our customers' financing and investing needs. Merrill Lynch securitizes loans and debt securities using VIEs as a source of funding and a means of transferring the economic risk of the loans or debt securities to third parties. We also administer, structure or invest in or enter into derivatives with other VIEs, including multi-seller conduits, municipal bond trusts, collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") and other entities. Our involvement with VIEs can vary and we are required to continuously reassess prior consolidation and disclosure conclusions (refer to Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). Refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of our consolidation accounting policy.

Contractual Obligations

We have contractual obligations to make future payments of debt, lease and other agreements. Additionally, in the normal course of business, we enter into contractual arrangements whereby we commit to future purchases of products or services from unaffiliated parties. Other obligations include our contractual funding obligations related to our employee benefit plans. See Notes 12, 14 and 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In the normal course of business, we periodically guarantee the obligations of affiliates in a variety of transactions including International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA") -related and non ISDA-related transactions such as commodities trading, repurchase agreements, prime brokerage agreements and other transactions. We have also entered into an agreement with a non-U.S. regulator that could allow it, in its capacity as regulator, to request payments from us to support obligations to clients of the regulated non-U.S. branch. We believe the likelihood of payment under the terms of this agreement to be remote.

FUNDING AND LIQUIDITY

Funding

We fund our assets primarily with a mix of secured and unsecured liabilities through a globally coordinated funding strategy with Bank of America. We fund a portion of our trading assets with secured liabilities, including repurchase agreements, securities loaned and other short-term secured borrowings, which are less sensitive to our credit ratings due to the underlying collateral. Refer to Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our borrowings.

Beginning late in the third quarter of 2009, in connection with the update or renewal of certain Merrill Lynch international securities offering programs, Bank of America agreed to guarantee debt securities, warrants and/or certificates issued by certain subsidiaries of ML & Co. on a going forward basis. All existing ML & Co. guarantees of securities issued by those same Merrill Lynch subsidiaries under various international securities offering programs will remain in full force and effect as long as those securities are outstanding, and Bank of America has not assumed any of those prior ML & Co. guarantees or otherwise guaranteed such securities. There were approximately \$7.0 billion of securities guaranteed by Bank of America at December 31, 2012. In addition, Bank of America has guaranteed the performance of Merrill Lynch on certain derivative transactions. The aggregate amount of such derivative liabilities was approximately \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2012.

Following the completion of Bank of America's acquisition of Merrill Lynch, ML & Co. became a subsidiary of Bank of America and established intercompany lending and borrowing arrangements to facilitate centralized liquidity management. Included in these intercompany agreements is a \$75 billion one-year revolving unsecured line of credit that allows ML & Co. to borrow funds from Bank of America at a spread to the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") that is reset periodically and is consistent with other intercompany agreements. This credit line was renewed effective January 1, 2013 with a maturity date of January 1, 2014. The credit line will automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding January 1st unless Bank of America provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. The agreement does not contain any financial or other covenants. There were no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

In addition to the \$75 billion unsecured line of credit, there is also a revolving unsecured line of credit that allows ML & Co. to borrow up to \$25 billion from Bank of America. Interest on borrowings under the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit does not contain any financial or other covenants. The line of credit matures on February 11, 2014. There were no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.



Table of Contents

Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("MLPF&S") also has the following borrowing agreements with Bank of America:

- A \$4 billion one-year revolving unsecured line of credit Interest on the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The credit line matures on November 1, 2013 and may automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding November 1 st unless Bank of America provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. At both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit.
- A \$15 billion 364-day revolving unsecured line of credit Interest on the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit matures on February 18, 2014. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, approximately \$0.9 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively, was outstanding under the line of credit.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, \$2.6 billion that was outstanding under the following MLPF&S borrowing agreements with Bank of America was repaid and the agreements were terminated. The two terminated agreements below were replaced by intercompany funding arrangements between MLPF&S and ML & Co.

- A subordinated loan agreement for approximately \$1.5 billion Interest under this agreement was calculated based on a spread to LIBOR.
- A \$7.0 billion revolving subordinated line of credit Interest under this agreement was calculated based on a spread to LIBOR.

Bank of America and Merrill Lynch have entered into certain intercompany lending and borrowing arrangements to facilitate centralized liquidity management. Included in these arrangements is a \$50 billion extendible one-year revolving credit facility that allows Bank of America to borrow funds from Merrill Lynch at a spread to LIBOR that is reset periodically and is consistent with other intercompany agreements. The credit facility matures on January 1, 2014 and will automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding January 1st unless Merrill Lynch provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. There were no amounts outstanding at both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 under this credit facility. There is also a short-term revolving credit facility that allows Bank of America to borrow up to an additional \$25 billion. Interest on borrowings under the credit facility is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit matures on February 11, 2014. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, approximately \$16.2 billion, respectively, was outstanding under this credit facility.

Credit Ratings

Our borrowing costs and ability to raise funds are impacted by our credit ratings. In addition, credit ratings may be important to customers or counterparties when we compete in certain markets and when we seek to engage in certain transactions, including OTC derivatives. Thus, it is our objective to maintain high-quality credit ratings.

Credit ratings and outlooks are opinions expressed by rating agencies on our creditworthiness and that of our obligations or securities, including longterm debt, short-term borrowings and other securities, including asset securitizations. Following the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America, the major credit rating agencies have indicated that the primary drivers of Merrill Lynch's credit ratings are Bank of America's credit ratings. Bank of America's credit ratings are subject to ongoing review by the rating agencies, which consider a number of factors, including Bank of America's financial strength, performance, prospects and operations as well as factors not under Bank of America's control. The rating agencies could make adjustments to our ratings at any time and they provide no assurances that they will maintain our ratings at current levels.

Other factors that influence Bank of America's and our credit ratings include changes to the rating agencies' methodologies for our industry or certain security types, the rating agencies' assessment of the general operating environment for financial services companies, our mortgage exposures, our relative positions in the markets in



which we compete, reputation, liquidity position, diversity of funding sources, funding costs, the level and volatility of earnings, corporate governance and risk management policies, capital position, capital management practices, and current or future regulatory and legislative initiatives.

On December 20, 2012, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P") published a full credit analysis report on Bank of America, leaving the credit ratings for Bank of America and, consequently, ML & Co. unchanged as of that date. On October 10, 2012, Fitch Ratings ("Fitch") announced the results of its periodic review of its ratings for 12 large, complex securities trading and universal banks, including Bank of America. As part of this action, Fitch affirmed Bank of America's and ML & Co.'s credit ratings. On June 21, 2012, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") completed its previously-announced review for possible downgrade of financial institutions with global capital markets operations, downgrading the ratings of 15 banks and securities firms, including the ratings of Bank of America and ML & Co. Bank of America's and ML & Co.'s long-term debt ratings were downgraded one notch as part of this action. The Moody's downgrade has not had a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. Each of the three major rating agencies, Moody's, S&P and Fitch, downgraded the ratings of Bank of America and ML & Co. in late 2011.

Currently, Bank of America's and ML & Co.'s long-term/short-term senior debt ratings and outlooks expressed by the rating agencies are as follows: Baa2/P-2 (negative) by Moody's; A-/A-2 (negative) by S&P; and A/F1 (stable) by Fitch. MLPF&S's long-term/short-term senior debt ratings and outlooks are A/A-1 (negative) by S&P and A/F1 (stable) by Fitch. Merrill Lynch International, a U.K.-based registered investment firm and subsidiary of ML & Co., has a long-term/short-term senior debt rating and outlook of A/A-1 (negative) by S&P. Merrill Lynch International Bank Limited, an Ireland-based bank subsidiary of ML & Co., has a long-term/short-term senior debt rating and outlook of A/F1 (stable) by Fitch.

The major rating agencies have each indicated that, as a systemically important financial institution, Bank of America's (and consequently ML & Co.'s) credit ratings currently reflect their expectation that, if necessary, Bank of America would receive significant support from the U.S. government, and that they will continue to assess such support in the context of sovereign financial strength and regulatory and legislative developments.

A further reduction in certain of our credit ratings may have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, potential loss of access to credit markets, the related cost of funds, our businesses and on certain trading revenues, particularly in those businesses where counterparty creditworthiness is critical. In addition, under the terms of certain OTC derivative contracts and other trading agreements, in the event of further downgrades of our credit ratings, the counterparties to those agreements may require us to provide additional collateral, or to terminate these contracts or agreements, which could cause us to sustain losses and/or adversely impact our liquidity. If Bank of America's or ML & Co.'s short-term credit ratings, or those of our bank or broker-dealer subsidiaries, were downgraded by one or more levels, the potential loss of access to short-term funding sources, such as repurchase agreement financing, and the effect on our incremental cost of funds could be material.

At December 31, 2012, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by one incremental notch, the amount of additional collateral contractually required by derivative contracts and other trading agreements would have been approximately \$0.4 billion. If the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by a second incremental notch, approximately \$4.0 billion in additional incremental collateral would have been required.

Also, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by one incremental notch, the derivative liability that would be subject to unilateral termination by counterparties as of December 31, 2012 was \$1.9 billion, against which \$1.2 billion of collateral has been posted. If the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by a second incremental notch, the derivative liability that would be subject to unilateral termination by counterparties as of December 31, 2012 was an incremental so the subject second incremental notch, the derivative liability that would be subject to unilateral termination by counterparties as of December 31, 2012 was an incremental \$1.3 billion, against which \$0.7 billion of collateral has been posted.

While certain potential impacts are contractual and quantifiable, the full scope of consequences of a credit ratings downgrade to a financial institution is inherently uncertain, as it depends upon numerous dynamic, complex and inter-related factors and assumptions, including whether any downgrade of a firm's long-term credit ratings precipitates downgrades to its short-term credit ratings, and assumptions about the potential behaviors of various customers, investors and counterparties.

For information regarding the additional collateral and termination payments that would be required in connection with certain OTC derivative contracts and other trading agreements as a result of such a credit ratings downgrade, see Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Item 1A. "Risk Factors."

As part of Bank of America's efforts to streamline its organizational structure and reduce complexity and costs, it has reduced and intends to continue to reduce the number of its subsidiaries, including through intercompany mergers. In connection with these efforts, Bank of America may merge ML & Co. with and into Bank of America Corporation. There is no assurance such merger will occur or the timing thereof. Any such merger would be subject to applicable regulatory approvals, consents and other conditions of closing.

U.S. Sovereign Credit Ratings

On June 8, 2012, S&P affirmed its AA+ long-term and A-1+ short-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S. government. The outlook remains negative. On July 10, 2012, Fitch affirmed its AAA long-term and F1+ short-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S. government. The outlook remains negative. Moody's also rates the U.S. government AAA with a negative outlook. All three rating agencies have indicated that they will continue to assess fiscal projections and consolidation measures, as well as the medium-term economic outlook for the U.S.



Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market Risk Management

Merrill Lynch defines market risk as the potential change in value of financial instruments caused by fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, equity and commodity prices, credit spreads, and related risks.

Control and Governance Structure

On January 1, 2009, pursuant to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America, Merrill Lynch adopted Bank of America's risk management and governance practices to maintain consistent risk measurement and disciplined risk taking. Bank of America's risk management structure as applicable to Merrill Lynch is described below.

The Global Markets Risk Committee ("GMRC"), chaired by the Global Markets Risk Executive, has been designated by the Asset, Liability and Market Risk Committee ("ALMRC") as the primary governance authority for global markets risk management including trading risk management. The GMRC's focus is to take a forward-looking view of the primary credit and market risks impacting Bank of America's Global Markets business (which includes Merrill Lynch's sales and trading businesses) and prioritize those that need a proactive risk mitigation strategy. Market risks that impact businesses outside of the Global Markets business are monitored and governed by their respective governance authorities.

The GMRC monitors significant daily revenues and losses by business and the primary drivers of the revenues or losses. Thresholds are in place for each of our businesses in order to determine if the revenue or loss is considered to be significant for that business. If any of the thresholds are exceeded, an explanation of the variance is provided to the GMRC. The thresholds are developed in coordination with the respective risk managers to highlight those revenues or losses that exceed what is considered to be normal daily income statement volatility.

Value-at-Risk ("VaR")

To evaluate risk in our trading activities, we focus on the actual and potential volatility of individual positions as well as portfolios. VaR is a key statistic used to measure market risk. In order to manage day-to-day risks, VaR is subject to trading limits both for our overall trading portfolio and within individual businesses. All trading limit excesses are communicated to management for review.

A VaR model simulates the value of a portfolio under a range of hypothetical scenarios in order to generate a distribution of potential gains and losses. VaR represents the loss the portfolio is expected to experience within a given confidence level based on historical data. With any VaR model, there are significant and numerous assumptions that will differ from company to company. In addition, the accuracy of a VaR model depends on the availability and quality of historical data for each of the positions in the portfolio. A VaR model may require additional modeling assumptions for new products that do not have extensive historical price data or for illiquid positions for which accurate daily prices are not consistently available.

A VaR model is an effective tool in estimating ranges of potential gains and losses on our trading portfolios. There are, however, many limitations inherent in a VaR model as it utilizes historical results over a defined time period to estimate future performance. Historical results may not always be indicative of future results and changes in market conditions or in the composition of the underlying portfolio could have a material impact on the accuracy of the VaR model. In order for the VaR model to reflect current market conditions, we update the historical data underlying our VaR model on a bi-weekly basis and regularly review the assumptions underlying the model. Our VaR model utilizes three years of historical data. This time period was chosen to ensure that VaR reflects both a broad range of market movements as well as being sensitive to recent changes in market volatility. In addition, certain types of risks associated with positions that are illiquid and/or unobservable are not included in VaR. If these risks are determined to be material, the VaR model results will be supplemented.

We continually review, evaluate and enhance our VaR model so that it reflects the material risks in our trading portfolio. Changes to the VaR model are reviewed and approved prior to implementation and any material changes



are reported to management through the appropriate governance committees. Nevertheless, due to the limitations previously discussed, we have historically used the VaR model as only one of the components in managing our trading risk and also use other techniques such as stress testing and desk level limits. Periods of extreme market stress influence the reliability of these techniques to various degrees.

The accompanying table presents year-end, average, high and low daily trading VaR for the year ended December 31, 2012, as well as a comparison to the year-end and average VaR for the year ended December 31, 2011.

2012 Trading Activities Market Risk VaR

(dollars in millions)

	012 ar End	2012 Quarterly Average ⁽³⁾		2012 High	2012 Low	2011 ear End	2011 Quarterly Average ⁽⁴⁾
Trading value-at-risk ⁽¹⁾							
Foreign exchange	\$ 23	\$ 20	\$	24	\$ 14	\$ 14	\$ 15
Interest rate	43	38		43	33	39	40
Credit	88	49		88	27	56	102
Real estate / mortgage	16	27		36	16	32	64
Commodities	13	13		16	10	14	18
Equities	19	20		24	16	18	33
Subtotal ⁽²⁾	 202	 167	-			 173	272
Diversification benefit	(102)	(99)				(93)	(130)
Overall	\$ 100	\$ 68	-			\$ 80	\$ 142

(1) Based on a 99% confidence level and a one-day holding period.

(2) Subtotals are not provided for highs and lows as they are not

- meaningful.
- (3) Amounts represent the average of the quarter-end VaR results for 2012.
- (4) Amounts represent the average of the quarter-end VaR results for 2011.

The decrease in average VaR for 2012 was driven by reduced risk across most asset classes, with the largest reductions coming from the Credit, Real estate / mortgage and Equities asset classes. In addition, volatile market data from 2008, which was a material contribution to the 2011 average VaR, was no longer included in the three-year historical data for the 2012 average VaR.

Credit Risk Management

Counterparty Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss arising from the inability or failure of a borrower or counterparty to meet its obligations. Credit risk can also arise from operational failures that result in an erroneous advance, commitment or investment of funds. Merrill Lynch defines the credit exposure to a borrower or counterparty as the loss potential arising from all product classifications, including loans, derivatives, assets held-for-sale and unfunded lending commitments, which include loan commitments, letters of credit and financial guarantees. Derivative positions are recorded at fair value and assets held-for-sale are recorded at either the lower of cost or fair value. Certain loans and unfunded commitments are accounted for under the fair value option. Credit risk for these categories of assets is not accounted for as part of the allowance for credit losses but as part of the fair value adjustments recorded in earnings. For derivative positions, our credit risk is measured as the net cost in the event the counterparties with contracts in which we are in a gain position fail to perform under the terms of those contracts. We use the current mark-to-market value to represent credit exposure without giving consideration to future mark-to-market changes. The credit risk amounts take into consideration the effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements and



Table of Contents

cash collateral. Our consumer and commercial credit extension and review procedures take into account funded and unfunded credit exposures.

We manage credit risk based on the risk profile of the borrower or counterparty, repayment sources, the nature of underlying collateral, and other support given current events, conditions and expectations. We classify our portfolios as either consumer or commercial and monitor credit risk in each as discussed below.

Commercial Portfolio

Credit risk management for the commercial portfolio begins with an assessment of the credit risk profile of the borrower or counterparty based on an analysis of its financial position. As part of the overall credit risk assessment, our commercial credit exposures are assigned a risk rating and are subject to approval based on defined credit approval standards. Subsequent to loan origination, risk ratings are monitored on an ongoing basis, and if necessary, adjusted to reflect changes in the financial condition, cash flow, risk profile or outlook of a borrower or counterparty. In making credit decisions, we consider risk rating, collateral, country, industry and single name concentration limits while also balancing the total borrower or counterparty relationship. Our business and risk management personnel use a variety of tools to continuously monitor the ability of a borrower or counterparty to perform under its obligations. We use risk rating aggregations to measure and evaluate concentrations within portfolios. In addition, risk ratings are a factor in determining the level of assigned economic capital and the allowance for credit losses.

Commercial credit risk is evaluated and managed with the goal that concentrations of credit exposure do not result in undesirable levels of risk. We review, measure and manage concentrations of credit exposure by industry, product, geography, customer relationship and loan size. We also review, measure and manage commercial real estate loans by geographic location and property type. In addition, within our international portfolio, we evaluate exposures by region and by country. We also utilize syndications of exposure to third parties, loan sales, hedging and other risk mitigation techniques to manage the size and risk profile of the commercial credit portfolio.

We account for certain large corporate loans and loan commitments, including issued but unfunded letters of credit which are considered utilized for credit risk management purposes, that exceed our single name credit risk concentration guidelines under the fair value option. Lending commitments, both funded and unfunded, are actively managed and monitored, and as appropriate, credit risk for these lending relationships may be mitigated through the use of credit derivatives, with our credit view and market perspectives determining the size and timing of the hedging activity. In addition, we purchase credit protection to cover the funded portion as well as the unfunded portion of certain other credit exposures. To lessen the cost of obtaining our desired credit protection levels, credit exposure may be added within an industry, borrower or counterparty group by selling protection. These credit derivatives do not meet the requirements for treatment as accounting hedges. They are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in earnings.

Consumer Portfolio

Credit risk management for the consumer portfolio begins with initial underwriting and continues throughout a borrower's credit cycle. Statistical techniques in conjunction with experiential judgment are used in all aspects of portfolio management including underwriting, product pricing, risk appetite, setting credit limits, and establishing operating processes and metrics to quantify and balance risks and returns. Statistical models are built using detailed behavioral information from external sources such as credit bureaus and/or internal historical experience. These models are a component of our consumer credit risk management process and are used in part to help make both new and existing credit decisions, as well as portfolio management strategies, including authorizations and line management, collection practices and strategies, determination of the allowance for loan losses, and economic capital allocations for credit risk.

European Exposures

Certain European countries, including Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain, have experienced varying degrees of financial stress in recent years. Risks from the ongoing debt crisis in these countries could continue to disrupt the financial markets, which could have a detrimental impact on global economic conditions and sovereign and non-sovereign debt in these countries. In the fourth quarter of 2012, European policymakers continued to make incremental progress toward greater fiscal and monetary unity; however, fundamental issues of competitiveness, growth and fiscal solvency remain as challenges. As a result, volatility is expected to continue. We expect to continue to support client activities in the region and our exposures may vary over time as we monitor the situation and manage our risk profile.

The table below presents our direct sovereign and non-sovereign exposures in these countries at December 31, 2012. Our total sovereign and nonsovereign exposure to these countries was \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2012 compared with \$2.7 billion at December 31, 2011. The total exposure to these countries, net of all hedges, was \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2012 compared with \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2011. The fair value of hedges and credit default protection purchased, net of credit default protection sold, was \$1.4 billion and \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

(dollars in millions)	and	d Loans Loan valents		funded nitments		Net Counterparty Exposure ⁽¹⁾	s	ecurities/Other Investments ⁽²⁾	D	Country Exposure ecember 31, 2012		Hedges and Credit Default Protection ⁽³⁾	E Dec	t Country Exposure cember 31, 2012 ⁽⁴⁾
Country														
Greece														
Sovereign	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	2	\$	2	5	s — s	\$	2
Financial Institutions												(23)		(22)
		_				1		52		53				(23)
Corporates Total Greece	\$	_	\$	_	\$		\$	52 54	\$	55	5	(2) (25) 9	\$	51 30
Ireland														
	\$	12	¢	_	¢	27	¢	_	¢	39		(10)	¢	29
Financial	φ	12	φ	_	ф	27	φ		φ	39	1	S (10) S	p	29
Institutions		19		12		55		15		101		(9)		92
Corporates		_		_		6		37		43		(9)		34
Total Ireland	\$	31	\$	12	\$	88	\$	52	\$	183	•	(28)	\$	155
Italy														
Sovereign	\$	_	\$	_	\$	558	\$	350	\$	908	S	6 (724) 5	\$	184
Financial Institutions						180		342		522		(36)		486
Corporates						243		160		403		(239)		164
1	\$	_	\$		\$	981	\$	852	\$	1,833	Ś		\$	834
Portugal														
Sovereign	\$	_	\$	_	\$	31	\$	27	\$	58	5	(26) S	\$	32
Financial						1		24		25				24
Institutions Corporates						4		24 85		25 89		(1) (138)		(49)
Total						+		85		09		(158)		(49)
Portugal	\$	_	\$	_	\$	36	\$	136	\$	172	5	6 (165) 5	\$	7
Spain														
	\$	_	\$	_	\$	64	\$	144	\$	208	9	661) 5	\$	147
Financial Institutions		1				64		83		148		(29)		119
Corporates		30		21		46		175		272		(59)		213
	\$	31	\$	21	\$	174	\$	402	\$	628	Ş		\$	479
Total														
Sovereign	\$	12	\$	_	\$	680	\$	523	\$	1,215	5	6 (821) 5	\$	394
Financial						a.c						(0.0.)		c
Financial Institutions Corporates		20 30		12 21		300 300		464 509		796 860		(98) (447)		698 413

(1) Net counterparty exposure includes the fair value of derivatives including the counterparty risk associated with credit default protection and secured financing transactions. Derivatives have been reduced by all eligible

collateral pledged under legally enforceable netting agreements. Secured financing transactions have been reduced by eligible cash or securities pledged. The notional amount of reverse repurchase transactions was \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2012. Counterparty exposure is not presented net of hedges or credit default protection.

(2) Long securities exposures have been netted on a single-name basis to, but not below, zero by hedges and short positions.

(3) Represents credit default protection purchased, net of credit default protection sold, which is used to mitigate our risk to country exposures as listed, including \$(463) million in net credit default protection purchased to hedge loans and securities and short positions, and \$(903) million in additional credit default protection purchased to hedge derivative assets. Amounts are calculated based on the credit default protection notional amount assuming zero recovery adjusted for any fair value receivable or payable.

(4) Represents country exposure less hedges and credit default protection.

We hedge certain of our selected European country exposure with credit default swaps ("CDS"). The majority of our CDS contracts on reference assets in Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain are with highly-rated financial institutions primarily outside of the Eurozone and we work to limit or eliminate correlated CDS. Due to our engagement in market-making activities, our CDS portfolio contains contracts with various maturities to a diverse set of counterparties. We work to limit mismatches in maturities between our exposures and the CDS we use to hedge them. However, there may be instances where the protection purchased has a different maturity from the exposure for which the protection was purchased, in which case, those exposures and hedges are subject to more active monitoring and management.

The table below presents the notional and fair value amounts of single-name CDS purchased and sold on reference assets in Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain at December 31, 2012.

Single-Name CDS with Reference Assets in Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain (1)

		Notional		Fair Value	
(dollars in millions)	Pu	irchased	Sold	Purchased	Sold
Greece					
Aggregate	\$	76 \$	51	\$ 5 \$	5
After Legally Netting (2)		39	14	1	1
Ireland					
Aggregate		1,090	889	128	77
After Legally Netting (2)		1,041	841	122	75
Italy					
Aggregate		10,460	7,333	1,240	634
After Legally Netting (2)		6,165	3,037	988	392
Portugal					
Aggregate		1,017	758	67	49
After Legally Netting (2)		370	111	34	9
Spain					
Aggregate		2,002	2,243	110	139
After Legally Netting (2)		821	1,062	47	73

(1) The majority of our CDS contracts on reference assets in Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain are primarily with non-Eurozone counterparties. (2) Amounts listed are after consideration of legally enforceable counterparty master netting agreements.

Losses could result even if there is credit default protection purchased because the purchased credit protection contracts only pay out under certain scenarios and thus not all losses may be covered by the credit protection contracts. The effectiveness of our CDS protection as a hedge of these risks is influenced by a number of factors, including the contractual terms of the CDS. Generally, only the occurrence of a credit event as defined by the CDS terms (which may include, among other events, the failure to pay by, or restructuring of, the reference entity) results in a payment under the purchased credit protection contracts. The determination as to whether a credit event has occurred is made by the relevant ISDA Determination Committee (comprised of various ISDA member firms) based on the terms of the CDS and facts and circumstances for the event. Accordingly, uncertainties exist as to whether any particular strategy or policy action for addressing the European debt crisis would constitute a credit event under the CDS. A voluntary restructuring may not trigger a credit event under CDS terms and consequently may not trigger a payment under the CDS contract.

In addition to our direct sovereign and non-sovereign exposures, a significant deterioration of the European debt crisis could result in material reductions in the value of sovereign debt and other asset classes, disruptions in capital markets, widening of credit spreads of U.S. and other financial institutions, loss of investor confidence in the financial services industry, a slowdown in global economic activity and other adverse developments. For additional information on the debt crisis in Europe, see Item 1A. "Risk Factors".

Derivatives

We enter into ISDA master netting agreements or their equivalent ("master netting agreements") with almost all of our derivative counterparties. Master netting agreements provide protection in bankruptcy in certain circumstances and, where legally enforceable, enable receivables and payables with the same counterparty to be offset for accounting and risk management purposes. Netting agreements are generally negotiated bilaterally and can require complex terms. While we make reasonable efforts to execute such agreements, it is possible that a counterparty may be unwilling to sign such an agreement and, as a result, would subject us to additional credit risk. The enforceability of master netting agreements under bankruptcy laws in certain countries or in certain industries is not free from doubt, and receivables and payables with counterparties in these countries or industries are accordingly recorded on a gross basis.

To reduce the risk of loss, we require collateral, principally cash and U.S. government and agency securities, on certain derivative transactions. From an economic standpoint, we evaluate risk exposures net of related collateral that meets specified standards. In addition to obtaining collateral, we attempt to mitigate counterparty default risk on derivatives whenever possible by entering into transactions with provisions that enable us to terminate or reset the terms of our derivative contracts.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholder of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of earnings (loss), comprehensive (loss) income, changes in stockholder's equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and its subsidiaries (the "Company") at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements make the amagement, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understand disclosures in the financial statement proversing and opera

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP New York, New York February 28, 2013

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss)

(dellam in million)		Year Ended		e Year Ended		For the Year Ended
(dollars in millions)	Decem	ber 31, 2012	Decen	iber 31, 2011		December 31, 2010
Revenues	¢	2 206	¢	5 976	¢	7.002
Principal transactions	\$	2,306	\$	5,876	\$	7,092
Commissions		5,079		5,698		5,760
Managed account and other fee-based revenues		5,427		5,203		4,516
Investment banking		4,914		5,179		5,313
Earnings from equity method investments		285 986		347 944		898
Intercompany service fee revenue from Bank of America						329
Other revenues		1,929		1,808		4,473
Other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities:		(6)		((0))		(174)
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses		(6)		(69)		(174)
Less: Portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in				10		2
other comprehensive income				10		2
Subtotal Interest and dividend revenues		20,920		24,996		28,209 9,352
Less interest expense		6,395 7,098		8,186 8,785		9,332
•		(703)		(599)		(258)
Net interest expense Revenues, net of interest expense		20,217		24,397		27,951
Compensation and benefits		14,608		15,773		15,069
Non-interest expenses Compensation and benefits		14.608		15,773		15.069
Communications and technology		1,588		1,771		1,993
Occupancy and related depreciation		1,204		1,367		1,395
Brokerage, clearing, and exchange fees		951		1,121		1,022
Advertising and market development		501		500		444
Professional fees		921		1,029		986
Office supplies and postage		104		126		157
Representations and warranties		(754)		2,731		(120)
Intercompany service fee expense from Bank of America		1,798		2,320		538
Other		1,554		2,250		2,439
Total non-interest expenses		22,475		28,988		23,923
Pre-tax (loss) earnings		(2,258)		(4,591)		4,028
Income tax (benefit) expense		(2,548)		(2,944)		148
Net earnings (loss)	\$	290	\$	(1,647)	\$	3,880
Preferred stock dividends		_		_		134
Net earnings (loss) applicable to common stockholder	\$	290	\$	(1,647)	\$	3,746

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income

	For the	Year Ended	For the	e Year Ended	For the	e Year Ended
(dollars in millions)	Decem	ber 31, 2012	Decen	nber 31, 2011	Decen	ıber 31, 2010
Net earnings (loss)	\$	290	\$	(1,647)	\$	3,880
Other comprehensive (loss) income:						
Foreign currency translation adjustment:						
Foreign currency translation (losses) gains		(26)		50		(197)
Income tax benefit (expense)		49		(166)		240
Total		23		(116)		43
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities available-for-sale:						
Net unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period		—		57		(168)
Reclassification adjustment for realized (gains) losses included in net (loss) earnings		—		(3)		9
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities available-for-sale		—		54		(159)
Income tax benefit (expense)		30		(21)		46
Total		30		33		(113)
Deferred (losses) gains on cash flow hedges:						
Deferred (losses) gains on cash flow hedges		—		(3)		32
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net (loss) earnings		—		(6)		(25)
Income tax benefit (expense)		—		4		(3)
Total		_		(5)		4
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans:						
Net actuarial (losses) gains		(537)		111		(56)
Prior service credit (cost)		3		24		(59)
Income tax benefit (expense)		189		(28)		39
Total		(345)		107		(76)
Total other comprehensive (loss) income		(292)		19		(142)
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$	(2)	\$	(1,628)	\$	3,738

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets

Consolidated Balance Sheets				
(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	De	cember 31, 2012	Dee	cember 31, 2011
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	12,911	\$	13,733
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations		14,031		11,757
Securities financing transactions				
Receivables under resale agreements (includes \$93,715 in 2012 and \$85,652 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)		148,817		143,491
Receivables under securities borrowed transactions (includes \$961 in 2012 and \$259 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)		60,992		58,109
		209,809		201,600
Trading assets, at fair value (includes securities pledged as collateral that can be sold or repledged of \$36,268 in 2012 and \$34,932 in 2011)				
Derivative contracts		24,851		35,091
Equities and convertible debentures		40,618		25,619
Non-U.S. governments and agencies		37,845		30,239
Corporate debt and preferred stock		18,337		17,305
Mortgages, mortgage-backed, and asset-backed		10,613		8,254
U.S. Government and agencies		54,564		43,003
Municipals, money markets, physical commodities and other		12,480		13,044
		199,308		172,555
Investment securities (includes \$162 in 2012 and \$244 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election))	5,903		6,684
Securities received as collateral, at fair value		16,013		13,716
Receivables from Bank of America		45,830		59,945
Other receivables				
Customers (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$9 in 2012 and \$15 in 2011) (includes \$271 in 2012 and \$0 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)		20,265		20,512
Brokers and dealers		21,792		8,691
Interest and other		9,244		9,130
		51,301		38,333
Loans, notes, and mortgages (net of allowances for loan losses of \$57 in 2012 and \$72 in 2011) (includes \$3,077 in 2012 and \$2,322 ir 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)		19,545		20,574
Equipment and facilities, net		1,031		1,385
Goodwill and intangible assets		9,782		10,079
Other assets		17,464		15,155
Total Assets	\$	602,928	\$	565,516
Assets of Consolidated VIEs Included in Total Assets Above (isolated to settle the liabilities of the VIEs)				
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts	\$	7,847	\$	8,800
Investment securities		41		162
Loans, notes, and mortgages (net)		206		94
Other assets		764		3,022
Total Assets of Consolidated VIEs	\$	8,858	\$	12,078

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets

Consolidated Balance Sheets	Day	cember 31,	Dag	ambar 21
(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	Dec	2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
LIABILITIES		-		-
Securities financing transactions				
Payables under repurchase agreements (includes \$42,639 in 2012 and \$34,235 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the				
fair value option election)	\$	219,710	\$	173,767
Payables under securities loaned transactions		18,305		11,460
		238,015		185,227
Short-term borrowings (includes \$3,283 in 2012 and \$5,908 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option		2 276		6.051
election)		3,376		6,051
Deposits		12,873		12,364
Trading liabilities, at fair value		20.500		26.220
Derivative contracts		20,568		26,239
Equities and convertible debentures		18,957		12,223
Non-U.S. governments and agencies		19,707		16,554
Corporate debt and preferred stock		8,026		7,084
U.S. Government and agencies		20,186		16,976
Municipals, money markets and other		562		645
		88,006		79,721
Obligation to return securities received as collateral, at fair value		16,013		13,716
Payables to Bank of America		8,752		31,731
Other payables				
Customers		52,053		40,153
Brokers and dealers		4,748		8,667
Interest and other (includes \$57 in 2012 and \$173 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)		18,634		18,128
		75,435		66,948
Long-term borrowings (includes \$30,875 in 2012 and \$30,325 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)		92,249		106,929
Junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities)		3,809		3,789
Total Liabilities		538,528		506,476
				000,170
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES				
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY				
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUIT				
Common stock (par value $1.33^{1/3}$ per share; authorized: 3,000,000 shares; issued: 2012 and 2011 — 1,000 shares)				50.7(5
Paid-in capital		56,127		50,765
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (net of tax)		(527)		(235)
Retained earnings		8,800		8,510
Total Stockholder's Equity		64,400		59,040
Total Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	\$	602,928	\$	565,516
row zaonico ala otorinolari o zquity			-	
Linkilities of Consolidated VII's Included in Total Linkilities Abarra				
Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs Included in Total Liabilities Above	¢	2 040	¢	4.020
Short-term borrowings (includes \$81 in 2012 and \$0 in 2011 of non-recourse debt)	\$	2,940	\$	4,939
Derivative contracts		19		4
Payables to Bank of America		1,157		(505
Long-term borrowings (includes \$2,335 in 2012 and \$2,579 in 2011 of non-recourse debt)		6,292		6,595
Other payables	\$	14	\$	186
Total Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	¢	10,422	φ	11,724

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Merrill Lynch & Co. Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholder's Equity

(dollars in millions)	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid- in-Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Total Stockholder's Equity
Balance December 31, 2009	\$ 1,541	\$ —	\$ 48,214	\$ 6,556 \$	(112)	\$ 56,199
Mandatory conversion of preferred stock	(1,541)	_	_	_	_	(1,541)
Capital contribution associated with stock-based compensation awards	_	_	1,447	_	_	1,447
Other capital contributions from Bank of America	_	_	228	_	_	228
Foreign currency translation adjustment	_	_	_	_	43	43
Net unrealized losses on investment securities available-for- sale	_	_	_	_	(113)	(113)
Net deferred gains on cash flow hedges	_	_	_	_	4	4
Change in funded status of defined benefit pension and post retirement plans	_	_	_	_	(76)	(76)
Cumulative adjustments for accounting changes:						
Consolidation of certain variable interest entities	_	—	_	(145)	_	(145)
Net earnings	_	—	—	3,880	—	3,880
Preferred stock dividends declared	—	_	—	(134)	—	(134)
Balance December 31, 2010	_	_	49,889	10,157	(254)	59,792
Capital contribution associated with stock-based compensation awards	_	_	876	_	_	876
Foreign currency translation adjustment	_	_	_	_	(116)	(116)
Net unrealized gains on investment securities available-for- sale	_	_	_	_	33	33
Net deferred losses on cash flow hedges	_	—	_	—	(5)	(5)
Change in funded status of defined benefit pension and post retirement plans	_	_	_	_	107	107
Net loss	_	_	_	(1,647)	_	(1,647)
Balance December 31, 2011		_	50,765	8,510	(235)	59,040
Capital contribution associated with stock-based compensation awards		_	1,131	_		1,131
Capital contributions from Bank of America associated with intragroup reorganizations and other transactions	_	_	4,231	_	_	4,231
Foreign currency translation adjustment	_	_	_	_	23	23
Net unrealized gains on investment securities available-for- sale	_	_	_	_	30	30
Change in funded status of defined benefit pension and post retirement plans	_	_	_	_	(345)	(345)
Net earnings	_	_	_	290	_	290
Balance December 31, 2012	\$ —	s —	\$ 56,127	\$ 8,800 \$	(527)	\$ 64,400

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

math controlmath controlmath controlmath controlName control1000		For the Year Ended	For the Year Ended	For the Year Ended
NameII<	(dollars in millions)	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Appendix sourch consigned advantage of the second of the	Cash flows from operating activities:			
Analysis0.0000.0000.0000.0000.000National sector	Net earnings (loss)	\$ 290	\$ (1,647)	\$ 3,880
Ambal3399Shashad injurnation strature100100100Particulation stratures100100100Devine strature100100100Devine strature100100100Devine strature100100100Devine strature100100100Devine strature strature strature strature strature100100100Devine strature strature strature strature100100100Devine strature strature strature strature100100100Devine strature strature strature100100100Devine strature strature strature100100100Devine strature stratur	Adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to cash provided by operating activities			
International systemInternational systemI	Representations and warranties	(754)	2,731	(120)
densdensdensdensisrage consignation commands0.000.000.00israge consignation consignation consignation consignation consignation consignation consignation construction const	Depreciation and amortization	576	731	900
number of the second	Share-based compensation expense	1,531	1,919	1,483
Index10%10%10%Important State10%10%Comparison10%10%State standing and states st	Gains on repurchases of long-term borrowings	(405)	_	_
Instruction10°10°10°Out00°00°00°Dependence00°00°00°Schalensense00°00°00°Realensense00°	Fair value adjustments on structured notes	3,207	(2,030)	31
New year3.00 mm3.00 mmDescention0.000 mm0.000 mm <t< td=""><td>Deferred taxes</td><td>(2,785)</td><td>3,081</td><td>637</td></t<>	Deferred taxes	(2,785)	3,081	637
Despendentional information opportunction opportunct	Earnings from equity method investments	(265)	(347)	(625)
10mm0.00000.00000.00000.0000Calabase0.00000.00000.0000 <td>Other</td> <td>498</td> <td>2,033</td> <td>(159)</td>	Other	498	2,033	(159)
Ansatz9,3%9,4%9,4%Restrict status data seargeness0,3%0,42%0,5%Restrict status reactions searcemes0,3%0,42%0,45%Contract searcemes0,4%0,4%0,4%Contract searcemes0,4%0,4%0,4%Restrict status reactions searcemes0,4%0,4%0,4%Restrict status reactions reactions0,4%0,4%0,4%Restrict status reactions0,4%0,4%0,4%Restri	Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
BasedBitsB	Trading assets	(26,565)	16,528	7,758
Result contrained meansion6.336.4396.439Results contraine meansion0.1471.4610.220Result contraine meansion0.1670.4310.431Result contraine meansion contrained meansion0.4370.4600.4031Result contrained meansion contrained meansion contrained meansion0.4610.4600.4031Result contrained meansion contrained meansion contrained meansion contrained meansion contrained meansion contrained meansion0.4610.4031Result contrained meansion c	Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations	(2,274)	667	2,506
Beysher exercise berwer framework12,3712,3812,39Decine work work work work work work work work	Receivables from Bank of America	18,346	9,612	5,657
Came moduleM1,011,02Bance deter sectives0,007,740,220Bance deter sectives0,007,740,220Outer hans, cal metage balt and0,000,2400,010Data plans, cal, and gen balt0,000,0000,000Papels and sectives0,0000,0000,000Papels and sectives0,0000,0000,000Papels and sectives0,0000,0000,000Papels and sectives0,0000,0000,000Outer and sectives0,0000,0000,000Outer and sectives0,0000,0000,000Outer and sectives0,0000,0000,000Outer and sectives0,0000,0000,000Outer and sectives0,0000,0000,000Papels and sectives0,0000,000	Receivables under resale agreements	(5,326)	(5,272)	(37,956)
International(1),00(2)(2),20React and stanks, and antage bala had.(4)(4),20(4),20Ourdung is trans, and antage bala had.(4),20(4),20(4),20Ingla balas.(4),20(4),20(4),20(4),20Ingla balas.(4),20(4),20<	Receivables under securities borrowed transactions	(2,883)	2,349	17,590
Present server and encages had rank1004.400	Customer receivables	247	1,561	12,205
Observations0.00000.00000.0000Participations0.00000.00000.0000Paylaxies contributions formations0.00000.00000.0000Paylaxies contributions formations0.00000.00000.0000Paylaxies contributions formations0.00000.00000.0000Paylaxies contributions0.00000.00000.0000Paylaxies contributions0.0000<	Brokers and dealers receivables	(13,103)	7,794	(3,226)
InductionInitialInitialInitialPalae data reguine agenesis44.94(0.97)(0.010)Palae data reguine agenesis43.94(0.010)(0.010)Palae data reguine agenesis10.00(0.010)(0.010)Palae data reguine agenesis10.00(0.010)(0.010)Banar data reguine agenesis10.00(0.010)(0.010)Banar data reguine agenesis10.00(0.010)(0.010)Banar data reguine agenesis10.00(0.010)(0.010)Data reguine agenesis10.00(0.010)(0.010)Palae data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)Palae data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)Palae data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)Palae data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)Sa calor data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)Palae data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)Sa calor data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)Data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)(0.010)Data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)(0.010)Data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)(0.010)Data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)(0.010)Data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)(0.010)Data reguine agenesis10.01(0.010)(0.010)D	Proceeds from loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale	1,079	4,455	8,456
Papho der quandame quanants44.900.00010.0001Papho der samatsen in samatsen constraints head transcent0.0010.0010.001Papho der samatsen in samatsen constraints head transcent0.0010.0010.001Date and samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Date and samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Date and samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Date and samatsen constraints0.010.0010.001Date and samatsen constraints0.010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.010.0010.001Date and samatsen constraints0.010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints excision0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints excision0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints excision0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.0010.0010.001Samatsen constraints0.	Other changes in loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale	(662)	(2,465)	(4,713)
Payleta dara section for a section of a s	Trading liabilities	8,184	(17,061)	11,561
Paylas link da Annia(2,279)(3,71)(0,13)Query paylas(1,03)(4,03)(4,03)Dark and ana paylas(1,03)(1,03)(1,03)Out out datas paylas(1,03)(1,03)(1,03)Dark and ana paylas names(1,03)(1,03)(1,03)Dark and marking of analis bare statis(1,21)(1,03)(1,03)Sho and anarting of analis bare statis(1,03)(1,03)(1,03)Sho and anarting of analis bare statis(1,03)(1,03)(1,03)Out on statis(1,03)(1,03)(1,03)(1,03)Sho and ange analis bare statis(1,03)(1,03)(1,03)(1,03)(1,03)Sho and ange analis bare statis(1,03)(1,03)	Payables under repurchase agreements	45,943	(9,991)	(1,989)
Canane quadis110001.0000.0000Rote and allow specifies10000.00000.0000Charle starting catanation of maillable data scarting10000.00000.0000Catanation of maillable data scarting1.0101.01000.0000Scarting catanation of maillable data scarting1.0111.01000.0000Scarting catanation of maillable data scarting1.0121.01000.0100Scarting catanation of maillable data scarting1.0121.01000.0100Scarting catanation of maillable data scarting1.0101.01000.0100Scarting catanation of maillable data scarting1.0101.01000.0100Scarting catanation of maillable data scarting1.0101.01000.0100Scarting catanation of maillable data scarting1.0101.01001.0100Scarting catanation of maillable data scarting1.0101.01001.0100Scarting catanation of maillable data scarting1.0101.01001.0100Scarting catanation of maillable data scarting1.01001.01001.0100Scarting catanation of maillable	Payables under securities loaned transactions	6,845	(3,791)	(10,314)
Note and addes peptide(0,97)(0,273)(0,273)(0,680)Ore roll1.880.6.607.94Cal periodical periodic	Payables to Bank of America	(22,979)	8,787	(8,155)
IdentifIdssIdssIdssIdssDerivation grantersIdssIdssIdssIdssContrained reservationIdssIdssIdssIdssNorman duration for allable for advectaritiesIdssIdssIdssIdssShe down advectarities for advectaritiesIdssIdssIdssIdssIdssShe down advectarities for advectaritiesIds	Customer payables	11,900	1,108	(1,413)
Cade provided by opening activities11,72211,8884,200Constrom toring existing11	Brokers and dealers payables	(3,919)	(4,228)	(6,008)
Cold floor from intensing entities: Note Note Programme form: 1,214 1,714 1,615 Sole of available for also scarting: 1,214 1,721 1,615 Sole of available for also scarting: 1,214 1,721 1,615 Sole of available for also scarting: 1,214 1,721 1,615 Sole of available for also scarting: 1,214 1,721 1,615 Sole of available for also scarting: 1,214 1,721 1,615 Sole of anticity: scarting: 2,60 Sole of anticity: scarting: 1,010 2,731 5,637 Calson structure: 1,010 2,731 5,637 Calson for fire: structure: 1,010 2,010 1,013 Structure: structure: 1,010 3,643 2,010 Division fire: structure:	Other, net	1,056	(2,636)	7,034
Precedit on comparison of the contrison 1.214 1.7.4 1.0.15 Sole on main matritis of malble for sole scentriso 2 4.2.63 1.0.16 Sole on dimath for sole scentriso 1.0.2.64 1.0.163 1.0.163 Sole on dimath for sole scentriso 1.0.2.64 1.0.163 1.0.163 Sole on dimath spectra in sole scentris 2.0.2 1.0.0	Cash provided by operating activities	17,782	13,888	5,020
Papons and nativities of available foreale securitie1,2141,6141,614Sets of available foreale securities24,0001,612Acte of on allabe foreale securities(1,625)(1,672)(1,612)Sets of manufice of bubble manuty securities-2-Set of manufice of bubble manuty securitiesBeign manufice for bubble manuty securities0,0010,0010,001Demonstrating and matagese for investment1,0000,0010,002Concerns and matagese for investment1,0000,0010,002Outrownstrating activities1,0000,0010,002Concerns and second program for investment1,0000,0010,002Sectorem second s	Cash flows from investing activities:			
Also a vanish24.0015.000Pachase of vanishe focus accurs(1.025)(1.025)(1.025)(1.025)Also and mutries of helds-traumity scentries <td>Proceeds from (payments for):</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Proceeds from (payments for):			
2 4,200 15,472 Purchase of helds-brankiny servitis (1,62) (1,672) (5,613) Sale and mutrins of helds-brankiny servitis - 200 - Sale and mutrins of helds-brankiny servitis - 0 - Sale of file huldings 1,000 2,793 0,693 Can one and mongage held for investment 1,000 2,793 0,693 Other investments 202 1,000 2,793 0,693 Can one dim mongage held for investment 2,020 1,000 0,100 0,100 Carb new financing activitis: 2,220 1,000 0,0100 0,020 0,020 Starter hornovings 2,021 1,000 2,023 0,0401 0,0401 0,0401 0,010 <t< td=""><td>Paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale securities</td><td>1,214</td><td>1,734</td><td>1,615</td></t<>	Paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale securities	1,214	1,734	1,615
Pendus of values of searching(1,24)(1,25)(1,52)(1,52)Alse of under the searching<	Sales of available-for-sale securities	22	4.290	15.472
Sales and muturities of held-o-manity searchise -	Purchases of available-for-sale securities			
Sel of effectivality 57 — — Exponent and facilities, net				
Equipment and functions, net (26) (95) (37) Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment 1,010 2,733 6,627 Other investments 1,600 6,109 11,787 Cab provided by investing activities 2,922 13,409 30,288 Cab provided by investing activities 2,922 13,409 30,288 Cab provided by investing activities 2,922 13,409 30,288 Cab provided by investing activities 2,625 0,017 4,643 Devices 2,675 0,017 4,643 Statement and reparchases of long-term borrowings 2,675 0,017 4,643 Devices 2,675 0,017 4,643 4,643 Devices for frame arc provings 2,675 0,917 4,643 4,643 1,643				_
Lans, notes, and notgages held for investments1.002.736.927Other investments1.6006.10011.767Cad provided by investing activities2.92213.40030.288Cata for functing activitiesCata for some for function gravements for:Statement more investings2.0570.1074.6621Statement more investings2.05750.29760.4621Statement and regurdens for forgerem borrowings2.06750.29760.4621Deposits2.050.4020.4031Deviater functing transactions1013.60.101Divideds0.1010.3480Cath used for financing activities0.0120.033000.34801Cath used for financing activities0.13731.7221.5143Cath act	-		(95)	(377)
Ohr investments1,606,16911,75Sub provided by investing activities2,20213,40930,288Cachors from functing activities2,20213,40930,288Proceeds from graments for:2,6750,107(4,633)Subter and reque lace for lace monowings2,6750,107(4,633)Settlement and reque laces for lace monowings2,6752,0876(4,634)Opensis2,6970,010(4,631)(4,631)Devise for functing transactions1013,66(1,01)Devise for functing transactions1011,616(2,636)Devise for functing transactions2,152(4,030)(4,3480)Devise for functing transactions2,152(4,030)(2,152)Cachone practic motion cachone date quartations2,152(4,030)(2,152)Cachone practic motion cachone date quartations2,152(4,503)2,1722Cachone practic motion cachone date quartations2,152(4,503)2,1722Cachone quartations of practic1,1731,17221,1722Cachone quartations of practic1,1731,17221,1732Cachone quartations of practic1,1731,17221,1722Cachone quartations of practic1,1731,17221,1722Cachone quartations of practic1,1731,17221,1723Cachone quartations of practic1,1731,17221,1723Cachone quartations of practic1,1731,17231,1723Cachone quartations of practic				
Cab provided by investing activities 2,022 13,409 30,288 Cab hows from financing activities Proceeds from (payments for): 2,675 0,9197 (4,623) Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings 2,675 0,9197 (4,623) Stettement and repurchases of long-term borrowings 2,675 0,9197 (4,623) Deposits 2,6975 2,028,75 (4,64) Deposits 2,6975 (2,6975) (2,6475) Deposits 2,099 (4,62) (2,6491) Deposits 101 3,66 (1) Dividends				
Cach lows from financing activities: Noreceds from (payments for): (2,675) (9,197) (4,623) Issuance and reade of long-term borrowings (2,675) (9,197) (4,623) Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings (2,6975) (29,876) (34,914) Deposits (26,975) (29,876) (24,914) Deposits 509 (462) (2,361) Dividends (11) 36 (1) Dividends (13,13) (13,13) (13,13) Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents (40) (516) 2511 Obcreases in cash and cash equivalents (822) (3,489) 2,079 Cash and cash equivalents, degring of period 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, edipting of period 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, edipting of period \$ 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, edipting of period \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
Proceeds for (payments for): Short-cern borrowings C(267) C(267)		2,722	13,409	50,288
Short-em borowings(2,675)(9,197)(4,623)Issance and resole of long-term borowings7,5189,1608,553Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings(26,975)(29,876)(34,941)Deposits509(462)(2,361)Derivative financing natactions1013.6(1)Dividends				
Issuance and resule of long-term borrowings 7,518 9,169 8,553 Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings (26,975) (29,876) (34,914) Deposits 509 (462) (2,361) Derivative financing manactions 101 36 (1) Dividends — — — (134) Cash used for financing activities (21,522) (30,333) (33,480) Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents (4) (516) 251 (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents (34,48) 2,079 (34,48) 2,079 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Supplemental Discource of Cash Flow Information: \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 15,143 Income taxes paid \$ \$ 14,84 \$ 4,84 \$ 4,84 \$ 2,577 Income taxes refined(1) (4,53) \$ (2,421) \$ 2,577		(5.272)	(0.107)	(4.200)
Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings (26,975) (29,876) (49,494) Deposits 509 (462) (2,361) Derivative financing transactions 101 36 (1) Dividends — — — (134) Dividends (21,522) (30,300) (33,480) Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents (4) (516) 2517 (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents (822) (3,480) 2,079 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period (822) (3,480) 2,079 Cash and cash equivalents, end of period (822) (3,480) 2,079 Cash and cash equivalents, end of period (822) (3,480) 2,079 Cash and cash equivalents, end of period (822) (3,480) 2,072 Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: (823) (8) 1,722 15,143 Income taxes paid (8) 4,88 8 4,288 2,577 Income taxes funded1) (4,515) (2,477) (4,232) (4,232)	-			
Deposis 509 (42) (2,36) Drivative financing transactions 101 36 (1) Drivideds — — (1) Cash used for financing activities (21,52) (30,300) (33,480) Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents (4) (516) 251 Operaces) increase in cash and cash equivalents (822) (3,480) 2,079 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period § 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Cash and cash equivalents, end of period § 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Liformation: § 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Income taxe paid § 4,88 \$ 4,88 \$ 2,577 Income taxe funded!) (4,753) (2,047) (4,222) (4,222) (4,224) (4,224) (4,224) <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Derivative financing transactions 101 36 (1) Dividends — — — (134) Cash used for financing activities (21,522) (30,330) (33,480) Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents (4) (516) 251 (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents (822) (3,489) 2,079 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, end of period § 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Suppemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: § 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Income taxe paid \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Income taxe paid \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Income taxe paid \$ 4,84 \$ 4,84 \$ 2,577 Income taxe funded1) (2,677) (4,232) (2,674) (4,232) (2,674) (4,232)				
Divideds - - (134) Cash used for financing activities (21,522) (30,330) (33,480) Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents (4) (516) 251 (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents (822) (3,489) 2,079 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Income taxe paid \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Income taxe paid \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Income taxe paid \$ 4,848 \$ 4,848 \$ 2,577 Income taxe funded1) (2,473) (2,422) (2,422) (2,422) (2,422)				
Cash used for financing activities (21,522) (30,330) (33,480) Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents (4) (516) 251 (Decrease) in cash and cash equivalents (822) (3,489) 2,079 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Income taxes paid \$ 448 \$ 428 \$ 2,577 Income taxes funded1) (2,473) (2,047) (4,232)				
Effect of exchange on cash and cash equivalents (4) (516) 251 (Decrease) in cash and cash equivalents (822) (3.489) 2.079 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, ned of period \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Income taxes paid \$ 448 \$ 428 \$ 2,577 Income taxes paid (4,753) (2,047) (4,232)				
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents (822) (3,489) 2,079 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Income taxes paid \$ 448 \$ 428 \$ 2,577 Income taxes refunded1) (4,753) (2,047) (4,222)				
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 13,733 17,222 15,143 Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: \$ 448 \$ 428 \$ 2,577 Income taxes priuded1) (4,753) (2,047) (4,232) (4,232)				
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$ 12,911 \$ 13,733 \$ 17,222 Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: \$ 448 \$ 448 \$ 448 \$ 2,577 Income taxes priuded!) (4,73) (2,047) (4,232) (4,232) (4,232)				
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: \$ 448 \$ 428 \$ 2,577 Income taxes paid \$ 448 \$ 428 \$ 2,577 Income taxes refunded() (4,753) (2,047) (4,232) \$				
Income taxes paid \$ 448 \$ 428 \$ 2,577 Income taxes refunded1) (4,753) (2,047) (4,232)		\$ 12,911	\$ 13,733	\$ 17,222
Income taxes refunded(1) (4,753) (2,047) (4,232)				
Interest paid 6,355 7,399 7,846				
	Interest paid	6,355	7,399	7,846

(1) Included in income taxes refunded are amounts received from Bank of America that represent payments for utilization of Merrill Lynch's net tax deductions in the consolidated Bank of America tax returns in accordance with the intercompany tax allocation policy.

Non-cash investing and financing activities:

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2010, Merrill Lynch received non-cash capital contributions of approximately \$1.1 billion and \$1.0 billion, respectively, from Bank of America associated with certain employee stock compensation awards. In addition, as of January 1, 2010, Merrill Lynch is mandatory convertible preferred stock was automatically converted to Bank of America common stock. The redemption was settled through a non-cash intercompany transaction. Effective on January 1, 2009, Merrill January 1, 2009, Merrill Lynch acquired the net assets of two affiliates and their respective subsidiaries from Bank of America. This was recorded as a non-cash capital contribution. See Note 1. In addition, effective on January 1, 2009, Bank of America contributed the net assets of Bank of America Securities Holdings Corporation to Merrill Lynch.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2012

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

Merrill Lynch & Co. Inc. ("ML & Co." and, together with its subsidiaries "Merrill Lynch"), provides investment, financing and other related services to individuals and institutions on a global basis through its broker, dealer, banking and other financial services subsidiaries. On January 1, 2009, Merrill Lynch was acquired by, and became a wholly-owned subsidiary of, Bank of America Corporation ("Bank of America").

Its principal subsidiaries include:

- Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("MLPF&S"), a U.S.-based broker-dealer in securities and futures commission merchant;
- Merrill Lynch International ("MLI"), a United Kingdom ("U.K.")-based regulated investment firm;
- Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc., a U.S.-based dealer in interest rate, currency, commodity and credit derivatives;
- Merrill Lynch International Bank Limited ("MLIB"), an Ireland-based bank;
- Merrill Lynch Japan Securities Co., Ltd. ("MLJS"), a Japan-based broker-dealer; and
- Merrill Lynch Derivative Products, AG, a Switzerland-based derivatives dealer.

Services provided to clients by Merrill Lynch and other activities include:

- Securities brokerage, trading and underwriting;
- Investment banking, advisory services (including mergers and acquisitions) and other corporate finance activities;
- Wealth management products and services, including financial, retirement and generational planning;
- Investment management and advisory and related record-keeping services;
- Origination, brokerage, dealer, and related activities in swaps, options, forwards, exchange-traded futures, other derivatives, commodities and foreign exchange products;
- Securities clearance, settlement financing services and prime brokerage; and
- Research services on a global basis.

Intragroup Reorganization

On November 1, 2012, in connection with an intragroup reorganization involving Bank of America and a number of its subsidiaries, Merrill Lynch acquired two affiliated companies and their respective subsidiaries from Bank of America. The acquisition was effected through a non-cash capital contribution from Bank of America. In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 805, *Business Combinations* ("Business Combinations Accounting"), the Consolidated Financial Statements include the historical results of the acquired affiliated companies and their subsidiaries as if the transaction had occurred on January 1, 2009, the date at which all the affected entities were first under the common control of Bank of America. Merrill Lynch has recorded the assets and liabilities acquired in connection with the transaction at their historical carrying values, which resulted in increases to stockholder's equity and receivables from Bank of America of approximately \$13.5 billion, \$9.1 billion and \$9.0 billion as of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Basis of Presentation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Merrill Lynch. The Consolidated Financial Statements are presented in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("U.S. GAAP"). Intercompany transactions and balances within Merrill Lynch have been eliminated. Transactions and balances with

Bank of America have not been eliminated. Certain prior-period amounts have been reclassified in order to conform to the current period presentation.

The Consolidated Financial Statements are presented in U.S. dollars. Many non-U.S. subsidiaries have a functional currency (i.e., the currency in which activities are primarily conducted) that is other than the U.S. dollar, often the currency of the country in which a subsidiary is domiciled. Subsidiaries' assets and liabilities are translated to U.S. dollars at year-end exchange rates, while revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates during the year. Adjustments that result from translating amounts in a subsidiary's functional currency and related hedging, net of related tax effects, are reported in stockholder's equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income. All other translation adjustments are included in earnings. Merrill Lynch uses derivatives to manage the currency exposure arising from activities in non-U.S. subsidiaries. See the Derivatives section for additional information on accounting for derivatives.

Merrill Lynch offers a broad array of products and services to its diverse client base of individuals, small to mid-size businesses, employee benefit plans, corporations, financial institutions, and governments around the world. These products and services are offered from a number of locations globally. In some cases, the same or similar products and services may be offered to both individual and institutional clients, utilizing the same infrastructure. In other cases, a single infrastructure may be used to support multiple products and services offered to clients. When Merrill Lynch analyzes its profitability, it does not focus on the profitability of a single product or service. Instead, Merrill Lynch views the profitability of businesses offering an array of products and services to various types of clients. The profitability of the products and services offered to individuals, small to mid-size businesses, and employee benefit plans is analyzed separately from the profitability of products and services offered to corporations, financial institutions, and governments, regardless of whether there is commonality in products and services infrastructure. As such, Merrill Lynch does not separately disclose the costs associated with the products and services sold or general and administrative costs either in total or by product.

When determining the prices for products and services, Merrill Lynch considers multiple factors, including prices being offered in the market for similar products and services, the competitiveness of its pricing compared to competitors, the profitability of its businesses and its overall profitability, as well as the profitability, creditworthiness, and importance of the overall client relationships. Shared expenses that are incurred to support products and services and infrastructures are allocated to the businesses based on various methodologies, which may include headcount, square footage, and certain other criteria. Similarly, certain revenues may be shared based upon agreed methodologies. When evaluating the profitability of various businesses, Merrill Lynch considers all expenses incurred, including overhead and the costs of shared services, as all are considered integral to the operation of the businesses.

Consolidation Accounting

Merrill Lynch determines whether it is required to consolidate an entity by first evaluating whether the entity qualifies as a voting rights entity ("VRE") or as a variable interest entity ("VIE").

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Merrill Lynch, whose subsidiaries are generally controlled through a majority voting interest or a controlling financial interest. On January 1, 2010, Merrill Lynch adopted accounting guidance on consolidation of VIEs, which has been deferred for certain investment funds managed on behalf of third parties if Merrill Lynch does not have an obligation to fund losses that could potentially be significant to these funds. Any funds meeting the deferral requirements will continue to be evaluated for consolidation in accordance with the prior guidance.

VREs — VREs are defined to include entities that have both equity at risk that is sufficient to fund future operations and have equity investors that have a controlling financial interest in the entity through their equity investments. In accordance with ASC 810, *Consolidation* ("Consolidation Accounting"), Merrill Lynch generally consolidates those VREs where it has the majority of the voting rights. For investments in limited partnerships and certain limited liability corporations that Merrill Lynch does not control, Merrill Lynch applies ASC 323, *Investments* — *Equity Method and Joint Ventures* ("Equity Method Accounting"), which requires use of the equity method of accounting for investors that have more than a minor influence, which is typically defined as an investment of greater than 3% to 5% of the outstanding equity in the entity. For more traditional corporate

structures, in accordance with Equity Method Accounting, Merrill Lynch applies the equity method of accounting where it has significant influence over the investee. Significant influence can be evidenced by a significant ownership interest (which is generally defined as a voting interest of 20% to 50%), significant board of director representation, or other contracts and arrangements.

VIEs — Those entities that do not meet the VRE criteria are generally analyzed for consolidation as VIEs. A VIE is an entity that lacks equity investors or whose equity investors do not have a controlling financial interest in the entity through their equity investments. Merrill Lynch consolidates those VIEs for which it is the primary beneficiary. In accordance with Consolidation Accounting, Merrill Lynch is considered to be the primary beneficiary when it has a controlling financial interest in a VIE. Merrill Lynch has a controlling financial interest in a VIE. Merrill Lynch has a controlling financial interest in the VIE's economic performance and an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Merrill Lynch has acquired or divested the power to direct the activities of the VIE through changes in governing documents or other circumstances. The reassessment also considers whether Merrill Lynch has acquired or disposed of a financial interest that could be significant to the VIE, or whether an interest in the VIE has become significant or is no longer significant. The consolidation status of the VIEs with which Merrill Lynch is involved may change as a result of such reassessments.

Securitization Activities

In the normal course of business, Merrill Lynch has securitized commercial and residential mortgage loans; municipal, government, and corporate bonds; and other types of financial assets. Merrill Lynch may retain interests in the securitized financial assets by holding notes or other debt instruments issued by the securitization vehicle. In accordance with ASC 860, *Transfers and Servicing* ("Financial Transfers and Servicing Accounting"), Merrill Lynch recognizes transfers of financial assets where it relinquishes control as sales to the extent of cash and any other proceeds received.

Revenue Recognition

Principal transactions revenue includes both realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading assets and trading liabilities, investment securities classified as trading investments and fair value changes associated with certain structured debt. These instruments are recorded at fair value. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Gains and losses on sales are recognized on a trade date basis.

Commissions revenues include commissions, mutual fund distribution fees and contingent deferred sales charge revenue, which are all accrued as earned. Commissions revenues also include mutual fund redemption fees, which are recognized at the time of redemption. Commissions revenues earned from certain customer equity transactions are recorded net of related brokerage, clearing and exchange fees.

Managed account and other fee-based revenues primarily consist of asset-priced portfolio service fees earned from the administration of separately managed accounts and other investment accounts for retail investors, annual account fees, and certain other account-related fees.

Investment banking revenues includes fees for the underwriting and distribution of debt, equity and loan products and fees for advisory services and tailored risk management solutions, which are accrued when services for the transactions are substantially completed.

Earnings from equity method investments include Merrill Lynch's pro rata share of income and losses associated with investments accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

Other revenues include gains (losses) on investment securities, including sales of available-for-sale securities, gains (losses) on private equity investments and other principal investments and gains (losses) on loans and other miscellaneous items.

Contractual interest received and paid, and dividends received on trading assets and trading liabilities, excluding derivatives, are recognized on an accrual basis as a component of interest and dividend revenues and interest expense. Interest and dividends on investment securities are recognized on an accrual basis as a component of interest and dividend revenues. Interest related to loans, notes, and mortgages, securities financing activities and certain short- and long-term borrowings are recorded on an accrual basis as interest revenue or interest expense, as applicable.

Use of Estimates

In presenting the Consolidated Financial Statements, management makes estimates including the following:

- Valuations of assets and liabilities requiring fair value estimates:
- The allowance for credit losses;
- Determination of other-than-temporary impairments for available-for-sale investment securities;
- The outcome of pending litigation;
- Determination of the liability for representations and warranties made in connection with the sales of residential mortgage and home equity loans;
- Determination of whether VIEs should be consolidated;
- The ability to realize deferred tax assets and the recognition and measurement of uncertain tax positions;
- The carrying amount of goodwill and intangible assets;
- The amortization period of intangible assets with definite lives;
- Incentive-based compensation accruals and valuation of share-based payment compensation arrangements; and
- Other matters that affect the reported amounts and disclosure of contingencies in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Estimates, by their nature, are based on judgment and available information. Therefore, actual results could differ from those estimates and could have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements, and it is possible that such changes could occur in the near term. A discussion of certain areas in which estimates are a significant component of the amounts reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements follows:

Fair Value Measurement

Merrill Lynch accounts for a significant portion of its financial instruments at fair value or considers fair value in their measurement. Merrill Lynch accounts for certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value under various accounting literature, including ASC 320, *Investments* — *Debt and Equity Securities* ("Investment Accounting"), ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* ("Derivatives Accounting"), and the fair value option election in accordance with ASC 825-10-25, *Financial Instruments* — *Recognition* (the "fair value option election"). Merrill Lynch also accounts for certain assets at fair value under applicable industry guidance, namely ASC 940, *Financial Services* — *Broker and Dealers* ("Broker-Dealer Guide") and ASC 946, *Financial Services* — *Investment Companies* ("Investment Company Guide").

ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* ("Fair Value Accounting") defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the quality of inputs used to measure fair value and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements.

Fair values for over-the-counter ("OTC") derivative financial instruments, principally forwards, options, and swaps, represent the present value of amounts estimated to be received from or paid to a marketplace participant in settlement of these instruments (i.e., the amount Merrill Lynch would expect to receive in a derivative asset assignment or would expect to pay to have a derivative liability assumed). These derivatives are valued using pricing models based on the net present value of estimated future cash flows and directly observed prices from exchange-traded derivatives, other OTC trades, or external pricing services, while taking into account the counterparty's creditworthiness, or Merrill Lynch's own creditworthiness, as appropriate. When external pricing services are used, the methods and assumptions used are reviewed by Merrill Lynch. Determining the fair value for OTC derivative contracts can require a significant level of estimation and management judgment.

New and/or complex instruments may have immature or limited markets. As a result, the pricing models used for valuation often incorporate significant estimates and assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the instrument, which may impact the results of operations reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements. For instance, on long-dated and illiquid contracts extrapolation methods are applied to observed market data in order to estimate inputs and assumptions that are not directly observable. This enables Merrill Lynch to mark to fair value all positions consistently when only a subset of prices are directly observable. Values for OTC derivatives are verified using observed information about the costs of hedging the risk and other trades in the market. As the markets for these products develop, Merrill Lynch continually refines its pricing models to correlate more closely to the market price of these instruments. The recognition of significant inception gains and losses that incorporate unobservable inputs is reviewed by management to ensure such gains and losses are derived from observable inputs and/or incorporate reasonable assumptions about the unobservable component, such as implied bid-offer adjustments.

Certain financial instruments recorded at fair value are initially measured using mid-market prices which results in gross long and short positions valued at the same pricing level prior to the application of position netting. The resulting net positions are then adjusted to fair value representing the exit price as defined in Fair Value Accounting. The significant adjustments include liquidity and counterparty credit risk.

Liquidity

Merrill Lynch makes adjustments to bring a position from a mid-market to a bid or offer price, depending upon the net open position. Merrill Lynch values net long positions at bid prices and net short positions at offer prices. These adjustments are based upon either observable or implied bid-offer prices.

Counterparty Credit Risk

In determining the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, Merrill Lynch considers the credit risk of its counterparties, as well as its own creditworthiness. Merrill Lynch attempts to mitigate credit risk to third parties by entering into netting and collateral arrangements. Net counterparty exposure (counterparty positions netted by offsetting transactions and both cash and securities collateral) is then valued for counterparty creditworthiness and the resultant credit valuation adjustment ("CVA") is incorporated into the fair value of the respective instruments.

Fair Value Accounting also requires that Merrill Lynch consider its own creditworthiness when determining the fair value of certain instruments, including OTC derivative instruments (i.e., debit valuation adjustments or "DVA") and certain structured notes carried at fair value under the fair value option election. Merrill Lynch's DVA is measured in the same manner as CVA. The impact of Merrill Lynch's DVA is incorporated into the fair value of OTC derivative contracts even when credit risk is not readily observable in the instrument. For additional information on calculating CVA and DVA see Note 6.

Legal and Representations and Warranty Reserves

Merrill Lynch is a party in various actions, some of which involve claims for substantial amounts. Amounts are accrued for the financial resolution of claims that have either been asserted or are deemed probable of assertion if, in the opinion of management, it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. In many cases, it is not possible to determine whether a liability has been incurred or to estimate the ultimate or minimum amount of that liability until the case is close to resolution, in which case no accrual is made until that time. Accruals are subject to significant estimation by management, with input from any outside counsel handling the matter.

In addition, Merrill Lynch and certain of its subsidiaries made various representations and warranties in connection with the sale of residential mortgage and home equity loans. Breaches of these representations and warranties may result in the requirement to repurchase mortgage loans or to otherwise make whole or provide other remedies. Refer to Note 14 for further information.



Income Taxes

Merrill Lynch provides for income taxes on all transactions that have been recognized in the Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with ASC 740, *Income Taxes* ("Income Tax Accounting"). Accordingly, deferred taxes are adjusted to reflect the tax rates at which future taxable amounts will likely be settled or realized. The effects of tax rate changes on deferred tax liabilities and deferred tax assets, as well as other changes in income tax laws, are recognized in net earnings in the period during which such changes are enacted. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts that are more-likely-than-not to be realized. Pursuant to Income Tax Accounting, Merrill Lynch may consider various sources of evidence in assessing the necessity of valuation allowances to reduce deferred tax assets to amounts more-likely-than-not to be realized, including the following: 1) past and projected earnings, including losses, of Merrill Lynch and Bank of America, as certain tax attributes such as U.S. net operating losses ("NOLs"), U.S. capital loss carryforwards and foreign tax credit carryforwards can be utilized by Bank of America in certain income tax returns, 2) tax carryforward periods, and 3) tax planning strategies and other factors of the legal entities, such as the intercompany tax allocation policy. Included within Merrill Lynch has concluded that these deferred tax assets are more-likely-than-not to be fully utilized prior to expiration, based on the projected level of future taxable income of Merrill Lynch and Bank of America, which is relevant due to the intercompany tax allocation policy. For this purpose, future taxable income was projected based on forecasts, historical earnings after adjusting for the past market disruptions and the anticipated impact of the differences between pre-tax earnings and taxable income.

Merrill Lynch recognizes and measures its unrecognized tax benefits ("UTBs") in accordance with Income Tax Accounting. Merrill Lynch estimates the likelihood, based on their technical merits, that tax positions will be sustained upon examination considering the facts and circumstances and information available at the end of each period. Merrill Lynch adjusts the level of UTBs when there is more information available, or when an event occurs requiring a change. In accordance with Bank of America's policy, any new or subsequent change in an unrecognized tax benefit related to a Bank of America state consolidated, combined or unitary return in which Merrill Lynch is a member will generally not be reflected in Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) and Consolidated Balance Sheet. However, upon Bank of America's resolution of the item, any material impact determined to be attributable to Merrill Lynch will be reflected in Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) and Consolidated Balance Sheet. Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) and Consolidated Balance Sheet. Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) and Consolidated Balance Sheet. Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) and Consolidated Balance Sheet. Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) and Consolidated Balance Sheet. Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) and Consolidated Balance Sheet. Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) and Consolidated Balance Sheet. Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) and Consolidated Balance Sheet. Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) and Consolidated Balance Sheet. Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) and Consolidated Balance Sheet. Merrill Lynch accrues income-tax-related interest and penalties, if applicable, within income tax expense.

Merrill Lynch's results of operations are included in the U.S. federal income tax return and certain state income tax returns of Bank of America. The method of allocating income tax expense is determined under the intercompany tax allocation policy of Bank of America. This policy specifies that income tax expense will be computed for all Bank of America subsidiaries generally on a separate pro forma return basis, taking into account the tax position of the consolidated group and the pro forma Merrill Lynch group. Under this policy, tax benefits associated with NOLs (or other tax attributes) of Merrill Lynch are payable to Merrill Lynch generally upon utilization in Bank of America's tax returns. See Note 17 for further discussion of income taxes.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is the cost of an acquired company in excess of the fair value of identifiable net assets at the acquisition date. Goodwill is tested annually (or more frequently under certain conditions) for impairment at the reporting unit level in accordance with ASC 350, *Intangibles — Goodwill and Other* ("Goodwill and Intangible Assets Accounting").

Intangible assets with definite lives consist primarily of value assigned to customer relationships. Intangible assets with definite lives are tested for impairment in accordance with ASC 360, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*, whenever certain conditions exist which would indicate the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Intangible assets with definitive lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives. Intangible assets with indefinite lives consist of value assigned to the Merrill Lynch brand and are tested for impairment in

accordance with Goodwill and Intangible Assets Accounting. Intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized.

Merrill Lynch makes certain complex judgments with respect to its goodwill and intangible assets, including assumptions and estimates used to determine fair value. Merrill Lynch also makes assumptions and estimates in determining the useful lives of its intangible assets with definite lives. Refer to Note 11 for further information.

Balance Sheet

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Merrill Lynch defines cash equivalents as short-term, highly liquid securities, and interest-earning deposits with maturities, when purchased, of 90 days or less, that are not used for trading purposes.

Cash and Securities Segregated for Regulatory Purposes or Deposited with Clearing Organizations

Merrill Lynch maintains relationships with clients around the world and, as a result, it is subject to various regulatory regimes. As a result of its client activities, Merrill Lynch is obligated by rules mandated by its primary regulators, including the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") in the U.S. and the Financial Services Authority ("FSA") in the U.K. to segregate or set aside cash and/or qualified securities to satisfy these regulations, which have been promulgated to protect customer assets. In addition, Merrill Lynch is a member of various clearing organizations at which it maintains cash and/or securities required for the conduct of its day-to-day clearance activities.

Securities Financing Transactions

Merrill Lynch enters into repurchase and resale agreements and securities borrowed and loaned transactions to accommodate customers and earn interest rate spreads (also referred to as "matched-book transactions"), obtain securities for settlement and finance inventory positions. Resale and repurchase agreements are generally accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and may be recorded at their contractual amounts plus accrued interest or at fair value under the fair value option election. In resale and repurchase agreements, typically the termination date of the agreements is before the maturity date of the underlying security. However, in certain situations, Merrill Lynch may enter into agreements where the termination date of the transactions. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, Merrill Lynch accounts for RTM transactions as sales and purchases when the transferred securities are highly liquid. In instances where securities are considered sold or purchased, Merrill Lynch removes or recognizes the securities and, in the case of sales, recognizes a gain or loss, where applicable. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch had no outstanding RTM transactions that had been accounted for as sales and an immaterial amount of transactions that had been accounted for as purchases.

Resale and repurchase agreements recorded at fair value are generally valued based on pricing models that use inputs with observable levels of price transparency. Where the fair value option election has been made, changes in the fair value of resale and repurchase agreements are reflected in principal transactions revenues and the contractual interest coupon is recorded as interest revenue or interest expense, respectively. Resale and repurchase agreements are substantially collateralized and are not sensitive to credit risk. For further information refer to Note 4.

Merrill Lynch may use securities received as collateral for resale agreements to satisfy regulatory requirements such as Rule 15c3-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Securities borrowed and loaned transactions may be recorded at the amount of cash collateral advanced or received plus accrued interest or at fair value under the fair value option election. Securities borrowed transactions require Merrill Lynch to provide the counterparty with collateral in the form of cash, letters of credit, or other securities. Merrill Lynch receives collateral in the form of cash or other securities for securities loaned transactions. For these transactions, the fees received or paid by Merrill Lynch are recorded as interest revenue or expense. Securities borrowed and loaned transactions are substantially collateralized and are not sensitive to credit risk.



For securities financing transactions, Merrill Lynch's policy is to obtain possession of collateral with a market value equal to or in excess of the principal amount loaned under the agreements. To ensure that the market value of the underlying collateral remains sufficient, collateral is generally valued daily and Merrill Lynch may require counterparties to deposit additional collateral or may return collateral pledged when appropriate. Securities financing agreements give rise to negligible credit risk as a result of these collateral provisions, and no allowance for loan losses is considered necessary.

Substantially all securities financing activities are transacted under master agreements that give Merrill Lynch the right, in the event of default, to liquidate collateral held and to offset receivables and payables with the same counterparty. Merrill Lynch offsets certain repurchase and resale transactions with the same counterparty on the Consolidated Balance Sheets where it has such a master agreement, that agreement is legally enforceable and the transactions have the same maturity date.

Merrill Lynch-owned securities pledged to counterparties where the counterparty has the right, by contract or custom, to sell or repledge the securities are disclosed parenthetically in trading assets or in investment securities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In transactions where Merrill Lynch acts as the lender in a securities lending agreement and receives securities that can be pledged or sold as collateral, it recognizes an asset on the Consolidated Balance Sheets carried at fair value, representing the securities received (securities received as collateral), and a liability for the same amount, representing the obligation to return those securities (obligation to return securities received as collateral). The amounts on the Consolidated Balance Sheets result from such non-cash transactions.

Trading Assets and Liabilities

Merrill Lynch's trading activities consist primarily of securities brokerage and trading; derivatives dealing and brokerage; commodities trading and futures brokerage; and securities financing transactions. Trading assets and trading liabilities consist of cash instruments (e.g., securities and loans) and derivative instruments. Trading assets also include commodities inventory.

Trading assets and liabilities are generally recorded on a trade date basis at fair value. Included in trading liabilities are securities that Merrill Lynch has sold but did not own and will therefore be obligated to purchase at a future date ("short sales"). Commodities inventory is recorded at the lower of cost or fair value. Changes in fair value of trading assets and liabilities (i.e., unrealized gains and losses) are recognized as principal transactions revenues in the current period. Realized gains and losses and any related interest amounts are included in principal transactions revenues and expenses, depending on the nature of the instrument.

Derivatives

A derivative is an instrument whose value is derived from an underlying instrument or index, such as interest rates, equity security prices, currencies, commodity prices or credit spreads. Derivatives include futures, forwards, swaps, option contracts and other financial instruments with similar characteristics. Derivative contracts often involve future commitments to exchange interest payment streams or currencies based on a notional or contractual amount (e.g., interest rate swaps or currency forwards) or to purchase or sell other financial instruments at specified terms on a specified date (e.g., options to buy or sell securities or currencies). All derivatives are accounted for at fair value. Refer to Note 6 for further information.

Investment Securities

Investment securities consist of marketable investment securities and non-qualifying investments. Refer to Note 8 for further information.

Marketable Investment Securities

ML & Co. and certain of its non-broker-dealer subsidiaries follow the guidance within Investment Accounting for investments in debt and publicly traded equity securities. For Merrill Lynch, the trading classification under Investment Accounting generally includes those securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of



selling them in the near term, securities that are economically hedged, or securities that may contain a bifurcatable embedded derivative as defined in Derivatives Accounting. Securities classified as trading are marked to fair value through earnings. All other qualifying securities are classified as available-for-sale ("AFS") and are held at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income ("OCI").

Realized gains and losses on investment securities are included in current period earnings. For purposes of computing realized gains and losses, the cost basis of each investment sold is based on the specific identification method.

Merrill Lynch regularly (at least quarterly) evaluates each AFS security whose fair value has declined below amortized cost to assess whether the decline in fair value is other-than-temporary. A decline in a debt security's fair value is considered to be other-than-temporary if it is probable that all amounts contractually due will not be collected or Merrill Lynch either plans to sell the security or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost. For unrealized losses on debt securities that are deemed other-than-temporary, the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment is recognized in earnings and the non-credit component is recognized in OCI when Merrill Lynch does not intend to sell the security and it is more likely than not that Merrill Lynch will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery. Refer to Note 8 for further information.

Non-Qualifying Investments

Non-qualifying investments are those investments that are not within the scope of Investment Accounting and primarily include private equity investments accounted for at fair value and other equity securities carried at cost or under the equity method of accounting.

Private equity investments that are held for capital appreciation and/or current income are accounted for under the Investment Company Guide and carried at fair value. Additionally, certain private equity investments that are not accounted for under the Investment Company Guide may be carried at fair value under the fair value option election.

Merrill Lynch has non-controlling investments in the common shares of corporations and in partnerships that do not fall within the scope of Investment Accounting or the Investment Company Guide. Merrill Lynch accounts for these investments using either the cost or the equity method of accounting based on management's ability to influence the investees, or Merrill Lynch may elect the fair value option. See the Consolidation Accounting section of this Note for more information.

For investments accounted for using the equity method, income is recognized based on Merrill Lynch's share of the earnings or losses of the investee. Dividend distributions are generally recorded as reductions in the investment balance. Impairment testing is based on the guidance provided in Equity Method Accounting, and the investment is reduced when an impairment is deemed other-than-temporary.

For investments accounted for at cost, income is recognized when dividends are received, or when the investment is sold. Instruments are periodically tested for impairment based on the guidance provided in Investment Accounting, and the cost basis is reduced when an impairment is deemed other-than-temporary.

Loans, Notes and Mortgages, Net

Merrill Lynch's lending and related activities include loan originations, syndications and securitizations. Loan originations include corporate and institutional loans, residential and commercial mortgages, asset-backed loans, and other loans to individuals and businesses. Merrill Lynch also engages in secondary market loan trading (see the Trading Assets and Liabilities section of this Note) and margin lending, which is included in Customer Receivables. Loans included in loans, notes, and mortgages are classified for accounting purposes as loans held for investment or loans held for sale.

Loans held for investment are generally carried at amortized cost, less an allowance for loan losses, which represents Merrill Lynch's estimate of probable losses inherent in its lending activities. The fair value option election has been made for certain held-for-investment loans, notes and mortgages. Merrill Lynch performs periodic

and systematic detailed reviews of its lending portfolios to identify credit risks and to assess overall collectability. These reviews, which are updated on a quarterly basis, consider a variety of factors including, but not limited to, historical loss experience, estimated defaults, delinquencies, economic conditions, credit scores and the fair value of any underlying collateral. Provisions for loan losses are included in interest and dividend revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss).

Merrill Lynch's estimate of loan losses includes judgment about collectability based on available information at the balance sheet date, and the uncertainties inherent in those underlying assumptions. While management has based its estimates on the best information available, future adjustments to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary as a result of changes in the economic environment or variances between actual results and the original assumptions.

In general, loans that are past due 90 days or more as to principal or interest, or where reasonable doubt exists as to timely collection, including loans that are individually identified as being impaired, are classified as non-performing unless well-secured and in the process of collection. Loans, primarily commercial, whose contractual terms have been restructured in a manner which grants a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties are considered troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") and are classified as non-performing until the loans have performed for an adequate period of time under the restructured agreement. Interest accrued but not collected is reversed when a commercial loan is considered non-performing. Interest collections on commercial loans for which the ultimate collectability of principal is uncertain are applied as principal reductions; otherwise, such collections are credited to income when received. Commercial loans may be restored to performing status when all principal and interest is current and full repayment of the remaining contractual principal and interest is expected, or when the loan otherwise becomes well-secured and is in the process of collection.

Loans held for sale are carried at lower of cost or fair value. The fair value option election has been made for certain held for sale loans, notes and mortgages. Estimation is required in determining these fair values. The fair value of loans made in connection with commercial lending activity, consisting mainly of senior debt, is primarily estimated using the market value of publicly issued debt instruments when available or discounted cash flows.

Nonrefundable loan origination fees, loan commitment fees, and "draw down" fees received in conjunction with held for investment loans are generally deferred and recognized over the contractual life of the loan as an adjustment to the yield. If, at the outset, or any time during the term of the loan, it becomes probable that the repayment period will be extended, the amortization is recalculated using the expected remaining life of the loan. When the loan contract does not provide for a specific maturity date, management's best estimate of the repayment period is used. At repayment of the loan, any unrecognized deferred fee is immediately recognized in earnings. If the loan is accounted for as held for sale, the fees received are deferred and recognized as part of the gain or loss on sale in other revenues. If the loan is accounted for under the fair value option election, the fees are included in the determination of the fair value and included in other revenues.

Other Receivables and Payables

Customer Receivables and Payables

Customer securities transactions are recorded on a settlement date basis. Receivables from and payables to customers include amounts due on cash and margin transactions, including futures contracts transacted on behalf of Merrill Lynch customers. Securities owned by customers, including those that collateralize margin or other similar transactions, are not reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Customer receivables and broker dealer receivables include margin loan transactions where Merrill Lynch will typically make a loan to a customer in order to finance the customer's purchase of securities. These transactions are conducted through margin accounts. In these transactions the customer is required to post collateral in excess of the value of the loan and the collateral must meet marketability criteria. Collateral is valued daily and must be maintained over the life of the loan. Given that these loans are fully collateralized by marketable securities, credit risk is negligible and reserves for loan losses are only required in rare circumstances.



Brokers and Dealers Receivables and Payables

Receivables from brokers and dealers include amounts receivable for securities not delivered by Merrill Lynch to a purchaser by the settlement date ("fails to deliver"), margin deposits and commissions. Payables to brokers and dealers include amounts payable for securities not received by Merrill Lynch from a seller by the settlement date ("fails to receive"). Brokers and dealers receivables and payables additionally include amounts related to futures contracts on behalf of Merrill Lynch customers as well as net receivables or payables from unsettled trades.

Interest and Other Receivables and Payables

Interest and other receivables include interest receivable on corporate and governmental obligations, customer or other receivables, and stock-borrowed transactions. Also included are receivables from income taxes, underwriting and advisory fees, commissions and fees, and other receivables. Interest and other payables include interest payable for stock-loaned transactions, and short-term and long-term borrowings. Also included are amounts payable for employee compensation and benefits, income taxes, non-trading derivatives, dividends, other reserves, and other payables.

Equipment and Facilities

Equipment and facilities consist primarily of technology hardware and software, leasehold improvements, and owned facilities. Equipment and facilities are reported at historical cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization, except for land, which is reported at historical cost.

Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method. Equipment is depreciated over its estimated useful life, while leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the improvement's estimated economic useful life or the term of the lease. Maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred. Depreciation and amortization expense was \$269 million, \$422 million and \$591 million for 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Other Assets

Other assets include deferred tax assets, the excess of the fair value of pension assets over the related benefit obligations, other prepaid expenses, and other deferred charges. Refer to Note 15 for further information.

In addition, real estate purchased for investment purposes is also included in other assets. Real estate held in this category may be classified as either held and used or held for sale depending on the facts and circumstances. Real estate held and used is valued at cost, less depreciation, and real estate held for sale is valued at the lower of cost or fair value, less estimated costs to sell.

Deposits

Savings deposits are interest-bearing accounts that have no maturity or expiration date. Certificates of deposit are accounts that have a stipulated maturity and interest rate. However, depositors may recover their funds prior to the stated maturity but may pay a penalty to do so. In certain cases, Merrill Lynch enters into interest rate swaps to hedge the fair value risk in these deposits. The carrying amount of deposits approximates fair value.

Short- and Long-Term Borrowings

Short and long-term borrowings are carried at either the principal amount borrowed, net of unamortized discounts or premiums, adjusted for the effects of fair value hedges or fair value under the fair value option election.

Merrill Lynch issues structured debt instruments that have coupons or repayment terms linked to the performance of debt or equity securities, indices, currencies, or commodities, generally referred to as hybrid debt instruments or structured notes. The contingent payment components of these obligations may meet the definition in Derivatives Accounting of an embedded derivative. Structured notes are generally accounted for under the fair value option election (see Note 4).

Merrill Lynch uses derivatives to manage the interest rate, currency, equity, and other risk exposures of its borrowings. See Note 6 for additional information on the accounting for derivatives.



Stock-Based Compensation

Merrill Lynch accounts for stock-based compensation expense in accordance with ASC 718, *Compensation — Stock Compensation* ("Stock Compensation Accounting"), under which compensation expense for share-based awards that do not require future service are recorded immediately, while those that do require future service are amortized into expense over the relevant service period. Further, expected forfeitures of share-based compensation awards for non-retirement-eligible employees are included in determining compensation expense.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued new accounting guidance that addresses effective control in repurchase agreements and eliminated the requirement for entities to consider whether the transferor/seller has the ability to repurchase the financial assets in a repurchase agreement. This new accounting guidance was effective, on a prospective basis, for new transactions or modifications to existing transactions, on January 1, 2012. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on Merrill Lynch's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Effective January 1, 2012, Merrill Lynch adopted amendments from the FASB to Fair Value Accounting. The amendments clarify the application of the highest and best use, and valuation premise concepts, preclude the application of "blockage factors" in the valuation of all financial instruments and include criteria for applying the fair value measurement principles to portfolios of financial instruments. The amendments also prescribe additional disclosures for Level 3 fair value measurements and financial instruments not carried at fair value. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on Merrill Lynch's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Effective January 1, 2013, Merrill Lynch will be required to retrospectively adopt new accounting guidance from the FASB requiring additional disclosures on the effect of netting arrangements on an entity's financial position. The disclosures relate to derivatives and securities financing agreements that are either offset on the balance sheet under existing accounting guidance or are subject to a legally enforceable master netting or similar agreement. This new guidance addresses only disclosures, and accordingly will have no impact on Merrill Lynch's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In December 2012, the FASB issued a proposed standard on accounting for expected credit losses. It would replace multiple existing impairment models, including an "incurred loss" model for loans, with an "expected credit loss" model. The FASB announced it would establish the effective date when it issues the final standard. Merrill Lynch cannot predict at this time whether or when a final standard will be issued, when it will be effective or what its final provisions will be. It is possible that the final standard could have a material adverse impact on Merrill Lynch's consolidated results of operations once it is issued and becomes effective.

Note 2. Transactions with Bank of America

Merrill Lynch has entered into various transactions with Bank of America, including transactions associated with certain sales and trading and financing activities, as well as the allocation of certain shared services.

On November 1, 2012, in connection with an intragroup reorganization involving Bank of America and a number of its subsidiaries, Merrill Lynch acquired two affiliated companies and their respective subsidiaries from Bank of America. The acquisition was financed through a capital contribution from Bank of America (see Note 1).

On January 6, 2013, Bank of America entered into an agreement with Fannie Mae ("FNMA") to resolve substantially all outstanding and potential repurchase and certain other claims relating to the origination, sale and delivery of certain residential mortgage loans. As part of the agreement, Bank of America repurchased for \$6.6 billion certain residential mortgage loans that had previously been sold to FNMA, which Bank of America valued at less than the purchase price. The majority of such loans are held by Merrill Lynch.



Details on amounts receivable from and payable to Bank of America as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are presented below.

Receivables from Bank of America are comprised of:

(dollars in millions)

	Decem	December 31, 2012		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	9,446	\$	8,681
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes		5,257		6,107
Receivables under resale agreements		13,090		26,855
Trading assets		409		700
Net intercompany funding receivable		16,473		11,318
Other receivables		1,155		6,284
Total	\$	45,830	\$	59,945

Payables to Bank of America are comprised of:

(dollars in millions)

	Decemb	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Payables under repurchase agreements	\$	556	\$	22,647	
Payables under securities loaned transactions		3,686		2,519	
Short-term borrowings		925		1,450	
Deposits		140		159	
Trading liabilities		509		503	
Other payables		1,780		1,803	
Long-term borrowings ⁽¹⁾		1,156		2,650	
Total	\$	8,752	\$	31,731	

 Includes \$2,578 million of subordinated borrowings from Bank of America as of December 31, 2011 (see Note 12).

Total net revenues and non-interest expenses related to transactions with Bank of America for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$1.6 billion and \$2.2 billion, respectively. Net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 included \$125 million of investment banking revenue from transactions involving Bank of America. Total net revenues and non-interest expenses related to transactions with Bank of America for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$1.5 billion and \$2.5 billion, respectively. Net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011 included \$322 million of investment banking revenue from transactions involving Bank of America. Total net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011 included \$322 million of investment banking revenue from transactions involving Bank of America. Total net revenues and non-interest expenses related to transactions with Bank of America for the year ended December 31, 2010 were \$1.0 billion and \$807 million, respectively. Net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010 included a realized gain of approximately \$280 million from the sale of approximately \$11 billion of available-for-sale securities, \$212 million of investment banking revenue from transactions involving Bank of America, and, as discussed below, a gain of approximately \$600 million from the sale of Bloomberg Inc. notes receivable to Bank of America.

Total net revenues related to transactions with Bank of America for the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 included intercompany service fee revenues of \$986 million, \$944 million and \$329 million, respectively. Total non-interest expenses related to transactions with Bank of America for the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 included intercompany service fee expenses of \$1.8 billion, \$2.3 billion and \$538 million, respectively. Intercompany service fee revenue and service fee expense from Bank of America represents the allocations of certain centralized or shared business activities between Merrill Lynch and Bank of America. Such fees are generally determined in accordance with subsidiary transfer pricing agreements.



A portion of the consideration received from Merrill Lynch's July 2008 sale of its stake in Bloomberg, L.P. to Bloomberg Inc. was notes issued by Bloomberg Inc., the general partner and owner of substantially all of Bloomberg, L.P. The notes represent senior unsecured obligations of Bloomberg Inc. In December 2010, Merrill Lynch sold the Bloomberg Inc. notes to Bank of America at fair value and recorded a gain of approximately \$600 million.

Bank of America and Merrill Lynch have entered into certain intercompany lending and borrowing arrangements to facilitate centralized liquidity management. Included in these arrangements is a \$50 billion extendible one-year revolving credit facility that allows Bank of America to borrow funds from Merrill Lynch at a spread to LIBOR that is reset periodically and is consistent with other intercompany agreements. The credit facility matures on January 1, 2014 and will automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding January 1st unless Merrill Lynch provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. There were no amounts outstanding at both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 under this credit facility. There is also a short-term revolving credit facility that allows Bank of America to borrow up to an additional \$25 billion. Interest on borrowings under the credit facility is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit matures on February 11, 2014. There was approximately \$16.2 billion outstanding at December 31, 2011 under this credit facility. See Note 12 for further information on intercompany financing agreements with Bank of America. In addition, Bank of America has guaranteed the performance of Merrill Lynch on certain derivative transactions (see Note 6). Bank of America has also guaranteed certain debt securities, warrants and/or other certificates and obligations of certain subsidiaries of ML & Co. (see Note 12).

Note 3. Segment and Geographic Information

Segment Information

Pursuant to ASC 280, *Segment Reporting*, operating segments represent components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available that is regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision maker in determining how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The business activities of Merrill Lynch are included within certain of the operating segments of Bank of America. Detailed financial information of the nature that could be used to allocate resources and assess the performance and operations for components of Merrill Lynch, however, is not provided to Merrill Lynch's chief operating decision maker. As a result, Merrill Lynch does not contain any identifiable operating segments under Segment Reporting, and therefore the financial information of Merrill Lynch is presented as a single segment.

Geographic Information

Merrill Lynch conducts its business activities through offices in the following five regions:

- United States;
- Europe, Middle East, and Africa ("EMEA");
- Pacific Rim;
- Latin
 - America; and
- Canada.

The principal methodologies used in preparing the geographic information below are as follows:

- Revenues are generally recorded based on the location of the employee generating the revenue; and
- Intercompany transfers are based primarily on service agreements.

The information that follows, in management's judgment, provides a reasonable representation of each region's contribution to the consolidated net revenues:


(dollars	in	millions)
luonars	ın	minions

	For The Year Ended December 31, 2012		For The Year Ended December 31, 2011		For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	
Revenues, net of interest expense						
Europe, Middle East, and Africa	\$ 4,412	\$	3,897	\$	4,605	
Pacific Rim	2,263		2,387		2,244	
Latin America	1,131		1,164		1,072	
Canada	280		272		207	
Total Non-U.S.	 8,086		7,720		8,128	
United States ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	12,131		16,677		19,823	
Total revenues, net of interest expense	\$ 20,217	\$	24,397	\$	27,951	

(1) U.S. results for the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 included losses of \$3.2 billion, gains of \$2.0 billion and losses of \$0.1 billion, respectively, due to the impact of the changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads on the carrying values of certain long-term borrowings, primarily structured notes.

(2) Corporate net revenues and adjustments are reflected in the U.S. region.

Fair Value Accounting

Fair Value Hierarchy

In accordance with Fair Value Accounting, Merrill Lynch has categorized its financial instruments, based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique, into a three-level fair value hierarchy.

The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3).

Financial assets and liabilities recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

- Level 1. Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market that Merrill Lynch has the ability to access (examples include active exchange-traded equity securities, exchange-traded derivatives, U.S. Government securities, and certain other Non-U.S. government obligations).
- *Level 2.* Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or model inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly for substantially the full term of the asset or liability. Level 2 inputs include the following:
 - a) Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets (examples include restricted stock and U.S. agency securities);
 - b) Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in non-active markets (examples include corporate and municipal bonds, which can trade infrequently);
 - c) Pricing models whose inputs are observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability (examples include most over-the-counter derivatives, including interest rate and currency swaps); and



- d) Pricing models whose inputs are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data through correlation or other means for substantially the full term of the asset or liability (examples include certain residential and commercial mortgage-related assets, including loans, securities and derivatives).
- *Level 3.* Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect management's view about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability (examples include certain private equity investments, certain residential and commercial mortgage-related assets and long-dated or complex derivatives).

As required by Fair Value Accounting, when the inputs used to measure fair value fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the level within which the fair value measurement is categorized is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. For example, a Level 3 fair value measurement may include inputs that are observable (Level 1 and 2) and unobservable (Level 3). Therefore gains and losses for such assets and liabilities categorized within the Level 3 reconciliation below may include changes in fair value that are attributable to both observable inputs (Levels 1 and 2) and unobservable inputs (Level 3). Further, the following reconciliations do not take into consideration the offsetting effect of Level 1 and 2 financial instruments entered into by Merrill Lynch that economically hedge certain exposures to the Level 3 positions.

A review of fair value hierarchy classifications is conducted on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in a reclassification for certain financial assets or liabilities. Reclassifications are reported as transfers in or transfers out of the Level as of the beginning of the quarter in which the reclassifications occur. Therefore, Level 3 gains and losses represent amounts recognized during the period in which the instrument was classified as Level 3. Refer to the recurring and non-recurring sections within this Note for further information on transfers between levels.

Valuation Processes and Techniques

Merrill Lynch has various processes and controls in place to ensure that its fair value measurements are reasonably estimated. A model validation policy governs the use and control of valuation models used to estimate fair value. This policy requires review and approval of models by personnel who are independent of the front office and periodic re-assessments to ensure that models are continuing to perform as designed. In addition, detailed reviews of trading gains and losses are analyzed on a daily basis by personnel who are independent of the front office, utilizes available market information including executed trades, market prices and market observable valuation model inputs to ensure that fair values are reasonably estimated. Merrill Lynch executes due diligence procedures over third party pricing service providers in order to support their use in the valuation process. Where market information is not available to support internal valuations, independent reviews of the valuations are performed and any material exposures are escalated through a management review process.

While Merrill Lynch believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

During 2012, there were no changes to Merrill Lynch's valuation techniques that had or are expected to have, a material impact on its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

The following outlines the valuation methodologies for Merrill Lynch's material categories of assets and liabilities:

U.S. Government and agencies

U.S. Treasury securities U.S. Treasury securities are valued using quoted market prices and are generally classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.



<u>U.S. agency securities</u> U.S. agency securities are comprised of two main categories consisting of agency issued debt and mortgage pass-throughs. The fair value of agency issued debt securities is derived using market prices and recent trade activity gathered from independent dealer pricing services or brokers. Generally, the fair value of mortgage pass-throughs is based on market prices of comparable securities. Agency issued debt securities and mortgage pass-throughs are generally classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Non-U.S. governments and agencies

<u>Non-U.S. government obligations</u> Non-U.S. government obligations are valued using quoted prices in active markets when available. To the extent quoted prices are not available, fair value is determined based on reference to recent trading activity and quoted prices of similar securities. These securities are generally classified in Level 1 or Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy, primarily based on the issuing country.

Municipal debt

<u>Municipal bonds</u> The fair value of municipal bonds is calculated using recent trade activity, market price quotations and new issuance levels. In the absence of this information, fair value is calculated using comparable bond credit spreads. Current interest rates, credit events, and individual bond characteristics such as coupon, call features, maturity, and revenue purpose are considered in the valuation process. The majority of these bonds are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

<u>Auction Rate Securities ("ARS")</u> Merrill Lynch holds investments in certain ARS, including student loan and municipal ARS. Student loan ARS are comprised of various pools of student loans. Municipal ARS are issued by states and municipalities for a wide variety of purposes, including but not limited to healthcare, industrial development, education and transportation infrastructure. The fair value of the student loan ARS is calculated based upon a number of assumptions including weighted average life, coupon, discount margin and liquidity discounts. The fair value of the municipal ARS is calculated based upon projected refinancing and spread assumptions. In both cases, recent trades and issuer tenders are considered in the valuations. Student loan ARS are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Corporate and other debt

<u>Corporate bonds</u> Corporate bonds are valued based on either the most recent observable trade and/or external quotes, depending on availability. The most recent observable trade price is given highest priority as the valuation benchmark based on an evaluation of transaction date, size, frequency, and bid-offer. This price may be adjusted by bond or credit default swap spread movement. When credit default swap spreads are referenced, cash-to-synthetic basis magnitude and movement as well as maturity matching are incorporated into the value. When neither external quotes nor a recent trade is available, the bonds are valued using a discounted cash flow approach based on risk parameters of comparable securities. In such cases, the potential pricing difference in spread and/or price terms with the traded comparable is considered. Corporate bonds are generally classified as Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

<u>Commercial loans and commitments</u> The fair values of commercial loans and loan commitments are based on market prices and most recent transactions when available. When not available, a discounted cash flow valuation approach is applied using market-based credit spreads of comparable debt instruments, recent new issuance activity or relevant credit derivatives with appropriate cash-to-synthetic basis adjustments. Commercial loans and commitments are generally classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. Certain commercial loans, particularly those related to emerging market, leveraged and distressed companies have limited price transparency. These loans are generally classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.



Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed

Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities ("RMBS"), Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities ("CMBS"), and other Asset-Backed Securities ("ABS") RMBS, CMBS and other ABS are valued based on observable price or credit spreads for the particular security, or when price or credit spreads are not observable, the valuation is based on prices of comparable bonds or the present value of expected future cash flows. Valuation levels of RMBS and CMBS indices are used as an additional data point for benchmarking purposes or to price outright index positions.

When estimating the fair value based upon the present value of expected future cash flows, Merrill Lynch uses its best estimate of the key assumptions, including forecasted credit losses, prepayment rates, forward yield curves and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved, while also taking into account performance of the underlying collateral.

RMBS, CMBS and other ABS are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy if external prices or credit spreads are unobservable or if comparable trades/assets involve significant subjectivity related to property type differences, cash flows, performance and other inputs; otherwise, they are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Collateralized loan obligations ("CLO") are valued based upon the present value of expected future cash flows, utilizing yields that are derived from those of comparable securities. CLOs are generally classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Equities

Exchange-Traded Equity Securities Exchange-traded equity securities are generally valued based on quoted prices from the exchange. These securities are classified as either Level 1 or Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy, primarily based on the exchange on which they are traded.

<u>Convertible debentures</u> Convertible debentures are valued based on observable trades and/or external quotes, depending on availability. When neither observable trades nor external quotes are available, the instruments are valued using a discounted cash flow approach based on risk parameters of comparable securities. In such cases, the potential pricing difference in spread and/or price terms with the traded comparable is considered. Convertible debentures are generally classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Derivative contracts

<u>Listed Derivative Contracts</u> Listed derivatives that are actively traded are generally valued based on quoted prices from the exchange and are classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy. Listed derivatives that are not actively traded are valued using the same approaches as those applied to OTC derivatives; they are generally classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

OTC Derivative Contracts OTC derivative contracts include forwards, swaps and options related to interest rate, foreign currency, credit, equity or commodity underlyings.

The fair value of OTC derivatives is derived using market prices and other market based pricing parameters such as interest rates, currency rates and volatilities that are observed directly in the market or gathered from independent sources such as dealer consensus pricing services or brokers. Where models are used, they are used consistently and reflect the contractual terms of and specific risks inherent in the contracts. Generally, the models do not require a high level of subjectivity since the valuation techniques used in the models do not require significant judgment and inputs to the models are readily observable in active markets. When appropriate, valuations are adjusted for various factors such as liquidity and credit considerations based on available market evidence. In addition, for most collateralized interest rate and currency derivatives the requirement to pay interest on the collateral may be considered in the valuation. The majority of OTC derivative contracts are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.



OTC derivative contracts that do not have readily observable market based pricing parameters are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Examples of derivative contracts classified within Level 3 include contractual obligations that have tenures that extend beyond periods in which inputs to the model would be observable, exotic derivatives with significant inputs into a valuation model that are less transparent in the market and certain credit default swaps ("CDS") referenced to mortgage-backed securities. For example, derivative instruments, such as certain CDS referenced to RMBS, CMBS, other ABS and collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs"), may be valued based on the underlying mortgage risk where these instruments are not actively quoted. Inputs to the valuation will include available information on similar underlying loans or securities in the cash market. The prepayments and loss assumptions on the underlying loans or securities are estimated using a combination of historical data, prices on recent market transactions, relevant observable market indices such as the Asset Backed Securities Index ("ABX") or Commercial Mortgage Backed Securities Index ("CMBX") and prepayment and default scenarios and analyses.

<u>CDOs</u> The fair value of CDOs is derived from a referenced basket of CDS, the CDO's capital structure, and the default correlation, which is an input to a proprietary CDO valuation model. The underlying CDO portfolios typically contain investment grade as well as non-investment grade obligors. After adjusting for differences in risk profile, the correlation parameter for an actual transaction is estimated by benchmarking against observable standardized index tranches and other comparable transactions. CDOs are classified as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Investment securities non-qualifying

Investments in Private Equity, Real Estate and Hedge Funds. Merrill Lynch has investments in numerous asset classes, including: direct private equity, private equity funds, hedge funds and real estate funds. Valuing these investments requires significant management judgment due to the nature of the assets and the lack of quoted market prices and liquidity in these assets. Initially, the transaction price of the investment is generally considered to be the best indicator of fair value. Thereafter, valuation of direct investments is based on an assessment of each individual investment using various methodologies, which include publicly traded comparables derived by multiplying a key performance metric (e.g., earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA")) of the portfolio company by the relevant valuation multiple observed for comparable companies, acquisition comparables, entry level multiples and discounted cash flows. These valuations are subject to appropriate discounts for lack of liquidity. Certain factors which may influence changes to fair value include but are not limited to, recapitalizations, subsequent rounds of financing, and offerings in the equity or debt capital markets. For fund investments, Merrill Lynch generally records the fair value of its proportionate interest in the fund's capital as reported by the fund's respective managers.

Investment securities non-qualifying include equity securities that have recently gone through initial public offerings or secondary sales of public positions. These investments are primarily classified as either Level 1 or Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. Level 2 classifications generally include those publicly traded equity investments that have a legal or contractual transfer restriction. All other investments in private equity, real estate and hedge funds are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy due to infrequent trading and/or unobservable market prices.

Resale and repurchase agreements

Merrill Lynch elected the fair value option for certain resale and repurchase agreements. For such agreements, the fair value is estimated using a discounted cash flow model which incorporates inputs such as interest rate yield curves and option volatility. Resale and repurchase agreements for which the fair value option has been elected are generally classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Long-term and short-term borrowings

Merrill Lynch and its consolidated VIEs issue structured notes that have coupons or repayment terms linked to the performance of debt or equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities. The fair value of structured notes is estimated using valuation models for the combined derivative and debt portions of the notes when the fair value

option has been elected. These models incorporate observable, and in some instances unobservable, inputs including security prices, interest rate yield curves, option volatility, currency, commodity or equity rates and correlations between these inputs. The impact of Merrill Lynch's own credit spreads is also included based on Merrill Lynch's observed secondary bond market spreads. Structured notes are classified as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Recurring Fair Value

The following tables present Merrill Lynch's fair value hierarchy for those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

(dollars in millions)

		Fair Value Me	asurements on a	Recurring Basis	
		as	of December 31,	2012	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting Adj ⁽¹⁾	Total
Assets:					
Securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations:					
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	\$	\$ 1,833	\$	\$	\$ 1,833
U.S. Government and agencies	3,558	250	_	—	3,808
Total securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations	3,558	2,083			5,641
Receivables under resale agreements		93,715	·		93,715
Receivables under securities borrowed transactions	_	961	_	—	961
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:					
Equities	23,813	12,340	178		36,331
Convertible debentures	_	4,272	15		4,287
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	34,256	3,236	353		37,845
Corporate debt	_	16,068	1,900	_	17,968
Preferred stock	_	116	253	_	369
Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed	_	5,799	4,814	_	10,613
U.S. Government and agencies	26,201	28,363		_	54,564
Municipals and money markets	1,292	9,201	1,295		11,788
Physical commodities and other	_	692	_	_	692
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts	85,562	80,087	8,808		174,457
Derivative contracts ⁽²⁾	2,691	657,621	5,677	(641,138)	24,851
Investment securities available-for-sale:					
U.S. Government and agencies	390	_	_	_	390
Securities, mortgage-backed and asset backed					
Non-agency MBS	_	40	_	_	40
Corporate ABS	_	218	8	_	226
Total investment securities available-for-sale	390	258	8		656
Investment securities non-qualifying	2,254	1,056	287		3,597
Total investment securities	2,644	1,314	295		4,253
Securities received as collateral	15,426	587			16,013
Loans, notes and mortgages	_	1,396	1,681	_	3,077
Other	_	12	1,534		1,546
Liabilities:					
Payables under repurchase agreements		42,639		_	42,639
Short-term borrowings		3,283		_	3,283
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:					

Equities	16,225	2,557	—	—	18,782
Convertible debentures	—	175	—	—	175
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	18,382	1,325	—	—	19,707
Corporate debt	_	7,912	31	_	7,943
Preferred stock	—	83	—	—	83
U.S. Government and agencies	19,276	910	—	—	20,186
Municipals, money markets and other	487	43	32	_	562
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts	54,370	13,005	63		67,438
Derivative contracts ⁽²⁾	2,449	659,271	4,133	(645,285)	20,568
Obligation to return securities received as collateral	15,426	587	_	_	16,013
Other payables — interest and other	—	50	7	—	57
Long-term borrowings	_	29,559	1,316	_	30,875

(1) Represents counterparty and cash collateral

netting.

(2) Refer to Note 6 for product level detail.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, \$2,040 million and \$350 million of assets and liabilities, respectively, were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2, and \$785 million and \$40 million of assets and liabilities, respectively, were transferred from Level 2 to Level 1. Of the asset transfer from Level 1 to Level 2, \$940 million was due to restrictions that became effective for non-qualifying investment securities during 2012, while \$535 million of the asset transfer from Level 1 to Level 2 to Level 1 was due to the lapse of such restrictions during 2012. The remaining transfers were the result of additional information associated with certain equities, derivative contracts and investment securities non-qualifying.

	Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis as of December 31, 2011							
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting Adj ⁽¹⁾	Total			
Assets:								
Securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations:								
Corporate debt	\$	\$ 295	\$	\$	\$ 295			
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	_	1,757	_	_	1,757			
U.S. Government and agencies	1,796	740	_	—	2,536			
Total securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations	1,796	2,792			4,588			
Receivables under resale agreements		85,652			85,652			
Receivables under securities borrowed transactions		259	_	_	259			
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:								
Equities	14,962	5,860	179	_	21,001			
Convertible debentures	_	4,519	99	_	4,618			
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	28,026	1,871	342	_	30,239			
Corporate debt		13,027	3,962	_	16,989			
Preferred stock		89	227		310			
Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed		5,055	3,199	_	8,254			
U.S. Government and agencies	22,183	20,820		_	43,003			
Municipals and money markets	1,067	9,755	2,047	_	12,869			
Physical commodities and other	1,007	175	2,047		12,80			
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts	66,238	61,171	10,055		137,464			
Derivative contracts ⁽²⁾	1,810	·	10,033	(699,015)	35,09			
	1,810	722,186	10,110	(099,013)	55,091			
Investment securities available-for-sale:	208				200			
U.S. Government and agencies	398			—	398			
Securities, mortgage-backed and asset backed								
Non-agency MBS		249		—	249			
Corporate ABS			47		- 47			
Total investment securities available-for-sale	398	249	47		694			
Investment securities non-qualifying	2,624	328	574		3,526			
Total investment securities	3,022	577	621		4,220			
Securities received as collateral	13,058	658	—	—	13,710			
Loans, notes and mortgages	—	596	1,726	—	2,322			
Other Assets	—	—	1,349	—	1,349			
Liabilities:								
Payables under repurchase agreements	—	34,235	—	—	34,23			
Short-term borrowings	_	5,908	—	—	5,90			
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:								
Equities	10,868	1,230	—	—	12,098			
Convertible debentures	—	125	_	—	12:			
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	15,911	643	_	—	16,554			
Corporate debt	_	6,927	52		6,97			
Preferred Stock	_	89	16	_	10:			
U.S. Government and agencies	15,603	1,373	_	_	16,97			
Municipals, money markets and other	549	51	45	_	64			
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts	42,931	10,438	113		53,482			

Derivative contracts ⁽²⁾	1,419	724,713	5,615	(705,508)	26,239
Obligation to return securities received as collateral	13,058	658	—	—	13,716
Other payables — interest and other	—	163	10	—	173
Long-term borrowings	_	28,139	2,186	_	30,325

(1) Represents counterparty and cash collateral

netting. (2) Refer to Note 6 for product level detail.

Transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 assets and liabilities were not significant for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Level 3 derivative contracts (assets) relate to derivative positions on U.S. ABS CDOs and other mortgage products of \$2.4 billion, \$3.1 billion of other credit derivatives that incorporate unobservable model valuation inputs, and \$4.6 billion of equity, currency, interest rate and commodity derivatives that are long-dated and/or have unobservable model valuation inputs (e.g., unobservable correlation).

Level 3 non-qualifying investment securities primarily relate to certain private equity positions.

Level 3 loans, notes and mortgages primarily relate to residential mortgage and corporate loans.

Level 3 other assets represent net monoline exposure to a single counterparty. This exposure was reclassified from derivative contracts (assets) during the third quarter of 2011 because of the inherent default risk and given that these contracts no longer provide a hedge benefit (see Note 6).

Level 3 derivative contracts (liabilities) relate to derivative positions on U.S. ABS CDOs and other mortgage products of \$1.6 billion, \$0.7 billion of other credit derivatives that incorporate unobservable model valuation inputs, and \$3.3 billion of equity, currency, interest rate and commodity derivatives that are long-dated and/or have unobservable model valuation inputs (e.g., unobservable correlation).

Level 3 long-term borrowings primarily relate to equity-linked structured notes of \$1.7 billion, which have unobservable model valuation inputs (e.g., unobservable correlation).

The following tables provide a summary of changes in Merrill Lynch's Level 3 financial assets and liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

						Level 3 Financi Year Ende	al Assets and I I December 31						
		Total Reali Gains or (Loss	ized and Unrea ses) included in		Total Realized and Unrealized Gains	Unrealized	- Determiner D	, 2012					
	Beginning Balance	Principal Transactions	Other Revenue	Interest	or (Losses) included in Income	Gains or (Losses) to OCI	Sales	Purchases	Issuances	Settlements	Transfers In	Transfers Out	Ending Balance
Assets:													
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:													
Equities	\$ 179	\$ (12)	s —	\$ —	\$ (12)	s —	\$ (77)	\$ 90	s —	\$ (18)	\$ 85	\$ (69)	\$ 178
Convertible debentures	99	(1)	_	_	(1)	_	(56)	2	_	(20)	10	(19)	15
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	342	8	_	_	8	_	(357)	387	_	(6)	1	(22)	353
Corporate debt(1)	3,962	104			104		(2,232)	1,145		(634)	357	(802)	1,900
-				_									
Preferred stock Mortgages, mortgage- backed and asset- backed(1)	227 3,199	39	_	_	39	_	(120)	2,535	_	(1)	5 833	(8)	253 4,814
Municipals and money markets	2,047	32	_	_	32	_	(1,013)	466	_	(209)	_	(28)	1,295
Total trading assets,													
excluding derivative contracts	10,055	341	_	_	341	_	(5,085)	4,736	_	(1,467)	1,291	(1,063)	8,808
Derivative contracts, net	4,495	(1,902)	_	_	(1,902)	_	(495)	680	_	(709)	(177)	(348)	1,544
Investment securities available-for-sale :													
Corporate ABS	47	_	(2)	_	(2)	_	_	_	_	(37)	_	_	8
Total investment securities available-for- sale	47		(2)		(2)					(37)			8
Sale Investment securities	47		(2)		(2)					(37)			
non-qualifying	574		(9)	_	(9)	2	(146)	61	_	(195)			287
Total investment securities	621	_	(11)	_	(11)	2	(146)	61	_	(232)	_	_	295
Loans, notes and mortgages	1,726	_	205	30	235	_	(382)	195	_	(104)	11	_	1,681
Other	1,349	_	(85)	_	(85)	_	_	_	270	_	_	_	1,534
Liabilities:													
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:													
Corporate debt	52	2	_	_	2	_	76	(61)	_	1	6	(41)	31
Preferred stock	16	(2)	_	_	(2)	_	9	(14)	_	_	_	(13)	_
Municipals, money markets and other	45	7	_	_	7	_	21	(28)	1	_	_	_	32
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts	113	7			7		106	(103)	1	1	6	(54)	63
Other payables - interest and other	10		3		3	_		(4)	6		2	(4)	7
Long-term borrowings	2,186	(133)	(54)		(187)		33	(188)	103	(826)	980	(1,159)	1,316

(1) During the year ended December 31, 2012, approximately \$900 million was reclassified from Corporate debt to Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed. In the table above, this reclassification is presented as a sale of Corporate debt and as a purchase of Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed trading assets.

Transfers in and out for corporate debt primarily relate to changes in market liquidity for certain corporate loans and securities. Transfers in for mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed is primarily the result of additional information related to certain CLOs. Transfers out for mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed relates to increased market activity (i.e., executed trades) for certain loans backed by commercial real estate. Transfers in for derivative contracts, net primarily relate to decreased price observability for certain long-dated equity derivative liabilities due to lack of independent pricing. Transfers out for derivative contracts, net primarily relate to increased price observability (i.e., market comparables) for certain total return swaps ("TRS") and foreign exchange swaps. Transfers in and out related to long-term borrowings are primarily due to changes in the impact of unobservable inputs on the value of certain equity-linked structured notes.

(dollars in millions)						Level 3 Financia Year Ended	Assets and Lia December 31, 2						
		Total Realized and Unrealized Gains or (Losses) included in Income			Total Realized and Unrealized Gains or (Losses)	Unrealized Gains or (Losses)	occenioer 51, 2						
	Beginning Balance	Principal Transactions	Other Revenue	Interest	included in Income	to OCI	Sales	Purchases	Issuances	Settlements	Transfers In	Transfers Out	Ending Balance
Assets:													
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:													
Equities	\$ 170	\$ 15	\$ —	\$	\$ 15	\$ —	\$ (159)	\$ 232	\$ —	\$ (64)	\$ 11	\$ (26)	\$ 179
Convertible debentures	_	3	_	_	3	_	(106)	298	_	(4)	36	(128)	99
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	243	87	_	_	87	_	(137)	188	_	(3)	8	(44)	342
Corporate debt	4,605	163	_	_	163	_	(3,198)	2,596	_	(459)	832	(577)	3,962
Preferred stock	287	30	_	_	30	_	(201)	66	_	(76)	121	_	227
Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed	5,747	221	_	_	221	_	(3,759)	1,820	_	(196)	3	(637)	3,199
Municipals and money markets	2,327	60			60		(2,133)	2,097	_	(425)	126	(5)	2,047
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts	13,379	579			579		(9,693)	7,297	_	(1,227)	1,137	(1,417)	10,055
Derivative contracts, net	6,368	809	—	—	809	—	(884)	929	_	(3,211)	1,146	(662)	4,495
Investment securities available-for-sale :													
Mortgage-backed securities — agency CMOs	_	_	_	_	—	_	(55)	56	-	(1)	_	-	_
Mortgage-backed securities — non-agency MBSs	213	_	(19)	_	(19)	(38)	(167)	11	_	_	_	_	_
Corporate ABS	_	_	(16)	_	(16)	_	_	304	_	_	_	(241)	47
Total investment securities available-for-sale	213	_	(35)		(35)	(38)	(222)	371	_	(1)	_	(241)	47
Investment securities non- qualifying	3,394		476		476		(1,575)	92	_	(298)	375	(1,890)	574
Total investment securities	3,607		441	_	441	(38)	(1,797)	463	_	(299)	375	(2,131)	621
Loans, notes and mortgages	1,891	_	155	34	189	_	(669)	154	665	(273)	135	(366)	1,726
Other Assets	_	_	(229)	_	(229)	_	_	1,578	_	_	_	_	1,349
Liabilities:													
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:													
Corporate debt	_	1	_	_	1	_	120	(94)	_	_	37	(10)	52
Preferred stock	_	4	_	_	4	_	27	(7)	_	_	_	_	16
Municipals, money markets and other	_	(2)	_	_	(2)	_	35	(20)	_	_	28	_	45
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts		3			3		182	(121)	_		65	(10)	113
Other payables - interest and other	126		23		23		4	(6)	9	_	_	(100)	10
Long-term borrowings	2,396	(106)	(43)	_	(149)	_	72	(382)	412	(583)	975	(853)	2,186

Sales of corporate debt primarily relates to sales of corporate ARS and distressed loans during the first quarter of 2011. Sales of mortgages, mortgagebacked and asset-backed securities primarily relates to the sale of CDO positions in conjunction with the liquidation of a VIE and sales of CLO positions due to the exit of Merrill Lynch's proprietary trading business. Purchases of corporate debt primarily relates to purchases of non-investment grade and distressed corporate loans and bonds. Purchases of mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities primarily relates to purchases of CDO and CLO positions. Sales and purchases of municipal securities is primarily due to dealer activity

in student loan ARS. Sales of investment securities non-qualifying primarily relates to the sale of private equity investments during 2011.

The purchases for other assets and settlements for derivative contracts, net reflect the reclassification of approximately \$1.6 billion of net monoline exposure from derivative contracts (assets) to other assets because of the inherent default risk and given that these contracts no longer provide a hedge benefit.

Transfers out for convertible debentures are due to increased observability (i.e., market comparables) for certain convertible bond positions. Transfers in for corporate debt are primarily due to decreased observability (i.e., decreased market liquidity) for certain corporate loans and bonds. Transfers out for corporate debt primarily relates to increased price observability (i.e., market comparables) for certain corporate bond positions. Transfers out for mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities primarily relates to increased price observability for certain RMBS, CMBS and consumer ABS portfolios. Transfers in for derivative contracts, net primarily relates to changes in the valuation methodology for certain CDOs and TRS, in addition to certain equity derivative positions with unobservable correlation. Transfers out for derivative contracts, net primarily relates to increased price observability for certain equity and credit derivative positions.

Transfers out for investment securities available-for-sale - corporate ABS are due to increased price observability (i.e., market comparables) for certain positions. Transfers in for investment securities non-qualifying are due to a change in the valuation methodology for a real estate private equity fund. Transfers out related to investment securities non-qualifying are primarily due to a private equity investment that underwent an initial public offering during the first quarter of 2011. Transfers out for loans, notes and mortgages and other payables - interest and other primarily relates to increased observability (i.e., market comparables) for certain corporate loans and unfunded loan commitments. Transfers in and out related to long-term borrowings are primarily due to changes in the impact of unobservable inputs on the value of certain equity-linked structured notes.

(dollars in millions)

		Level 3 Financial Assets and Liabilities Year Ended December 31, 2010									
			alized and Unrealized osses) included in Inco		Total Realized and Unrealized						
	Beginning Balance	Principal Transactions	Other Revenue	Interest	Gains or (Losses) included in Income	Unrealized Gains or (Losses) to OCI	Purchases, Issuances and Settlements	Transfers In	Transfers Out	Ending Balance	
Assets:											
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:											
Equities	\$ 351	\$ (1	7) \$ —	s –	\$ (17)	\$ _	\$ (167)	\$ 130	\$ (127)	\$ 170	
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	1,142	(14	3) —	—	(143)	—	(144)	103	(715)	243	
Corporate debt	6,790	398		—	398	—	(2,271)	965	(1,277)	4,605	
Preferred stock	562	(3-	4) —	—	(34)	—	(204)	—	(37)	287	
Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed	7,294	253		—	253	—	(1,793)	390	(397)	5,747	
Municipals and money markets	2,148	112	2		112		(1,054)	1,234	(113)	2,327	
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts	18,287	569)		569		(5,633)	2,822	(2,666)	13,379	
Derivative contracts, net	6,866	(1,17	3) —	_	(1,173)	_	648	691	(664)	6,368	
Investment securities available-for-sale :											
Mortgage-backed securities - non-agency MBSs	473		- (96)	31	(65)	(36)	(224)	77	(12)	213	
Total investment securities available-for-sale	473		- (96)	31	(65)	(36)	(224)	77	(12)	213	
Investment securities non-qualifying	3,696		- 1,437	_	1,437	_	(1,540)		(199)	3,394	
Total investment securities	4,169	-	- 1,341	31	1,372	(36)	(1,764)	77	(211)	3,607	
Loans, notes and mortgages	4,115		- 133	144	277	_	(2,420)	28	(109)	1,891	
Liabilities:											
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:											
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	386	2:	3	_	23	_	17		(380)	_	
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts	386	2	3 _		23		17	_	(380)		
Other payables - interest and other	186	_	- 44	_	44	_	(16)	_	_	126	
Long-term borrowings	4,683	670	5 41	_	717	_	(1,254)	1,353	(1,669)	2,396	

Net losses in principal transactions related to derivative contracts, net were primarily due to credit spreads tightening on short CMBS-linked positions and valuation changes on correlation and long-dated equity derivative positions.

Other revenue related to investment securities non-qualifying primarily represents net gains on certain private equity investments.

Decreases in purchases, issuances and settlements of corporate debt primarily relates to the sale and redemption of certain positions (e.g., corporate ARS). Decreases in purchases, issuances and settlements related to mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities primarily relates to the termination and redemption of certain positions during the fourth quarter of 2010. Decreases in purchases, issuances and settlements related to municipals and money markets primarily relates to the sale of municipal ARS during the fourth quarter of 2010. Decreases in purchases, issuances and settlements related to investment securities non-qualifying relates to the settlement of certain private equity investments. Decreases in purchases, issuances and settlements related to loans, notes and mortgages primarily relates to sales and repayments of some sizable positions and portfolios during the first and second quarters of 2010 in addition to sales and the deconsolidation of certain loan VIEs during the fourth quarter of 2010. Decreases in purchases, issuances and settlements related to long-term borrowings relates to the deconsolidation of certain loan VIEs during the fourth quarter of 2010. Decreases in 2010.

Transfers in for corporate debt primarily relates to reduced price transparency for certain corporate bond positions. Transfers in for municipals and money markets relates to reduced price transparency (e.g., lower trading activity) for municipal ARS. Transfers out for corporate debt primarily relates

to increased price testing coverage for certain positions. Transfers in and transfers out related to long-term borrowings are primarily due to changes in the impact of unobservable inputs on the value of certain equity-linked structured notes.

The following tables provide the portion of gains or losses included in income for years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 attributable to unrealized gains or losses relating to those Level 3 assets and liabilities held at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Unrealized Gains or (Losses) for Level 3 Assets and Liabilities Still Held Year Ended December 31, 2012 Principal Other Transactions Revenue Total Assets: Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts: \$ \$ Equities (5) \$ (5) (6)(6) Convertible debentures Non-U.S. governments and agencies 20 20 (2)(2)Corporate debt Preferred stock 19 19 40 Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed 40 1 1 Municipals and money markets 67 67 Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts (1,411)(1,411)Derivative contracts, net (32)(32) Investment securities non-qualifying 158 158 Loans, notes and mortgages Other (85) (85) Liabilities: Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts: Municipals, money markets and other 4 4 4 4 Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts (2) (2) Other payables - interest and other (53) (189)(136)Long-term borrowings

(dollars in millions)

(dollars in millions)

· · · · ·	Unrealized Gains or (Losses) for Level 3 Assets and Liabilities Still Held								
		Year End			11				
		Principal Other Transactions Revenue				Total			
Assets:									
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:									
Equities	\$	(66)	\$		\$	(66)			
Convertible debentures		(2)		—		(2)			
Non-U.S. governments and agencies		101				101			
Corporate debt		(35)				(35)			
Preferred stock		6		—		6			
Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed		41		—		41			
Municipals and money markets		22		_		22			
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts		67		_		67			
Derivative contracts, net		932				932			
Investment securities available-for-sale:									
Mortgage-backed securities - non-agency MBSs				(30)		(30)			
Corporate /Agency bonds				(6)		(6)			
Total investment securities available-for-sale				(36)		(36)			
Investment securities non-qualifying				(101)		(101)			
Total investment securities				(137)		(137)			
Loans, notes and mortgages				14		14			
Other assets ⁽¹⁾				(229)		(229)			
Linkilition									

Liabilities:

Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:

Corporate debt	1	—	1
Preferred Stock	4	—	4
Municipals, money markets and other	(2)	—	(2)
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts	3		3
Other payables — interest and other		(2)	(2)
Long-term borrowings	(107)	(55)	(162)

(1) Includes unrealized losses related to net monoline exposure from certain derivative contracts subsequent to their reclassification to other assets in the third quarter of 2011.

(dollars in millions)

	Unrealized Gains or (Losses) for Level 3 Assets and Liabilities Still Held Year Ended December 31, 2010								
		rincipal ansactions		Other Revenue		Interest		Total	
Assets:									
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:									
Equities	\$	(29)	\$	—	\$	—	\$	(29)	
Non-U.S. governments and agencies		(144)						(144)	
Corporate debt		136						136	
Preferred stock		(36)						(36)	
Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed		136						136	
Municipals and money markets		88		_				88	
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts		151		_				151	
Derivative contracts, net		(770)						(770)	
Investment securities available-for-sale:									
Mortgage-backed securities - non-agency MBSs		—		(47)	_	31		(16)	
Total investment securities available-for-sale		_		(47)		31		(16)	
Investment securities non-qualifying		_		20				20	
Total investment securities				(27)		31		4	
Loans, notes and mortgages		_		199				199	
Liabilities:									
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:									
Non-U.S. governments and agencies		52						52	
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts		52						52	
Other payables — interest and other		_		42				42	
Long-term borrowings		585		43				628	

Level 3 Significant Inputs

The following tables present information about significant unobservable inputs related to material components of Merrill Lynch's Level 3 financial assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2012.

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

(dollars in millions)					
Financial Instrument	Fair Value	Valuation Techniques	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Ranges of Inputs	Weighted Average
Loans and Securities			•		
Instruments backed by residential real estate assets	\$ 1,608		Yield	4% to 25%	7%
Loans, notes and mortgages	1,231	Discounted Cash Flow	Prepayment Speeds (CPR)	3% to 10%	7%
Trading assets - Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed	377		Default Rates (CDR)	1% to 3%	2%
			Loss Severities	35% to 45%	41%
Instruments backed by commercial real estate assets Other		Discounted Cash Flow	Yield Loss Severities	5% 51% to 100%	5% 88%
Commercial loans, debt securities and other	\$ 6,787		Yield	0% to 25%	5%

Loans, notes and mortgages Trading assets - Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed	450 4,437	Discounted Cash Flow, Market Comparables	Enterprise Value/EBITDA multiple Prepayment Speed	2x to 11x 5% to 30%	6 x 20%
Trading assets - Corporate debt	1,900		Default Rates Loss Severity	1% to 5% 25% to 40%	4% 35%
Auction Rate Securities Trading assets - Municipals and money markets	\$ 1,295	Market Comparables	Projected tender price / re-financing level	50% to 100%	90%
Long-term borrowings	\$ 1,316	Industry Standard Derivative Pricing ⁽¹⁾	Equity Correlation Long- Dated Volatilities	30% to 97% 20% to 70%	(2) (2)

⁽¹⁾ Includes models such as Monte Carlo simulation and Black-Scholes.

⁽²⁾ For further information on the ranges of inputs for equity correlation and long-dated volatilities, refer to the qualitative equity derivatives disclosure below.

CPR = Constant Prepayment Rate

CDR = Constant Default Rate

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

(dollars in millions)		I	1	
Financial Instrument	Fair Value	Valuation Techniques	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Ranges of Inputs
Net Derivative Contracts	•	•		
Credit derivatives	\$1,632		Yield	2% to 25%
			Credit spreads	58bps to 615bp
		Discounted Cash	Upfront points	25 to 99 point
		Flow, Stochastic	Spread to index	-2,080bps to 1,972bp
		Recovery	Credit correlation	19% to 75%
		Correlation Model	Prepayment speed (CPR)	3% to 30%
			Default rates (CDR)	1% to 59
			Loss severity	25% to 40%
Equity derivatives	\$ (814)	Industry Standard	Equity Correlation	30% to 97%
		Derivative Pricing	Long-Dated Volatilities	20% to 70%
Commodity derivatives	\$ (5)	Discounted Cash Flow	Long-term Natural Gas Basis	-\$0.30 to \$0.3
Interest rate derivatives	\$ 731		Correlation (IR/IR)	15% to 99%
			Correlation (FX/IR)	-65% to 50%
		Industry Standard	Long-Dated Inflation Rates	2% to 39
		Derivative Pricing	Long-Dated Inflation Volatilities	0% to 19
			Long-Dated Volatilities (FX)	5% to 369
			Long-Dated Swap Rates	8% to 109
Fotal net derivative contracts	\$1,544			

(1) Includes models such as Monte Carlo simulation, Black-Scholes and other methods that model the joint dynamics of interest, inflation and foreign exchange rates.

IR = Interest Rate

FX = Foreign Exchange

CPR = Constant Prepayment Rate

CDR = Constant Default Rate

In the tables above, instruments backed by residential and commercial real estate assets include RMBS, CMBS, whole loans, mortgage CDOs and net monoline exposure. Commercial loans, debt securities and other include corporate CLOs and CDOs, commercial loans and bonds, and securities backed by non-real estate assets. Structured notes primarily include equity-linked notes that are accounted for under the fair value option.

In addition to the instruments disclosed in the tables above, Merrill Lynch holds \$287 million of Investment securities non-qualifying that are primarily comprised of certain direct private equity investments and private equity funds that are classified as Level 3. Valuations of direct private equity investments are prepared internally based on the most recent portfolio company financial information. Inputs generally include market and acquisition comparables, entry level multiples, as well as other variables. Merrill Lynch selects a valuation methodology (e.g., market comparables) for each investment and, in certain instances, multiple inputs are weighted to derive the most representative value. Discounts are applied as appropriate to consider the lack of liquidity and marketability versus publicly traded companies. For private equity funds, fair value is generally determined using the

net asset value as provided by the individual fund's general partner.

Merrill Lynch uses multiple market approaches in valuing certain of its Level 3 financial instruments. For example, market comparables and discounted cash flows are used together. For a given product, such as corporate debt securities, market comparables may be used to estimate some of the unobservable inputs and then these inputs are incorporated into a discounted cash flow model. Therefore, the balances disclosed encompass both of these techniques.

The level of aggregation and diversity within the products disclosed in the table result in certain ranges of inputs being wide and unevenly distributed across asset and liability categories.

For credit derivatives, the range of credit spreads represents positions with varying levels of default risk to the underlying instruments. The lower end of the credit spread range typically represents shorter-dated instruments and those with better perceived credit risk. The higher end of the range comprises longer-dated instruments and those referencing debt issuances which are more likely to be impaired or non-performing. The majority of inputs are concentrated in the lower end of the range. Similarly, the spread to index can vary significantly based on the risk of the instrument. The spread will be positive for instruments that have a higher risk of default than the index (which is based on a weighted average of its components) and negative for instruments that have a lower risk of default than the index. Inputs are distributed evenly throughout the range for spread to index. For yield and credit correlation, the majority of the inputs are concentrated in the center of the range. Inputs are concentrated in the middle to lower end of the range for upfront points. The range for loss severity reflects exposures that are concentrated in the middle to upper end of the range, while the ranges for prepayment speed and default rates reflect exposures that are concentrated in the lower end of the range.

For equity derivatives, including those embedded in long-term debt, the range for equity correlation represents exposure primarily concentrated toward the upper end of the range. The range for long-dated volatilities represents exposure primarily concentrated toward the lower end of the range.

For interest rate derivatives, the diversity in the portfolio is reflected in wide ranges of inputs because the variety of currencies and tenors of the transactions requires the use of numerous foreign exchange and interest rate curves. Since foreign exchange and interest rate correlations are measured between curves and across the various tenors on the same curve, the range of potential values can include both negative and positive values. For the correlation (IR/IR) range, the exposure represents the valuation of interest rate correlations on less liquid pairings and is concentrated at the upper end of the range. For the correlation (FX/IR) range, the exposure is the sensitivity to a broad mix of interest rate and foreign exchange correlations and is distributed evenly throughout the range. For long-dated inflation rates and volatilities as well as long-dated volatilities (FX), the inputs are concentrated in the middle of the range.

Sensitivity of Fair Value Measurements to Changes in Unobservable Inputs

Loans and Securities

For instruments backed by residential real estate assets, commercial real estate assets, and commercial loans, debt securities and other, a significant increase in market yields, default rates or loss severities would result in a significantly lower fair value for long positions. Short positions would be impacted in a directionally opposite way. The impact of changes in prepayment speeds would have differing impacts depending on the seniority of the instrument and, in the case of CLOs, whether prepayments can be reinvested.

For student loan and municipal auction rate securities, a significant increase in projected tender price/refinancing levels would result in a significantly higher fair value.

Structured Notes and Derivatives

For credit derivatives, a significant increase in market yield, including spreads to indices, upfront points (i.e., a single upfront payment made by a protection buyer at inception) or credit spreads, default rates or loss severities would result in a significantly lower fair value for protection sellers and higher fair value for protection buyers. The impact of changes in prepayment speeds would have differing impacts depending on the seniority of the instrument and, in the case of CLOs, whether prepayments can be reinvested.

Structured credit derivatives, which include tranched portfolio CDS and derivatives with derivative product company ("DPC") and monoline counterparties, are impacted by credit correlation, including default and wrong way correlation. Default correlation is a parameter that describes the degree of dependence between credit default rates within a credit portfolio that underlies a credit derivative instrument. The sensitivity of this input on the fair value varies depending on the level of subordination of the tranche. For senior tranches that are net purchases of protection, a significant increase in default correlation is a parameter that describes the probability that as exposure to a counterparty increases, the credit quality of the counterparty decreases. A significantly higher degree of wrong-way correlation between a DPC counterparty and underlying derivative exposure would result in a significantly lower fair value.

For equity derivatives, equity-linked long-term debt (structured notes) and interest rate derivatives, a significant change in long-dated rates and volatilities and correlation inputs (e.g., the degree of correlation between an equity security to an index, between two different interest rates, or between interest rates and foreign exchange rates) would result in a significant impact to the fair value. However, the magnitude and direction of the impact depends on whether Merrill Lynch is long or short the exposure.

Non-recurring Fair Value

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and are not included in the tables above. The tables below show the fair value hierarchy for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

	as	Year Ended				
	Level 2	Level 3	Total	December 31, 2012		
Assets:						
Loans, notes and mortgages	\$ 1	\$ 221	\$ 222	\$ (80)		
Other		2	2	1		

(dollars in millions)											
	_	Non-Recurring Basis						Gains/(Losses)	Gains/(Losses)		
as of December 31, 2011						_	Year Ended		Year Ended		
	L	evel 2		Level 3		Total	_	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
Assets:											
Investment securities non-qualifying	\$	_	\$	5	\$	5	\$	(1)	\$	(32)	
Loans, notes and mortgages		298		245		543		(59)		(19)	
Other assets		_		19		19		(8)		(26)	
Liabilities:											
Other payables — interest and other				_		_		—		8	

Loans, notes and mortgages includes held for sale loans that are carried at the lower of cost or fair value and for which the fair value was below the cost basis at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. It also includes certain impaired held for investment loans where an allowance for loan losses has been calculated based upon the fair value of the loans or collateral. Level 3 assets as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 primarily relate to commercial real estate loans that are classified as held for sale where there continues to be significant illiquidity in the loan trading and securitization markets.

Other payables - interest and other includes amounts recorded for loan commitments at lower of cost or fair value where the funded loan will be held for sale.

Fair Value Option Election

The fair value option election allows companies to irrevocably elect fair value as the initial and subsequent measurement attribute for certain financial assets and liabilities. Changes in fair value for assets and liabilities for which the election is made will be recognized in earnings as they occur. The fair value option election is permitted on an instrument by instrument basis at initial recognition of an asset or liability or upon an event that gives rise to a new basis of accounting for that instrument. As discussed above, certain of Merrill Lynch's financial instruments are required to be accounted for at fair value under Investment Accounting and Derivatives Accounting, as well as industry level guidance. For certain financial instruments that are not accounted for at fair value under other applicable accounting guidance, the fair value option election has been made.

The following tables provide information about the line items in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss) where changes in fair values of assets and liabilities, for which the fair value option election has been made, are included for the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

(dollars in millions)

	Changes in Fair Value For the Year Ended December 31, 2012, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to the Fair Value Option Election						Changes in Fair Value For the Year Ended December 31, 2011, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to the Fair Value Option Election				
	 Gains/ (losses) Principal Transactions		Gains/ (losses) Other Revenues		Total Changes in Fair Value		Gains/ (losses) Principal Transactions		Gains/ (losses) Other Revenues		Total Changes in Fair Value
Assets:											
Receivables under resale agreements	\$ (118)	\$	_	\$	(118)	\$	179	\$	_	\$	179
Investment securities	_		1		1		_		18		18
Loans	77		181		258		(31)		30		(1)
Other	_		1		1		_		_		_
Liabilities:											
Payables under repurchase agreements	36		_		36		(22)		—		(22)
Short-term borrowings	1		_		1		261		_		261
Other payables — interest and other	—		99		99		—		(53)		(53)
Long-term borrowings	(4,374)		(35)		(4,409)		3,612		124		3,736

		Changes in Fair Value For the Year Ended December 31, 2010, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to the Fair Value Option Election						
Gains/ (losses) Principal Transactions		losses) rincipal	d Other			Total Changes in Fair Value		
Assets:								
Receivables under resale agreements	\$	(24)	\$	—	\$	(24)		
Investment securities		_		107		107		
Loans, notes and mortgages		_		290		290		

Liabilities:			
Payables under repurchase agreements	13	—	13
Short-term borrowings	110	—	110
Other payables — interest and other	—	13	13
Long-term borrowings	357	(115)	242

The following describes the rationale for electing to account for certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value, as well as the impact of instrumentspecific credit risk on the fair value.

Resale and repurchase agreements

Merrill Lynch elected the fair value option for certain resale and repurchase agreements and, to a lesser extent, securities borrowing agreements. The fair value option election was made based on the tenor of the agreements, which reflect the magnitude of the interest rate risk. The majority of resale and repurchase agreements collateralized by U.S. Government securities was excluded from the fair value option election as these contracts are generally short-dated and therefore the interest rate risk is not considered significant. Amounts loaned under resale agreements require collateral with a market value equal to or in excess of the principal amount loaned, resulting in minimal credit risk for such transactions.

Loans and loan commitments

Merrill Lynch elected the fair value option for certain loans that are risk managed on a fair value basis. Upon the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America, Merrill Lynch also elected the fair value option for certain mortgage, commercial, and leveraged loans and loan commitments. The changes in the fair value of loans and loan commitments, for which the fair value option was elected, that were attributable to changes in borrower-specific credit risk were gains of \$180 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and were not material for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the aggregate fair value of loans for which the fair value option election has been made that were 90 days or more past due was \$115 million and \$28 million, respectively, and the aggregate fair value of loans that were in non-accrual status was \$25 million and \$117 million at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the unpaid principal amount due exceeded the aggregate fair value of such loans that are 90 days or more past due and/or in non-accrual status by \$153 million and \$172 million, respectively.

Short-term and long-term borrowings

Merrill Lynch elected the fair value option for certain short-term and long-term borrowings that are risk managed on a fair value basis (e.g., structured notes) and/or for which hedge accounting under Derivatives Accounting had been difficult to obtain. The majority of the fair value changes on long-term borrowings are from structured notes with coupon or repayment terms that are linked to the performance of debt and equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities. Excluding gains (losses) related to changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads, the majority of the gains (losses) for the respective periods are offset by gains (losses) on derivatives and securities that economically hedge these borrowings and that are accounted for at fair value. The changes in the fair value of liabilities for which the fair value option election was made that were attributable to changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads were net losses of approximately \$3.2 billion, gains of approximately \$2.0 billion and losses of approximately \$0.1 billion for the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Changes in Merrill Lynch specific credit risk are derived by isolating fair value changes due to changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads as observed in the secondary cash market.

The fair value option election was also made for certain non-recourse long-term borrowings and secured borrowings issued by consolidated VIEs. The fair value of these borrowings is not materially affected by changes in Merrill Lynch's creditworthiness.

The following tables present the difference between fair values and the aggregate contractual principal amounts of receivables under resale agreements, receivables under securities borrowed transactions, loans and long-term borrowings for which the fair value option election has been made as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	Fai	Fair Value at		Principal Amount			
	December 31, 2012			Due Upon Maturity		Difference	
Assets:							
Receivables under resale agreements	\$	93,715	\$	93,433	\$	282	
Receivables under securities borrowed transactions		961		892		69	
Loans (1)		4,063		4,835		(772)	
Liabilities:							
Long-term borrowings ⁽²⁾		30,875		32,151		(1,276)	

(1) Includes trading loans with a fair value of \$715 million and margin loans with a fair value of \$271 million.

(2) The majority of the difference between the fair value and principal amount due upon maturity at December 31, 2012 relates to the impact of changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads, as well as the fair value of the embedded derivative, where applicable.

(dollars in millions)			
	Fair Value at	Principal	
		Amount	
	December 31, 2011	Due Upon Maturity	Difference

Assets:					
Receivables under resale agreements (1)	\$	85,652 \$	85,197	\$ 455	
Receivables under securities borrowed transactions		259	287	(28)	
Loans, notes and mortgages		2,742	4,023	(1,281)	
Liabilities:					
Long-term borrowings ⁽²⁾		30,325	36,537	(6,212)	
(1) Includes to such add for a classifier of since the off 420 million account of for under the for	in materia andiana and		ad to two dias a new sta	han mere a the second and the	

(1) Includes loans held for sale with a fair value of \$420 million, accounted for under the fair value option, which were reclassified to trading assets because they are risk managed on that basis.

(2) The majority of the difference between the fair value and principal amount due upon maturity at December 31, 2011 relates to the impact of changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads, as well as the fair value of the embedded derivative, where applicable.

Trading Risk Management

Trading activities subject Merrill Lynch to market and credit risks. These risks are managed in accordance with established risk management policies and procedures. Merrill Lynch utilizes Bank of America's risk management and governance practices to maintain consistent risk measurement and disciplined risk taking. Bank of America's risk management structure as applicable to Merrill Lynch is described below.

Bank of America's Global Markets Risk Committee ("GMRC"), chaired by Bank of America's Global Markets Risk Executive, has been designated by its Asset, Liability and Market Risk Committee ("ALMRC") as the primary governance authority for its global markets risk management, including trading risk management. The GMRC's focus is to take a forward-looking view of the primary credit and market risks impacting Bank of America's Global Markets business (which includes Merrill Lynch's sales and trading businesses) and prioritize those that need a proactive risk mitigation strategy. Market risks that impact businesses outside of the Global Markets business are monitored and governed by their respective governance authorities.

The GMRC monitors significant daily revenues and losses by business and the primary drivers of the revenues or losses. Thresholds are in place for each business in order to determine if the revenue or loss is considered to be significant for that business. If any of the thresholds are exceeded, an explanation of the variance is provided to the GMRC. The thresholds are developed in coordination with the respective risk managers to highlight those revenues or losses that exceed what is considered to be normal daily income statement volatility.

Market Risk

Market risk is the potential change in an instrument's value caused by fluctuations in interest and currency exchange rates, equity and commodity prices, credit spreads, and related risks. The level of market risk is influenced by the volatility and the liquidity in the markets in which financial instruments are traded.

Merrill Lynch seeks to mitigate market risk associated with trading inventories by employing hedging strategies that correlate rate, price, and spread movements of trading inventories and related financing and hedging activities. Merrill Lynch uses a combination of cash instruments and derivatives to hedge its market exposures. The following discussion describes the types of market risk faced by Merrill Lynch.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk arises from the possibility that changes in interest rates will affect the value of financial instruments. Interest rate swap agreements, Eurodollar futures, and U.S. Treasury securities and futures are common interest rate risk management tools. The decision to manage interest rate risk using futures or swap contracts, as opposed to buying or selling short U.S. Treasury or other securities, depends on current market conditions and funding considerations.

Interest rate agreements used by Merrill Lynch include caps, collars, floors, basis swaps, leveraged swaps, and options. Interest rate caps and floors provide the purchaser with protection against rising and falling interest rates, respectively. Interest rate collars combine a cap and a floor, providing the purchaser with a predetermined interest rate range. Basis swaps are a type of interest rate swap agreement where variable rates are received and paid, but are based on different index rates. Leveraged swaps are another type of interest rate swap where changes in the variable rate are multiplied by a contractual leverage factor, such as four times three-month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). Merrill Lynch's exposure to interest rate risk resulting from these leverage factors is typically hedged with other financial instruments.

Currency Risk

Currency risk arises from the possibility that fluctuations in foreign exchange rates will impact the value of financial instruments. Merrill Lynch's trading assets and liabilities include both cash instruments denominated in and derivatives linked to more than 50 currencies, including the euro, Japanese yen, British pound, and Swiss franc. Currency forwards and options are commonly used to manage currency risk associated with these instruments. Currency swaps may also be used in situations where a long-dated forward market is not available or where the client needs a customized instrument to hedge a foreign currency cash flow stream. Typically, parties to a currency swap initially exchange principal amounts in two currencies, agreeing to exchange interest payments and to re-exchange the currencies at a future date and exchange rate.

Equity Price Risk

Equity price risk arises from the possibility that equity security prices will fluctuate, affecting the value of equity securities and other instruments that derive their value from a particular stock, a defined basket of stocks, or a stock index. Instruments typically used by Merrill Lynch to manage equity price risk include equity options, warrants, and baskets of equity securities. Equity options, for example, can require the writer to purchase or sell a specified stock or to make a cash payment based on changes in the market price of that stock, basket of stocks, or stock index.

Credit Spread Risk

Credit spread risk arises from the possibility that changes in credit spreads will affect the value of financial instruments. Credit spreads represent the credit risk premiums required by market participants for a given credit quality (e.g., the additional yield that a debt instrument issued by a AA-rated entity must produce over a risk-free alternative). Certain instruments are used by Merrill Lynch to manage this type of risk. Swaps and options, for

example, can be designed to mitigate losses due to changes in credit spreads, as well as the credit downgrade or default of the issuer. Credit risk resulting from default on counterparty obligations is discussed in the Counterparty Credit Risk section.

Commodity Price and Other Risks

Through its commodities business, Merrill Lynch enters into exchange-traded contracts, financially settled OTC derivatives, contracts for physical delivery and contracts providing for the transportation, transmission and/or storage rights on or in vessels, barges, pipelines, transmission lines or storage facilities. Commodity, related storage, transportation or other contracts expose Merrill Lynch to the risk that the price of the underlying commodity or the cost of storing or transporting commodities may rise or fall. In addition, contracts relating to physical ownership and/or delivery can expose Merrill Lynch to numerous other risks, including performance and environmental risks.

Counterparty Credit Risk

Merrill Lynch is exposed to risk of loss if an individual, counterparty or issuer fails to perform its obligations under contractual terms ("default risk"). Both cash instruments and derivatives expose Merrill Lynch to default risk. Credit risk arising from changes in credit spreads is discussed above.

Merrill Lynch has established policies and procedures for mitigating credit risk on principal transactions, including reviewing and establishing limits for credit exposure, maintaining qualifying collateral, purchasing credit protection, and continually assessing the creditworthiness of counterparties.

In the normal course of business, Merrill Lynch executes, settles, and finances various customer securities transactions. Execution of these transactions includes the purchase and sale of securities by Merrill Lynch. These activities may expose Merrill Lynch to default risk arising from the potential that customers or counterparties may fail to satisfy their obligations. In these situations, Merrill Lynch may be required to purchase or sell financial instruments at unfavorable market prices to satisfy obligations to other customers or counterparties. In addition, Merrill Lynch seeks to control the risks associated with its customer margin activities by requiring customers to maintain collateral in compliance with regulatory and internal guidelines.

Liabilities to other brokers and dealers related to unsettled transactions (i.e., securities failed-to-receive) are recorded at the amount for which the securities were purchased, and are paid upon receipt of the securities from other brokers or dealers. In the case of aged securities failed-to-receive, Merrill Lynch may purchase the underlying security in the market and seek reimbursement for losses from the counterparty.

Derivatives Default Risk

Merrill Lynch's trading derivatives consist of derivatives provided to customers and derivatives entered into for trading strategies or risk management purposes.

Default risk exposure varies by type of derivative. Default risk on derivatives can occur for the full notional amount of the trade where a final exchange of principal takes place, as may be the case for currency swaps. Swap agreements and forward contracts are generally OTC-transacted and thus are exposed to default risk to the extent of their replacement cost. Since futures contracts are exchange-traded and usually require daily cash settlement, the related risk of loss is generally limited to a one-day net positive change in fair value. Generally such receivables and payables are recorded in customers' receivables and payables on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Option contracts can be exchange-traded or OTC. Purchased options have default risk to the extent of their replacement cost. Written options represent a potential obligation to counterparties and typically do not subject Merrill Lynch to default risk except under circumstances where the option premium is being financed or in cases where Merrill Lynch is required to post collateral. Refer to Note 6 for further information on credit risk management related to derivatives.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Merrill Lynch's exposure to credit risk (both default and credit spread) associated with its trading and other activities is measured on an individual counterparty basis, as well as by groups of counterparties that share similar attributes. Concentrations of credit risk can be affected by changes in political, industry, or economic factors. To reduce the potential for risk concentration, credit limits are established and monitored in light of changing counterparty and market conditions.

Concentration of Risk to the U.S. Government and its Agencies

At December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch had exposure to the U.S. Government and its agencies. This concentration consists of both direct and indirect exposures. Direct exposure, which primarily includes trading asset positions in instruments issued by the U.S. Government and its agencies, amounted to \$58.4 billion at December 31, 2012. Merrill Lynch's indirect exposure results from maintaining U.S. Government and agencies securities as collateral for resale agreements and securities borrowed transactions. Merrill Lynch's direct credit exposure on these transactions is with the counterparty; thus Merrill Lynch has credit exposure to the U.S. Government and its agencies only in the event of the counterparty's default. Securities issued by the U.S. Government or its agencies held as collateral for resale agreements and securities borrowed transactions at December 31, 2012 totaled \$155.0 billion.

Other Concentrations of Risk

At December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch had other concentrations of credit risk, the largest of which was related to a counterparty having a total outstanding unsecured exposure of approximately \$1.3 billion.

Merrill Lynch's most significant industry credit concentration is with financial institutions. Financial institutions include banks, insurance companies, finance companies, investment managers, and other diversified financial institutions. This concentration arises in the normal course of Merrill Lynch's brokerage, trading, hedging, financing, and underwriting activities. Merrill Lynch also monitors credit exposures worldwide by region. Outside the U.S., financial institutions and multi-national corporations represent the most significant concentrations of credit risk.

In the normal course of business, Merrill Lynch purchases, sells, underwrites, and makes markets in non-investment grade instruments. Merrill Lynch also provides extensions of credit and makes equity investments to facilitate leveraged transactions. These activities expose Merrill Lynch to a higher degree of credit risk than is associated with trading, investing in, and underwriting investment grade instruments and extending credit to investment grade counterparties.

Note 5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair values of financial instruments have been derived, in part, by management's assumptions, the estimated amount and timing of future cash flows and estimated discount rates. Different assumptions could significantly affect these estimated fair values. Accordingly, the net realizable values could be materially different from the estimates presented below. In addition, the estimates are only indicative of the value of individual financial instruments and should not be considered an indication of the fair value of Merrill Lynch.

The classifications of financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy have been derived using methodologies described in Note 4.

The following disclosures relate to financial instruments for which the ending balances at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are not carried at fair value in their entirety on Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Short-term Financial Instruments

The carrying value of short-term financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations, certain securities financing transactions, customer and broker-dealer receivables and payables, and commercial paper and other short-term borrowings, approximates the fair value of these instruments. These financial instruments generally expose Merrill Lynch to limited credit risk and have no stated maturities or have short-term maturities and carry interest rates that approximate market interest rates.

For purposes of the fair value hierarchy, cash is classified as Level 1. Cash equivalents (including time deposits placed and other short-term investments) and securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations are classified as Level 1 and Level 2. Securities financing transactions are classified as Level 2. Customer receivables and payables are primarily classified as Level 2. Broker-dealer receivables and payables, and commercial paper and other short-term borrowings are classified as Level 2.

Loans, Notes and Mortgages

Fair values were generally determined by discounting both principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected using a discount rate for similar instruments with adjustments that Merrill Lynch believes a market participant would consider in determining fair value. Merrill Lynch estimates the cash flows expected to be collected using internal credit risk, interest rate and prepayment risk models that incorporate its best estimate of current key assumptions, such as default rates, loss severity and prepayment speeds for the life of the loan. Merrill Lynch elected the fair value option for certain loans and loan commitments. See Note 4 for additional information.



Deposits

The fair value for certain deposits with stated maturities was determined by discounting contractual cash flows using current market rates for instruments with similar maturities. For deposits with no stated maturities, the carrying amount was considered to approximate fair value and does not take into account the significant value of the cost advantage and stability of Merrill Lynch's long-term relationships with depositors.

Long-term Borrowings

Merrill Lynch uses quoted market prices, when available, to estimate the fair value of its long-term borrowings. When quoted market prices are not available, fair value is estimated based on current market interest rates and credit spreads for debt with similar terms and maturities. Merrill Lynch made the fair value option election for certain long-term borrowings, including structured notes. See Note 4 for additional information.

The following table presents the carrying value and fair value, by fair value hierarchy, of Merrill Lynch's loans, notes and mortgages, deposits and long-term borrowings at December 31, 2012. See Note 4 for further information regarding the fair value hierarchy:

				Fair	: Va	lue Measure	men	t			
		Fair Value Measuremer As of December 31, 20 Carrying Value Level 2 Level 3 \$ 19,545 \$ 896 \$ 18,721 \$									
	Carr	ying Value	Ι	Level 2		Level 3		Total			
Financial assets											
Loans, notes and mortgages (1)	\$	19,545	\$	896	\$	18,721	\$	19,617			
Financial liabilities											
Deposits		12,873		12,873		_		12,873			
Long-term borrowings ⁽²⁾		96,058		99,528		1,316		100,844			

(1) Loans are presented net of the allowance for loan

losses.

The following table presents the carrying value and fair value of loans, notes and mortgages, deposits and long-term borrowings at December 31, 2011:

(dollars in millions)

		Decembe	er 31	, 2011
	Carry	ying Value		Fair Value
Financial assets				
Loans, notes and mortgages ⁽¹⁾	\$	20,574	\$	20,048
Financial liabilities				
Deposits		12,364		12,364
Long-term borrowings (2)		110,718		102,339

(1) Loans are presented net of the allowance for loan losses.

 Includes junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities).

Commercial Unfunded Lending Commitments

Fair values were generally determined using a discounted cash flow valuation approach, which is applied using market-based CDS or internallydeveloped benchmark credit curves. The fair value option was elected for certain loan commitments. See Note 4 for additional information.

Includes junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities).

The carrying values and fair values of Merrill Lynch's commercial unfunded lending commitments were \$60 million and \$104 million, respectively, at December 31, 2012 and \$208 million and \$264 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011. Commercial unfunded lending commitments, which are included in Other payables - Interest and other on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, are primarily classified as Level 2 or Level 3.

Merrill Lynch does not estimate the fair values of consumer unfunded lending commitments because, in many instances, Merrill Lynch can reduce or cancel these commitments by providing notice to the borrower. See Note 14 for additional information on commitments.

Note 6. Derivatives

A derivative is an instrument whose value is derived from an underlying instrument or index, such as interest rates, equity security prices, currencies, commodity prices or credit spreads. Derivatives include futures, forwards, swaps, option contracts, and other financial instruments with similar characteristics. Derivative contracts often involve future commitments to exchange interest payment streams or currencies based on a notional or contractual amount (e.g., interest rate swaps or currency forwards) or to purchase or sell other financial instruments at specified terms on a specified date (e.g., options to buy or sell securities or currencies).

Derivatives Accounting establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts ("embedded derivatives") and for hedging activities. Derivatives Accounting requires that an entity recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities and measure those instruments at fair value. The fair value of all derivatives and associated cash collateral is recorded on a net-by-counterparty basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets where Merrill Lynch believes a legal right of offset exists under an enforceable netting agreement. All derivatives, including bifurcated embedded derivatives within structured notes, are reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as trading assets and liabilities.

The accounting for changes in fair value of a derivative instrument depends on its intended use and if it is designated and qualifies as an accounting hedging instrument under Derivatives Accounting.

Trading derivatives

Merrill Lynch enters into derivatives to facilitate client transactions, for trading and financing purposes, and to manage risk exposures arising from trading assets and liabilities. Changes in fair value for these derivatives are reported in current period earnings as principal transactions revenues.

Derivatives that contain a significant financing element

Merrill Lynch may enter into certain transactions that are documented as derivatives where a significant cash investment is made by one party. Certain derivative instruments that contain a significant financing element at inception and where Merrill Lynch is deemed to be the borrower are included in financing activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The cash flows from all other derivative transactions that do not contain a significant financing element at inception are included in generative transactions that do not contain a significant financing element at inception are included in operating activities.

Non-trading derivatives

Merrill Lynch also enters into derivatives in order to manage risk exposures arising from assets and liabilities not carried at fair value as follows:

- 1. Merrill Lynch's debt was issued in a variety of maturities and currencies to achieve the lowest cost financing possible. Merrill Lynch enters into derivative transactions to hedge these liabilities. Derivatives used most frequently include swap agreements that:
 - Convert fixed-rate interest payments into variable-rate interest payments;



- Change the underlying interest rate basis or reset frequency; and
- Change the settlement currency of a debt instrument.

Changes in the fair value of these interest rate and foreign currency derivatives are reported in interest expense or other revenues.

- 2. Merrill Lynch uses foreign-exchange forward contracts, foreign-exchange options, and currency swaps to hedge its net investments in foreign operations, as well as other foreign currency exposures (e.g., non-U.S. dollar denominated debt and expenses). These instruments are used to mitigate the impact of changes in exchange rates. Changes in the fair value of these instruments are reported in OCI and other revenues when net investment hedge accounting is applied; otherwise changes in fair value are reported in other revenues.
- 3. Merrill Lynch enters into futures, swaps, options and forward contracts to manage the price risk of certain commodity inventory and forecasted commodity purchases and sales. Changes in fair value of these derivatives are reported in principal transactions revenues, unless cash flow hedge accounting is applied.
- 4. Merrill Lynch enters into CDS to manage the credit risk on certain loans that are not part of trading activities. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives are reported in other revenues.

Certain derivatives, primarily entered into with an affiliate, qualify as accounting hedges under Derivatives Accounting. These derivatives are designated as one of the following:

- 1. A hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability ("fair value hedge"). Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges of interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk and commodity price risk, along with the gain or loss on the hedged asset or liability that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in current period earnings as interest expense, other revenues, or principal transactions.
- 2. A hedge of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset, liability or forecasted transaction ("cash flow hedge"). Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges are recorded in OCI until earnings are affected by the variability of cash flows of the hedged asset or liability or when the forecasted purchase or sale occurs. All cash flow hedges were de-designated in 2011. The amount remaining in OCI that is expected to be reclassified into earnings in the next 12 months is not material.
- 3. A hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation ("net investment hedge"). Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as hedges of a net investment in a foreign operation are recorded in the foreign currency translation adjustment account within OCI. Changes in the fair value of the hedging instruments that are associated with the difference between the spot rate and the contracted forward rate are recorded in current period earnings in other revenues.

Merrill Lynch formally assesses, both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether the hedging derivatives are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows of hedged items. Merrill Lynch uses regression analysis at the hedge's inception and for each reporting period thereafter to assess whether the derivative used in its hedging transaction is expected to be and has been highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged item. When it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge, Merrill Lynch discontinues hedge accounting.

Hedge accounting activity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 included the following:

Fair value hedges

The table below summarizes certain information related to fair value hedges for 2012, 2011 and 2010, including hedges of interest rate risk on long-term borrowings that were adjusted and redesignated as part of Bank of America's acquisition of Merrill Lynch. At redesignation, the fair value of the derivatives was negative. As the derivatives mature, their fair value will approach zero. As a result, ineffectiveness may occur and the fair value changes in the derivatives and the long-term borrowings being hedged may be directionally the same in certain scenarios. Based on a regression analysis, the derivatives continue to be highly effective at offsetting changes in the fair value of the long-term borrowings attributable to interest rate risk.

(dollars in millions)

				2012					2011	
	Deriva	tive (1)	I	Hedged Item (1)(2)	Hedge Ineffectiveness (1)	Der	rivative (1)	Hed	lged Item (1)(2)	Hedge Ineffectiveness (1)
For the year ended December 31:										
Interest rate risk on USD denominated long-term borrowings	\$	(260)	\$	(355)	\$ (615)	\$	1,696	\$	(2,203)	\$ (507)
Interest rate risk on foreign currency denominated long-term borrowings		(523)		326	(197)		120		(333)	(213)
Commodity price risk on commodity inventory		(6)		6	—		16		(16)	—
				2010		-				
For the year ended December 31:										
Interest rate risk on USD denominated long-term borrowings	\$	1,208	\$	(1,651)	\$ (443)					
Interest rate risk on foreign currency denominated long-term borrowings		(413)		105	(308)					
Commodity price risk on commodity inventory		19		(20)	(1)					
			2012	2				2011		
	Trad	ing Assets	Т	rading Liabilities			Trading Assets	Т	rading Liabilities	
As of December 31:										
Carrying value of hedging derivatives:										
Long-term borrowings	\$	5.706	¢	664		\$	6.940	¢	841	
	ф	3,700 48	э	2		э	0,940 70	Ф	5	
Commodity inventory		48		2			70		3	
Notional amount of hedging derivatives:		26.022		0.676			44.100		11.000	
Long-term borrowings		36,932		9,676			44,180		11,092	
Commodity inventory		124		3			152		6	

(1) Amounts are recorded in interest expense and other revenues for long-term borrowings and principal transactions for commodity

inventory.

(2) Excludes the impact of the accretion of purchase accounting adjustments made to certain long-term borrowings in connection with the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America.



Cash flow hedges

(dollars in millions)

		2011			2010	
	Gains (losses) Recognized in Accumulated OCI on derivatives	Gains (losses) in Income Reclassified from Accumulated OCI ⁽¹⁾	Hedge Ineffectiveness and Amounts Excluded from Effectiveness Testing ⁽¹⁾	Gains (losses) Recognized in Accumulated OCI on derivatives	Gains (losses) in Income Reclassified from Accumulated OCI ⁽¹⁾	Hedge Ineffectiveness and Amounts Excluded from Effectiveness Testing ⁽¹⁾
For the year ended December 31:						
Commodity price risk on forecasted purchases and sales ⁽²⁾	\$ (3)\$6	\$ (3)	\$ 32	\$ 25	\$ 11

(1) Amounts are recorded in principal

transactions.

(2) There were no carrying values of hedging derivatives as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and there were no gains (losses) in the year ended 2012, as all cash flow hedges were de-designated during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Net investment hedges of foreign operations

(dollars in millions) 2012 2011 Gains (losses) in Gains (losses) in Hedge Ineffectiveness Hedge Ineffectiveness Gains (losses) Income Reclassified Gains (losses) Income Reclassified and Amounts Excluded and Amounts Excluded Recognized in from Accumulated from Effectiveness from Effectiveness Recognized in from Accumulated Testing (1) Accumulated OCI OCI (1) Accumulated OCI OCI (1) Testing (1) For the year ended December 31: 447 \$ (378) \$ (150) \$ (49) \$ (133) \$ (43) \$ Foreign exchange risk 2010 For the year ended December 31: \$ (672) \$ (35) \$ (211) Foreign exchange risk 2012 2011 As of December 31: Carrying value of hedging derivatives Trading assets \$ 425 \$ 690 492 Trading liabilities 618 Carrying value of non-derivative hedges Long-term borrowings 61 Notional amount of hedging derivatives in an asset position 5,140 20,068 in a liability position 19,391 7,338

(1) Amounts are recorded in other

revenues.

Other Risk Management Derivatives

Other risk management derivatives are used by Merrill Lynch to reduce certain risk exposures. These derivatives are not qualifying accounting hedges because either they did not qualify for, or were not designated as, accounting hedges. The table below presents net gains (losses) on these derivatives for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. These net gains (losses) are largely offset by the income or expense that is recorded on the hedged item.

Net gains (losses) on other risk management derivatives

(dollars in millions)

	2012(1)	2011 ⁽¹⁾	2010 ⁽¹⁾
For the year ended December 31:			
Interest rate risk	\$ 15	\$ 185 \$	314
Foreign currency risk	(273)	(550)	(1,583)
Credit risk	(72)	47	(35)

(1) Amounts are recorded in other revenues and interest

expense.

Interest rate risk primarily relates to derivatives used to economically hedge long-term borrowings. Foreign currency risk primarily relates to economic hedges of foreign currency denominated transactions that generate earnings upon remeasurement in accordance with ASC 830-20, *Foreign Currency Transactions* ("Foreign Currency Transactions"). As both the remeasurement of the foreign currency risk on the transaction and the changes in fair value of the derivative are recorded in earnings, hedge accounting is not applied. Credit risk relates to credit default swaps used to economically manage the credit risk on certain loans not included in trading activities.

Derivative balances by primary risk

Derivative instruments contain numerous market risks. In particular, most derivatives have interest rate risk, as they contain an element of financing risk that is affected by changes in interest rates. Additionally, derivatives expose Merrill Lynch to counterparty credit risk, although this is generally mitigated by collateral margining and netting arrangements. For disclosure purposes below, the primary risk of a derivative is largely determined by the business that is engaging in the derivative activity. For instance, a derivative that is initiated by an equities derivative business will generally have equity price risk as its primary underlying market risk and is classified as such for the purposes of this disclosure, despite the fact that there may be other market risks that affect the value of the instrument.

The following tables identify the primary risk for derivative instruments, which includes trading, non-trading and bifurcated embedded derivatives, at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The primary risk is provided on a gross basis, prior to the application of counterparty and cash collateral netting.



(dollars in millions)

	_			As of Decen	1ber 31	, 2012		
		Contract/ Notional		ading Assets- ative Contracts		Contract/ Notional		ing Liabilities- ative Contracts
Interest rate contracts								
Swaps	\$	7,887,346	\$	519,123	\$	7,963,410	\$	514,689
Futures and forwards		2,245,535		1,661		2,257,693		1,423
Written options		_		—		1,333,460		64,295
Purchased options		1,271,613		67,251		—		—
Foreign exchange contracts								
Swaps		752,596		26,797		793,944		32,918
Spot, futures and forwards		124,702		2,740		131,334		3,272
Written options		—		—		211,069		5,154
Purchased options		194,435		4,770		—		—
Equity contracts								
Swaps		29,719		1,077		25,139		1,274
Futures and forwards		24,113		966		33,532		1,015
Written options		—		—		257,345		15,402
Purchased options		246,517		14,216		—		—
Commodity contracts								
Swaps		28,057		2,477		26,140		3,990
Futures and forwards		258,703		4,759		240,179		2,663
Written options		_		—		163,516		7,256
Purchased options		164,633		7,042		—		—
Credit derivatives								
Purchased protection:								
Credit default swaps		103,042		9,644		103,839		2,120
Total return swaps		7,807		691		5,003		1,226
Other credit derivatives		215		1		13		—
Written protection:								
Credit default swaps		102,888		2,640		103,988		8,947
Total return swaps		7,204		133		13,761		207
Other credit derivatives		_		1		212		2
Gross derivative assets/liabilities	\$	13,449,125		665,989	\$	13,663,577		665,853
Less: Legally enforceable master netting			-	(613,145)			-	(613,145)
Less: Cash collateral applied				(27,993)				(32,140)
Total derivative assets and liabilities			\$	24,851	-		\$	20,568

(dollars in millions)

			As of December 31, 2011							
	 Contract/ Notional		ading Assets- ative Contracts		Contract/ Notional		ing Liabilities- ative Contracts			
Interest rate contracts										
Swaps	\$ 8,196,809	\$	564,774	\$	7,978,404	\$	560,638			
Futures and forwards	2,117,971		1,510		2,003,741		1,339			
Written options	—		—		1,419,278		66,733			
Purchased options	1,336,149		69,812		—		—			
Foreign exchange contracts										
Swaps	766,899		27,312		798,173		35,299			
Spot, futures and forwards	104,356		3,887		98,411		3,791			
Written options	_		_		249,575		7,437			
Purchased options	236,465		7,220		_		_			
Equity contracts										
Swaps	23,233		1,028		22,887		1,141			
Futures and forwards	30,791		1,747		20,988		1,450			
Written options	—		—		345,947		14,596			
Purchased options	341,731		14,816		_		_			
Commodity contracts										
Swaps	35,681		4,823		36,391		5,799			
Futures and forwards	233,567		5,254		236,919		3,183			
Written options	_		_		140,600		9,443			
Purchased options	139,312		9,426		—		—			
Credit derivatives										
Purchased protection:										
Credit default swaps	174,857		20,124		67,664		1,416			
Total return swaps	2,771		407		3,493		291			
Other credit derivatives	274		3		25		—			
Written protection:										
Credit default swaps	66,841		1,737		179,907		19,061			
Total return swaps	4,350		226		1,239		129			
Other credit derivatives	_		_		25		1			
Gross derivative assets/liabilities	\$ 13,812,057		734,106	\$	13,603,667		731,747			
Less: Legally enforceable master netting	 	=	(672,524)			-	(672,524)			
Less: Cash collateral applied			(26,491)				(32,984)			
Total derivative assets and liabilities		\$	35,091	-		\$	26,239			

Trading revenues

Merrill Lynch enters into trading derivatives and non-derivative cash instruments to facilitate client transactions, for trading and financing purposes, and to manage risk exposures arising from trading assets and liabilities. The resulting risk from derivatives and non-derivative cash instruments is managed on a portfolio basis as part of Merrill Lynch's sales and trading activities and the related revenue is recorded on different income statement line items, including principal transactions, commissions, other revenues and net interest expense.

Sales and trading revenue includes changes in fair value and realized gains and losses on the sales of trading and other assets, which are included in principal transactions and other revenues, net interest expense, and commissions. Initial trading related revenue is generated by the difference in the client price for an instrument and the price at which the trading desk can execute the trade in the dealer market. That revenue is included within principal transactions on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss). For equity securities, commissions related to purchases and sales are recorded in commissions on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss). Changes in the fair value of these equity securities are included in principal transactions. These amounts are reflected in equity

risk in the tables below. Revenue for debt securities, with the exception of interest, is typically included in principal transactions. Unlike commissions for equity securities, the initial revenue related to broker-dealer services for debt securities is included in the pricing of the instrument rather than charged through separate fee agreements. Therefore, this revenue is recorded in principal transactions as part of the initial mark to fair value. In transactions where Merrill Lynch acts as an agent, fees are earned and recorded in commissions. In the tables below, most government debt securities are reflected in interest rate risk. All other government debt securities (including, for example, municipal bonds and emerging markets government debt) and corporate debt securities are included in credit risk.

For derivatives, revenue is typically included in principal transactions. Similar to debt securities, the initial revenue related to dealer services is included in the initial pricing of the instrument rather than charged through separate fee agreements. Therefore, this revenue is recorded in principal transactions as part of the initial mark to fair value. In transactions where Merrill Lynch acts as agent, which includes exchange traded futures and options, fees are earned and recorded in commissions. Derivatives are included in the tables below based on their predominant risk (e.g., credit default swaps are included in credit risk).

Certain instruments, primarily available-for-sale securities and loans, are not considered trading assets or liabilities. Gains/losses on sales and changes in fair value of these instruments, where applicable (e.g., the fair value option has been elected), are recorded in other revenues. These instruments are typically reflected in credit risk.

Interest revenue for debt securities and loans is included in net interest expense.

The following tables identify the amounts in the income statement line items attributable to trading and non-trading activities, including both derivatives and non-derivative cash instruments categorized by primary risk for the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Non-trading related amounts include activities in connection with principal investment, wealth management, and certain lending activities; economic hedging activity discussed in the *Non-trading derivatives* section above; and the impact of changes in Merrill Lynch's own creditworthiness on borrowings accounted for at fair value.

For The Year Ended December 31, 2012

(dollars in millions)

	Principal Transactions			Othe	r Revenues ⁽¹⁾	Net Interest Income (Expense)			Total
Interest rate risk	\$ 695	\$	71	\$	9	\$	734	\$	1,509
Foreign exchange risk	78		_		4		1		83
Equity risk	2,129		2,555		77		(799)		3,962
Commodity risk	591		_		1		(120)		472
Credit risk	2,052		1		201		2,096		4,350
Total trading related	 5,545		2,627		292		1,912		10,376
Non-trading related	(3,239)		2,452		1,631		(2,615)		(1,771)
Total	\$ 2,306	\$	5,079	\$	1,923	\$	(703)	\$	8,605

(1) Includes other income and other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities.

For The Year Ended December 31, 2011

(dollars in millions)

	Principal ansactions	Commissions	Othe	r Revenues ⁽¹⁾	et Interest ne (Expense)	Total
Interest rate risk	\$ 818	\$ 82	\$	22	\$ 816	\$ 1,738
Foreign exchange risk	98	_		_	6	104
Equity risk	2,297	3,078		122	(791)	4,706
Commodity risk	615	_		_	(113)	502
Credit risk	(57)	60		248	2,597	2,848
Total trading related	 3,771	 3,220		392	 2,515	 9,898
Non-trading related	2,105	2,478		1,357	(3,114)	2,826
Total	\$ 5,876	\$ 5,698	\$	1,749	\$ (599)	\$ 12,724

(1) Includes other income and other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities.

For The Year Ended December 31, 2010

(dollars in millions)

	Principal ransactions	Commissions	Othe	r Revenues ⁽¹⁾	et Interest ne (Expense)	Total
Interest rate risk	\$ 1,046	\$ 76	\$	59	\$ 756	\$ 1,937
Foreign exchange risk	55	_		_	(1)	54
Equity risk	1,940	3,093		262	(490)	4,805
Commodity risk	284	—		7	(123)	168
Credit risk	3,789	41		701	3,337	7,868
Total trading related	 7,114	 3,210		1,029	 3,479	 14,832
Non-trading related	(22)	2,550		3,272	(3,737)	2,063
Total	\$ 7,092	\$ 5,760	\$	4,301	\$ (258)	\$ 16,895

(1) Includes other income and other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities.



Credit Derivatives

Credit derivatives derive value based on an underlying third party referenced obligation or a portfolio of referenced obligations. Merrill Lynch is both a seller and a buyer of credit protection. A seller of credit protection is required to make payments to a buyer upon the occurrence of a predefined credit event. Such credit events generally include bankruptcy of the referenced credit entity and failure to pay under their credit obligations, as well as acceleration of indebtedness and payment repudiation or moratorium. For credit derivatives based on a portfolio of referenced credit indices, Merrill Lynch as a seller of credit protection may not be required to make payment until a specified amount of loss has occurred and/or may only be required to make payment up to a specified amount.

Credit derivatives where Merrill Lynch is the seller of credit protection are summarized below:

		Maximum Payout/	1	Less than		_				_	Carrying
At December 31, 2012:		Notional		1 year	1	- 3 years		3 – 5 years	0	ver 5 years	Value ⁽¹⁾
Derivative contracts:											
Credit derivatives:											
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	\$	160,390	\$	34,454	\$	42,871	\$	70,645	\$	12,420	\$ 1,855
e	Ф		Ф	· ·	Ф		Ф	,	\$,	\$ · · · ·
Non-investment grade ⁽²⁾		67,663		10,753		19,962		17,911		19,037	 7,301
Total credit derivatives		228,053		45,207		62,833		88,556		31,457	9,156
Credit related notes:											
Investment grade ⁽²⁾		3,201		4		7		163		3,027	3,201
Non-investment grade ⁽²⁾		1,445		115		141		271		918 -	 1,445
Total credit related notes		4,646		119		148		434		3,945	 4,646
Total derivative contracts	\$	232,699	\$	45,326	\$	62,981	\$	88,990	\$	35,402	\$ 13,802
At December 31, 2011:											
Derivative contracts:											
Credit derivatives:											
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	\$	130,770	\$	22,021	\$	47,593	\$	46,918	\$	14,238	\$ 4,189
Non-investment grade ⁽²⁾		121,592		13,263		26,428		38,301		43,600	15,002
Total credit derivatives		252,362		35,284		74,021		85,219		57,838	 19,191
Credit related notes:											
Investment grade ⁽²⁾		2,956		_		7		203		2,746	2,956
Non-investment grade ⁽²⁾		1,511		127		77		82		1,225	1,511
Total credit related notes		4,467		127		84		285		3,971	 4,467
Total derivative contracts	\$	256,829	\$	35,411	\$	74,105	\$	85,504	\$	61,809	\$ 23,658

(1) Derivative contracts are shown on a gross basis prior to counterparty or cash collateral

netting.

(2) Refers to the creditworthiness of the underlying reference obligations.

For most credit derivatives, the notional value represents the maximum amount payable by Merrill Lynch as a seller of credit protection. However, Merrill Lynch does not exclusively monitor its exposure to credit derivatives based on notional value. Instead, a risk framework is used to define risk tolerances and establish limits to help to ensure that certain credit risk-related losses occur within acceptable, predefined limits. Merrill Lynch discloses internal categorizations (i.e., investment grade, non-investment grade) consistent with how risk is managed to evaluate the payment status of its freestanding credit derivative instruments. Merrill Lynch economically hedges its exposure to credit derivatives by entering into a variety of offsetting derivative contracts and security positions. For example, in certain instances, Merrill Lynch purchases credit protection with identical underlying referenced names to offset its exposure. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the notional value and carrying value of credit protection purchased and credit protection sold by Merrill Lynch with identical underlying referenced names was:

(dollars in millions)

	I	Maximum Payout/ Notional		Less than 1 year		1 – 3 years		3 – 5 years		Over 5 years		Carrying Value ⁽¹⁾
At December 31, 2012:												
Credit derivatives purchased	\$	131,643	\$	31,576	\$	38,844	\$	41,800	\$	19,423	\$	4,208
Credit derivatives sold		138,479		29,881		41,986		43,399		23,213		5,235
At December 31, 2011:												
Credit derivatives purchased		219,358		31,335		63,284		77,485		47,254		15,563
Credit derivatives sold		219,669		33,852		61,797		77,527		46,493		15,502

(1) Derivative contracts are shown on a gross basis prior to counterparty or cash collateral

netting.

Credit related notes

Credit related notes include investments in securities issued by CDO, CLO and credit linked note vehicles. These instruments are classified as trading securities. Most of the entities that issue these instruments have either the ability to enter into, or have entered into, credit derivatives.

The carrying value of these instruments equals Merrill Lynch's maximum exposure to loss. Merrill Lynch is not obligated to make any payments to the entities under the terms of the securities owned. Merrill Lynch discloses internal categorizations (i.e., investment grade, non-investment grade) consistent with how risk is managed for these instruments.

Credit risk management of derivatives

Merrill Lynch defines counterparty credit risk as the potential for loss that can occur as a result of an individual, counterparty, or issuer being unable to honor its contractual obligations. Merrill Lynch mitigates its credit risk to counterparties through a variety of techniques, including, where appropriate, the right to require initial collateral or margin, the right to terminate transactions or to obtain collateral should unfavorable events occur, the right to call for collateral when certain exposure thresholds are exceeded, the right to call for third party guarantees, and the purchase of credit default protection.

Merrill Lynch enters into International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA") master agreements or their equivalent ("master netting agreements") with almost all derivative counterparties. Master netting agreements provide protection in bankruptcy in certain circumstances and, where legally enforceable, enable receivables and payables with the same counterparty to be offset for accounting and risk management purposes. Netting agreements are generally negotiated bilaterally and can require complex terms. While Merrill Lynch makes reasonable efforts to execute such agreements, it is possible that a counterparty may be unwilling to sign such an agreement and, as a result, would subject Merrill Lynch to additional credit risk.

Where Merrill Lynch has entered into legally enforceable netting agreements with counterparties, it reports derivative assets and liabilities, and any related cash collateral, net in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in accordance with ASC 210-20, *Balance Sheet-Offsetting*. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, cash collateral received of \$28.0 billion and \$26.5 billion, respectively, and cash collateral paid of \$32.1 billion and \$33.0 billion, respectively, was netted against derivative assets and liabilities. The enforceability of master netting agreements under bankruptcy laws in certain countries or in certain industries is not free from doubt, and receivables and payables with counterparties in these countries or industries are accordingly reported on a gross basis.



Monoline derivative credit exposure at December 31, 2012 had a notional value of \$12.1 billion compared with \$15.8 billion at December 31, 2011. The fair value of the monoline derivative credit exposure was \$0.9 billion at December 31, 2012 compared with \$1.7 billion at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2012, the CVA related to monoline derivative trading instruments exposure was \$117 million compared with \$382 million at December 31, 2011, which reduced Merrill Lynch's net fair value exposure to \$0.8 billion at December 31, 2012. Monoline related activity for the year ended December 31, 2012 resulted in gains of \$202 million, which consisted of CVA and exposure changes. In addition, at both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$1.3 billion of net monoline exposure with a single counterparty is included in Other assets. The contracts are no longer considered to be derivative trading instruments because of the inherent default risk and they no longer provide a hedge benefit.

Bank of America has guaranteed the performance of Merrill Lynch on certain derivative transactions. The aggregate amount of such derivative liabilities was approximately \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2012.

Credit-risk related contingent features

Most of Merrill Lynch's derivative contracts contain credit risk related contingent features, primarily in the form of ISDA master netting agreements and credit support documentation that enhance the creditworthiness of these instruments compared to other obligations of the respective counterparty with whom Merrill Lynch has transacted. These contingent features may be for the benefit of Merrill Lynch as well as its counterparties with respect to changes in Merrill Lynch's creditworthiness and the exposure under the derivative transactions. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch held cash and securities collateral of \$38.2 billion and \$40.9 billion and posted cash and securities collateral of \$38.3 billion and \$45.2 billion in the normal course of business under derivative agreements.

In connection with certain OTC derivative contracts and other trading agreements, Merrill Lynch can be required to provide additional collateral or to terminate transactions with certain counterparties in the event of a downgrade of the senior debt ratings of ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries. The amount of additional collateral required depends on the contract and is usually a fixed incremental amount and/or market value of the exposure.

At December 31, 2012, the amount of collateral, calculated based on the terms of the contracts that Merrill Lynch could be required to post to counterparties but had not yet posted to counterparties was approximately \$1.5 billion.

Some counterparties are currently able to unilaterally terminate certain contracts, or Merrill Lynch may be required to take other action such as find a suitable replacement or obtain a guarantee. At December 31, 2012, the current liability for these derivative contracts was \$0.8 billion, against which Merrill Lynch has posted \$0.7 billion of collateral.

At December 31, 2012, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by one incremental notch, the amount of additional collateral contractually required by such derivative contracts and other trading agreements would have been approximately \$0.4 billion. If the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by a second incremental notch, approximately \$4.0 billion in additional incremental collateral would have been required.

Also, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by one incremental notch, the derivative liability that would be subject to unilateral termination by counterparties as of December 31, 2012 was \$1.9 billion, against which \$1.2 billion of collateral has been posted. Further, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by a second incremental notch, the derivative liability that would be subject to unilateral termination by counterparties as of December 31, 2012 was \$1.9 billion, against which \$1.2 billion of collateral has been posted.

Valuation Adjustments on Derivatives

Merrill Lynch records credit risk valuation adjustments on derivatives in order to properly reflect the credit quality of the counterparties and its own credit quality on the value of the derivatives. Merrill Lynch calculates valuation adjustments on derivatives based on a modeled expected exposure that incorporates current market risk factors. The exposure also takes into consideration credit mitigants such as legally enforceable master netting arrangements and collateral. CDS spread data is used to estimate the default probabilities and severities that are applied to the exposures. Where no observable credit default data is available for counterparties, Merrill Lynch uses proxies and other market data to estimate default probabilities and severity.

Valuation adjustments on derivatives are affected by changes in market spreads, non-credit related market factors such as interest rate and currency changes that affect the expected exposure, and other factors such as changes in collateral arrangements and partial payments. Credit spread changes and non-credit factors can move independently; for example, for an interest rate swap, changes in interest rates may increase the expected exposure, which would increase the counterparty CVA. Independently, counterparty credit spreads may tighten, which would result in an offsetting decrease to CVA.

Merrill Lynch may enter into risk management activities to offset market driven exposures. Merrill Lynch often hedges the counterparty spread risk in CVA with CDS and often hedges the other market risks in both CVA and DVA primarily with currency and interest rate swaps. Since the components of the valuation adjustments on derivatives move independently and Merrill Lynch may not hedge all of the market driven exposures, the effect of a hedge may increase the gross valuation adjustments on derivatives or may result in a gross positive valuation adjustment on derivatives becoming a negative adjustment (or the reverse).

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch refined its methodology for calculating valuation adjustments on derivatives on a prospective basis. Merrill Lynch no longer considers the probability of default for both the counterparty and Merrill Lynch when calculating the counterparty CVA and DVA and now only considers the probability of the counterparty defaulting for CVA and Merrill Lynch defaulting for DVA.

The table below presents CVA gains (losses) and DVA gains (losses) for Merrill Lynch on a gross and net of hedges basis, which are recorded in principal transactions revenues.

Valuation Adjustments on Derivatives

	Year Ended December 31								
		2012	2011						
(dollars in millions)	Gross		Net		Gross	Net			
Derivative assets (CVA) (1)	\$	626 \$	393	\$	(1,331) \$	(969)			
Derivative liabilities (DVA) (2)		(1,003)	(1,098)		613	493			

(1) At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the cumulative counterparty credit risk valuation adjustment reduced the derivative assets balance by \$1.1 billion and \$1.5 billion.

(2) At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch's cumulative DVA reduced the derivative liabilities balance by \$0.4 billion and \$1.1 billion.

Note 7. Securities Financing Transactions

Merrill Lynch enters into secured borrowing and lending transactions in order to meet customers' needs and earn residual interest rate spreads, obtain securities for settlement and finance trading inventory positions.

Under these transactions, Merrill Lynch either receives or provides collateral, including U.S. Government and agency securities, asset-backed, corporate debt, equity, and non-U.S. government and agency securities. Merrill Lynch receives collateral in connection with resale agreements, securities borrowed transactions, customer margin

loans and other loans. Under most agreements, Merrill Lynch is permitted to sell or repledge the securities received (e.g., use the securities to secure repurchase agreements, enter into securities lending transactions, or deliver to counterparties to cover short positions). At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value of securities received as collateral where Merrill Lynch is permitted to sell or repledge the securities was \$500 billion and \$418 billion, respectively, and the fair value of the portion that had been sold or repledged was \$405 billion and \$349 billion, respectively. Merrill Lynch may use securities received as collateral for resale agreements to satisfy regulatory requirements such as Rule 15c3-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Additionally, Merrill Lynch receives securities as collateral in connection with certain securities transactions in which Merrill Lynch is the lender. In instances where Merrill Lynch is permitted to sell or repledge securities received, Merrill Lynch reports the fair value of such securities received as collateral and the related obligation to return securities received as collateral in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Merrill Lynch pledges assets to collateralize repurchase agreements and other secured financings. Pledged securities that can be sold or repledged by the secured party are parenthetically disclosed in trading assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The carrying value and classification of securities owned by Merrill Lynch that have been pledged to counterparties where those counterparties do not have the right to sell or repledge at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Trading asset category				
Equities and convertible debentures	\$	11,732	\$	6,469
Corporate debt and preferred stock		8,368		7,961
U.S. Government and agencies		41,236		22,689
Non-U.S. governments and agencies		1,707		627
Mortgages, mortgage-backed, and asset-backed securities		4,547		1,959
Municipals and money markets		2,469		561
Total	\$	70,059	\$	40,266

In certain cases, Merrill Lynch has transferred assets to consolidated VIEs where those restricted assets serve as collateral for the interests issued by the VIEs. These assets, which are not included in the table above, are disclosed on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as Assets of Consolidated VIEs. These transactions are also described in Note 9.

Generally, when Merrill Lynch transfers financial instruments that are not recorded as sales (i.e., secured borrowing transactions), the liability is recorded as either payables under repurchase agreements or payables under securities loaned transactions; however, in instances where Merrill Lynch transfers financial assets to a consolidated VIE, the liabilities of the consolidated VIE will be reflected in long or short-term borrowings (see Note 9). In either case, at the time of transfer, the related liability is equal to the cash received in the transaction. In most cases the lenders in secured borrowing transactions have full recourse to Merrill Lynch (i.e., recourse beyond the assets pledged).

Investment securities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets include:

- Investments within the scope of Investment Accounting that are held by ML & Co. and certain of its non-broker-dealer subsidiaries consist of debt securities, which are classified as available-for-sale, and are used for investment, liquidity management, and/or collateral management purposes.
- Non-qualifying investments are those that are not within the scope of Investment Accounting and consist principally of equity investments, including
 investments in partnerships and joint ventures. Included in non-qualifying investments are investments accounted for under the equity method of
 accounting, which consist of investments in (i) partnerships and certain limited liability corporations where Merrill Lynch has more than a minor
 influence (generally defined as three to five percent interest) and (ii) corporate entities where Merrill
Lynch has the ability to exercise significant influence over the investee (generally defined as ownership and voting interest of 20% to 50%). Also included in non-qualifying investments are private equity investments that Merrill Lynch holds for capital appreciation and/or current income and which are accounted for at fair value in accordance with the Investment Company Guide, as well as private equity investments accounted for at fair value option election.

Investment securities reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are presented below.

(dollars in millions)

	Decen	nber 31, 2012	Decen	nber 31, 2011
Investment securities				
Available-for-sale	\$	656	\$	694
Non-qualifying				
Equity investments		2,627		3,810
Other investments		2,620		2,180
Total	\$	5,903	\$	6,684

For the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses related to nonagency mortgage-backed available-for-sale securities were \$6 million, \$69 million, \$174 million respectively. Net impairment losses recognized in earnings represent the credit component of OTTI losses on available-for-sale debt securities and total OTTI losses for available-for-sale debt securities that Merrill Lynch does not intend to hold to recovery. Those amounts were \$6 million, \$59 million and \$172 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Refer to Note 1 for Merrill Lynch's accounting policy regarding OTTI of investment securities.

Information regarding investment securities subject to Investment Accounting follows.

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012								
		Amortized Cost							
Available-for-Sale									
Securities, mortgage-backed and asset-backed:									
Corporate ABS	\$	226	\$	226					
Non-agency mortgage backed securities		40		40					
Subtotal		266		266					
U.S. Government and agencies		390		390					
Total available-for-sale securities	\$	656	\$	656					

(dollars in millions)

There were no material gross unrealized gains or losses associated with available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011. Additionally, there were no individual securities that had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for a year or more as of December 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale debt securities by expected maturity for mortgage-backed securities and contractual maturity for other debt securities at December 31, 2012 are as follows:

(dollars in millions)

		Available-for-Sale									
	A	mortized Cost	Fair Value								
Due in one year or less	\$	428	\$	428							
Due after one year through five years		120		120							
Due after five years through ten years		108		108							
Total ⁽¹⁾	\$	656	\$	656							

(1) Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay their obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

The proceeds and gross realized gains (losses) from the sale of available-for-sale securities during the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011	Year Ended December 31, 2010		
Proceeds	\$ 22	\$ 4,290	\$ 15,472		
Gross realized gains	5	69	531		
Gross realized losses	_	(76)	(272)		

Equity Method Investments

At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch held certain investments that were accounted for under the equity method of accounting, none of which were individually material.

In 2012, Merrill Lynch sold its investment in a Japanese brokerage joint venture, which resulted in a gain of approximately \$ 370 million (see Note 20). Such gain is included within Other Revenues in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) for the year ended December 31, 2012.

On November 15, 2010, Merrill Lynch sold 51.2 million shares of BlackRock, Inc. ("BlackRock") common stock. The net proceeds to Merrill Lynch from the sale of these shares, after underwriting discounts and before offering expenses payable by Merrill Lynch, were approximately \$8.2 billion. As a result of the sale, Merrill Lynch owned shares of BlackRock Series B Preferred Stock only, and Merrill Lynch's economic interest in BlackRock was reduced from approximately 34% to approximately 7%. Merrill Lynch recorded a pre-tax gain of approximately \$90 million from this transaction, which is included within Earnings from equity method investments in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings (Loss) for the year ended December 31, 2010.

In June 2011, Merrill Lynch sold the remaining shares of BlackRock's Series B preferred stock, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$ 377 million, which is included within Other Revenues in the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings for the year ended December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch no longer held an economic interest in BlackRock.

Summarized financial information for Merrill Lynch's most significant equity method investee for the year ended December 31, 2010 (BlackRock) is presented below. There were no individually material equity method investees for the years ended December 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011:

Table of Contents

(dollars in millions)

	For the	Year Ended
	Decemb	er 31, 2010 ⁽¹⁾
Revenues	\$	8,612
Operating income		2,998
Earnings before income taxes		3,021
Net earnings		2,063

(1) Consists of the results of BlackRock for the year ended December 31, 2010. BlackRock was accounted for under the equity method of accounting through November 15, 2010.

Note 9. Securitizations and Other Variable Interest Entities ("VIEs")

Merrill Lynch utilizes VIEs in the ordinary course of business to support its own and its customers' financing and investing needs. Merrill Lynch securitizes loans and debt securities using VIEs as a source of funding and as a means of transferring the economic risk of the loans or debt securities to third parties. The assets are transferred into a trust or other securitization vehicle such that the assets are legally isolated from the creditors of Merrill Lynch and are not available to satisfy its obligations. These assets can only be used to settle obligations of the trust or other securitization vehicle. Merrill Lynch also administers, structures or invests in other VIEs including municipal bond trusts, CDOs and other entities as described in more detail below.

The entity that has a controlling financial interest in a VIE is referred to as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the VIE. Merrill Lynch is deemed to have a controlling financial interest and is the primary beneficiary of a VIE if it has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

The tables below present the assets and liabilities of consolidated and unconsolidated VIEs if Merrill Lynch has continuing involvement with transferred assets or if Merrill Lynch otherwise has a variable interest in the VIE. For consolidated VIEs, these amounts are net of intercompany balances. The tables also present Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure resulting from its involvement with consolidated VIEs and unconsolidated VIEs in which Merrill Lynch holds a variable interest as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure is based on the unlikely event that all of the assets in the VIEs become worthless and incorporates not only potential losses associated with assets recorded on Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Balance Sheet but also potential losses associated with off-balance sheet commitments such as unfunded liquidity commitments and other contractual arrangements. Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure does not include losses previously recognized.

Merrill Lynch invests in ABS issued by third-party VIEs with which it has no other form of involvement. These securities are described in more detail in Note 7. In addition, Merrill Lynch uses VIEs such as trust preferred securities trusts in connection with its funding activities (see Note 12).

Except as described below, Merrill Lynch has not provided financial support to consolidated or unconsolidated VIEs that it was not contractually required to provide, nor does it intend to do so.

Loan VIEs

Merrill Lynch securitizes mortgage loans that it originates or purchases from third parties. In certain circumstances, Merrill Lynch has continuing involvement with the securitized loans as servicer of the loans. Merrill Lynch may also retain beneficial interests in the securitization vehicles including senior and subordinated securities, and the equity tranche. Except as described below, Merrill Lynch does not provide guarantees to the securitization vehicles and investors do not have recourse to Merrill Lynch other than through standard representations and warranties.

The following table summarizes certain information related to Loan VIEs in which Merrill Lynch is either the transferor, servicer or sponsor and holds a variable interest as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

					Non-A	gency						
	 Pı	ime			Sub	prime			Commerci	al Mortg	age	
	mber 31, 2012		December 31, 2011		ember 31, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011	Dec	ember 31, 2012	December 31, 2011		
Unconsolidated VIEs:												
Maximum loss exposure ⁽¹⁾	\$ 20	\$	16	\$	55	\$	52	\$	102	\$	130	
Senior securities held												
Trading assets	\$ 11	\$	1	\$	4	\$	2	\$	5	\$	19	
Investment securities	1		_				_		_		_	
Subordinated securities held												
Trading assets	_		_		3		8		2		1	
Residual interests held	8		8		4				38		42	
Total retained securities ⁽²⁾	\$ 20	\$	9	\$	11	\$	10	\$	45	\$	62	
Principal balance outstanding ⁽³⁾	\$ 457	\$	191	\$	6,455	\$	6,192	\$	17,258	\$	24,545	
Consolidated VIEs:												
Maximum loss exposure ⁽¹⁾	\$ 	\$	1	\$	9	\$	_	\$	_	\$		
Loans, notes and mortgages	_		_		185				_			
Other assets	_		1		12				_			
Total assets	\$ 	\$	1	\$	197	\$		\$	_	\$		
Long-term borrowings	\$ _	\$	_	\$	188	\$		\$	_	\$		
Total liabilities	\$ 	\$	_	\$	188	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	

(1) Maximum loss exposure excludes liabilities for representations and warranties

(2) Substantially all of the securities were in Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

(3) Principal balance outstanding includes those loans that Merrill Lynch transferred and with which it has continuing involvement.

In accordance with consolidation guidance, Merrill Lynch consolidates Loan VIEs in which it has a controlling financial interest. For loan securitizations, Merrill Lynch is considered to have a controlling financial interest (i.e., is the primary beneficiary) when it is the servicer of the loans and also holds a financial interest that could potentially be significant to the entity. If Merrill Lynch is not the servicer of an entity or does not hold a financial interest that could be significant to the entity. Merrill Lynch does not have a controlling financial interest and does not consolidate the entity.

Merrill Lynch sells mortgage loans to VIEs with various representations and warranties related to, among other things, the ownership of the loan, validity of the lien securing the loan, absence of delinquent taxes or liens against the property securing the loan, the process used in selecting the loans for inclusion in a transaction, the loan's compliance with any applicable loan criteria established by the buyer, and the loan's compliance with applicable

warranties

local, state and federal laws. Under these representations and warranties, Merrill Lynch may be required to repurchase mortgage loans with the identified defects or indemnify or provide other recourse to the investor or insurer. In such cases, Merrill Lynch bears any subsequent credit loss on the mortgage loans. Merrill Lynch's representations and warranties are generally not subject to stated limits and extend over the life of the loans. See Note 14.

Municipal Bond Securitizations

Merrill Lynch sponsors municipal bond trusts that hold highly-rated, long-term, fixed-rate municipal bonds, some of which are callable prior to maturity. A majority of the bonds are rated AAA or AA and some benefit from insurance provided by third parties. The trusts obtain financing by issuing floatingrate trust certificates that reprice on a frequent basis to third party investors. Merrill Lynch may serve as remarketing agent and/or liquidity provider for the trusts. The floating-rate investors have the right to tender the certificates at specified dates, often with as little as seven days' notice. Should Merrill Lynch be unable to remarket the tendered certificates, it is generally obligated to purchase them at par under standby liquidity facilities unless the bond's credit rating has declined below investment-grade or there has been an event of default or bankruptcy of the issuer and insurer.

Merrill Lynch also provides default protection or credit enhancement to investors in certain municipal bond trusts whereby Merrill Lynch guarantees the payment of interest and principal on floating-rate certificates issued by these trusts. If an investor holds the residual interest, that investor typically has the unilateral ability to liquidate the trust at any time, while Merrill Lynch typically has the ability to trigger the liquidation of that trust only if the market value of the bonds held in the trust declines below a specified threshold. The weighted average remaining life of bonds held in the trusts at December 31, 2012 was 10.6 years.

The following table summarizes certain information related to municipal bond trusts in which Merrill Lynch holds a variable interest as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

			Decemb	er 31, 2012				Decem	ber 31, 2011			
	Co	nsolidated	Unco	nsolidated	Total		nsolidated	Unc	onsolidated	Total		
Maximum Loss Exposure	\$	1,348	\$	106	\$ 1,454	\$	3,713	\$	920	\$	4,633	
On-balance sheet assets												
Trading assets	\$	2,505	\$	_	\$ 2,505	\$	3,713	\$	178	\$	3,891	
Total	\$	2,505	\$	_	\$ 2,505	\$	3,713	\$	178	\$	3,891	
On-balance sheet liabilities												
Short-term borrowings	\$	2,859	\$	_	\$ 2,859	\$	4,939	\$	_	\$	4,939	
Payables to Bank of America		1,157		_	1,157		_		_		_	
Total	\$	4,016	\$	_	\$ 4,016	\$	4,939	\$	_	\$	4,939	
Total assets of VIEs	\$	2,505	\$	133	\$ 2,638	\$	3,713	\$	1,154	\$	4,867	

Merrill Lynch consolidates municipal bond trusts when it has a controlling financial interest. As transferor of assets into a trust, Merrill Lynch has the power to determine which assets would be held in the trust and to structure the liquidity facilities, default protection and credit enhancement, if applicable. In some instances, Merrill Lynch retains a residual interest in such trusts and has loss exposure that could potentially be significant to the trust through the residual interest, liquidity facilities and other arrangements. Merrill Lynch is also the remarketing agent, through which it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact economic performance. Accordingly, Merrill Lynch is the primary beneficiary of and consolidates these trusts. In other instances, one or more third party investor(s) hold(s) the residual interest and, through that interest, has the unilateral right to liquidate the trust. Merrill Lynch does not consolidate these trusts.

In the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch was the transferor of assets into unconsolidated municipal bond trusts and received cash proceeds from new securitizations of \$338 million and \$645 million, respectively. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the principal balance outstanding for unconsolidated municipal bond securitization trusts for which Merrill Lynch was the transferor was \$133 million and \$1.2 billion, respectively.

Merrill Lynch's liquidity commitments to unconsolidated municipal bond trusts totaled \$106 million and \$742 million at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

<u>CDOs</u>

CDO vehicles hold diversified pools of fixed income securities, typically corporate debt or asset-backed securities, which they fund by issuing multiple tranches of debt and equity securities. Synthetic CDOs enter into a portfolio of credit default swaps to synthetically create exposure to fixed income securities. CLOs, which are a subset of CDOs, hold pools of loans, typically corporate loans or commercial mortgages. CDOs are typically managed by third party portfolio managers. Merrill Lynch transfers assets to these CDOs, holds securities issued by the CDOs, and may be a derivative counterparty to the CDOs, including credit default swap counterparty for synthetic CDOs. Merrill Lynch has also entered into total return swaps with certain CDOs whereby Merrill Lynch will absorb the economic returns generated by specified assets held by the CDO. Merrill Lynch receives fees for structuring CDOs and providing liquidity support for super senior tranches of securities issued by certain CDOs.

The following table summarizes certain information related to CDO vehicles in which Merrill Lynch holds a variable interest as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

			Decem	ber 31, 2012		December 31, 2011								
	Co	nsolidated	Unc	onsolidated	Total		Consolidated		onsolidated	Total				
Maximum Loss Exposure	\$	2,200	\$	1,321	\$ 3,521	\$	1,695	\$	2,185	\$	3,880			
On-balance sheet assets														
Trading assets	\$	2,200	\$	227	\$ 2,427	\$	1,844	\$	397	\$	2,241			
Derivative contracts		_		301	301		_		678		678			
Other assets		_		52	52		_		72		72			
Total	\$	2,200	\$	580	\$ 2,780	\$	1,844	\$	1,147	\$	2,991			
On-balance sheet liabilities														
Derivative contracts	\$	_	\$	9	\$ 9	\$	_	\$	11	\$	11			
Long-term borrowings		2,805		_	2,805		2,712		_		2,712			
Total	\$	2,805	\$	9	\$ 2,814	\$	2,712	\$	11	\$	2,723			
Total assets of VIEs	\$	2,200	\$	26,968	\$ 29,168	\$	1,844	\$	32,847	\$	34,691			

Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure is significantly less than the total assets of unconsolidated CDO vehicles in the table above because Merrill Lynch typically has exposure to only a portion of the total assets.

At December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch had \$1.4 billion of aggregate liquidity exposure to CDOs. This amount includes \$108 million of commitments to CDOs to provide funding for super senior exposures and \$1.3 billion notional amount of derivative contracts with unconsolidated VIEs, principally CDO vehicles, which hold non-super senior CDO debt securities or other debt securities on Merrill Lynch's behalf. Refer to Note 14 for additional information. Merrill Lynch's liquidity exposure to CDOs at December 31, 2012 is included in the table above to the extent that Merrill Lynch sponsored the CDO vehicle or the liquidity exposure is more than insignificant compared to total assets of the CDO vehicle. Liquidity exposure included in the table is reported net of previously recorded losses.

Customer Vehicles

Customer vehicles include credit-linked and equity-linked note vehicles and repackaging vehicles, which are typically created on behalf of customers who wish to obtain exposure to a specific company or financial instrument. Credit-linked and equity-linked note vehicles issue notes which pay a return that is linked to the specific credit or equity risk. The vehicles purchase high-grade assets as collateral and enter into CDS or equity derivatives to synthetically create the credit or equity risk required to pay the specified return on the notes issued by the vehicles. Repackaging vehicles issue notes that are designed to incorporate risk characteristics desired by customers of Merrill Lynch. The vehicles hold debt instruments such as corporate bonds, convertible bonds or ABS with the desired credit risk profile. Merrill Lynch enters into derivatives with the vehicles to change the interest rate or currency profile of the debt instruments. If a vehicle holds convertible bonds and Merrill Lynch retains the conversion option, Merrill Lynch is deemed to have a controlling financial interest and consolidates the vehicle.

The following table summarizes certain information related to customer vehicles in which Merrill Lynch holds a variable interest as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

			Decem	ber 31, 2012		December 31, 2011								
	Co	nsolidated	Unc	onsolidated	Total		Consolidated	Unc	onsolidated		Total			
Maximum Loss Exposure	\$	1,512	\$	1,395	\$ 2,907	\$	2,333	\$	2,106	\$	4,439			
On-balance sheet assets														
Trading assets	\$	2,814	\$	97	\$ 2,911	\$	3,243	\$	211	\$	3,454			
Derivative contracts		—		509	509		—		896		896			
Other assets		725			 725		1,446	_	—		1,446			
Total	\$	3,539	\$	606	\$ 4,145	\$	4,689	\$	1,107	\$	5,796			
On-balance sheet liabilities														
Derivative contracts	\$	19	\$	7	\$ 26	\$	4	\$	42	\$	46			
Short-term borrowings		81		_	81		_				_			
Long-term borrowings		3,096		_	3,096		3,873		_		3,873			
Other liabilities		3		382	385		1		448		449			
Total	\$	3,199	\$	389	\$ 3,588	\$	3,878	\$	490	\$	4,368			
Total assets of VIEs	\$	3,539	\$	4,046	\$ 7,585	\$	4,689	\$	5,265	\$	9,954			

Merrill Lynch consolidates customer vehicles in which it has a controlling financial interest. Merrill Lynch typically has control over the initial design of the vehicle and may also have the ability to replace the collateral assets. Merrill Lynch consolidates these vehicles if it also absorbs potentially significant gains or losses through derivative contracts or investments. Merrill Lynch does not consolidate a vehicle if a single investor controlled the initial design of the vehicle or if Merrill Lynch does not have a variable interest that could potentially be significant to the vehicle.

Merrill Lynch is typically the counterparty for the credit and equity derivatives entered into by the customer vehicles, and it may invest in securities issued by the vehicles. Merrill Lynch may also enter into interest rate and foreign currency derivatives with the vehicles. Merrill Lynch had approximately \$742 million and \$824 million of other liquidity commitments, including written put options and collateral value guarantees, with unconsolidated credit-linked and equity-linked note vehicles at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure from customer vehicles includes the notional amount of the credit or equity derivatives to which it is counterparty, net of losses previously recorded, and Merrill Lynch's investment, if any, in

Table of Contents

(dollars in millions)

securities issued by the vehicles. It has not been reduced to reflect the benefit of offsetting swaps with the customers or collateral arrangements.

Real Estate and other VIEs

Real Estate and other VIEs primarily includes a real estate investment fund that is a VIE, investments in VIEs that hold investment property, certain hedge fund investment entities, and residential agency resecuritizations.

The following table summarizes certain information related to Real Estate and other VIEs in which Merrill Lynch holds a variable interest as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

			Decem	ber 31, 2012				Decem	ber 31, 2011		
	Con	solidated	Unc	onsolidated	Total	Co	nsolidated	Unc	onsolidated	Total	
Maximum Loss Exposure	\$	215	\$	2,258	\$ 2,473	\$	1,821	\$	4,631	\$	6,452
On-balance sheet assets											
Trading assets	\$	328	\$	1,297	\$ 1,625	\$	_	\$	2,980	\$	2,980
Derivative contracts		_		460	460		_		440		440
Investment securities		41		39	80		162		62		224
Loans, notes, and mortgages		21		189	210		94		804		898
Other assets		27		276	303		1,575		344		1,919
Total	\$	417	\$	2,261	\$ 2,678	\$	1,831	\$	4,630	\$	6,461
On-balance sheet liabilities											
Long-term borrowings	\$	203	\$	_	\$ 203	\$	10	\$		\$	10
Other liabilities		11		1	12		185		265		450
Total	\$	214	\$	1	\$ 215	\$	195	\$	265	\$	460
Total assets of VIEs	\$	417	\$	18,060	\$ 18,477	\$	1,831	\$	26,731	\$	28,562

Merrill Lynch consolidates Real Estate and other VIEs in which it has a controlling financial interest. Merrill Lynch has established real estate investment funds designed to provide returns to clients through limited partnership holdings. Merrill Lynch invests in real estate lending vehicles and establishes vehicles to hold real estate investments. In certain instances these entities do not have sufficient equity to finance operations and are therefore considered VIEs. Merrill Lynch consolidates these vehicles when it has decision-making power over the property held by the vehicle and absorbs potentially significant gains or losses through its equity or loan investment.

Merrill Lynch transfers existing securities, typically MBS, into resecuritization vehicles at the request of customers seeking securities with specific characteristics. Generally, there are no significant ongoing activities performed in a resecuritization trust and no single investor has the unilateral ability to liquidate the trust.

Merrill Lynch resecuritized \$45.6 billion and \$13.5 billion of securities during the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Merrill Lynch consolidates a resecuritization trust if it has sole discretion over the design of the trust, including the identification of securities to be transferred in and the structure of securities to be issued, and also retains a variable interest that could potentially be significant to the trust. If one or a limited number of third-party investors share responsibility for the design of the trust and purchase a significant portion of securities, including subordinated securities issued by non agency trusts, Merrill Lynch does not consolidate the trust.

Other Transactions

Merrill Lynch transferred pools of securities to certain independent third parties and provided financing for up to 75% of the purchase price under assetbacked financing arrangements. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure under these financing arrangements was \$2.5 billion and \$4.7 billion, respectively, substantially all of which was recorded as loans, notes and mortgages on Merrill Lynch's Consolidated Balance Sheet. All principal and interest payments have been received when due in accordance with their contractual terms. These arrangements are not included in the tables above because the purchasers are not VIEs.

Note 10. Loans, Notes and Mortgages

Loans, notes, mortgages and related commitments to extend credit include:

- Consumer loans, which are substantially secured, including residential mortgages, home equity loans, and other loans to individuals for household, family, or other personal expenditures;
- Commercial loans, including corporate and institutional loans (including corporate and financial sponsor, non-investment grade lending commitments), commercial mortgages, asset-backed loans, small- and middle-market business loans, and other loans to businesses; and
- · Other loans, which include securities-backed loans and loans classified as held-for-sale.

The table below presents information on Merrill Lynch's loans outstanding at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Age Analysis of Outstanding Loans

(dollars in millions)										December 31, 2012	2						
	30-5	9 Days	60	-89 Days	90 Days or more			Fotal Past	То	otal Current or Less Than		Nonperforming	Ι	Loans Measured at		Total	
	Pas	st Due	Past Due		Past Due		Due		30 Days Past Due			Loans (1)		Fair Value		Outstanding	
Consumer loans																	
Residential mortgage	\$	10	\$	4	\$	_	\$	14	\$	412	\$	24	\$	_	\$	450	
Home equity		1		_		_		1		93		3		_		97	
Total consumer		11		4		_		15		505		27		_		547	
Commercial																	
Commercial - U.S.		_		_		_		_		2,625		8		_		2,633	
Commercial real estate		_		_		_		_		204		37		_		241	
Commercial - non-U.S.		_		_		_		_		3,007		44		_		3,051	
Total commercial loans		_		_		_				5,836		89		_		5,925	
Commercial loans measured at fair value		_		_		_		_		_		_		1,208		1,208	
Total commercial		_		_		_		_		5,836		89		1,208		7,133	
Other (2)		_		_		_				10,053		_		1,869		11,922	
Total loans	\$	11	\$	4	\$	_	\$	15	\$	16,394	\$	116	\$	3,077		19,602	
Allowance for loan losses															_	(57)	
Total loans, net															\$	19,545	

Table of Contents

Age Analysis of Outstanding Loans

(dollars in millions)								December 31, 2011	l					
		59 Days st Due	89 Days ast Due	90 Days or more Past Due		Total Past Due		Total Current or Less Than 30 Days Past Due		Nonperforming Loans ⁽¹⁾		Loans Measured at Fair Value		Total Outstanding
Consumer loans														
Residential mortgage	\$	20	\$ 4	\$ _	\$	24	\$	420	\$	25	\$		\$	469
Home equity		_	_	_		_		117		4		_		121
Total consumer		20	4	_		24		537		29		_		590
Commercial														
Commercial - U.S.		_	1	2		3		3,753		85		_		3,841
Commercial real estate		_	_	_		_		667		108		_		775
Commercial - non-U.S.		_	_	_		_		3,040		65		_		3,105
Total commercial loans		_	1	2		3		7,460		258		_		7,721
Commercial loans measured at fair value		_	_	_		_		_		_		909		909
Total commercial		_	1	2		3		7,460		258		909		8,630
Other (3)		_	_	_		_		10,013		_		1,413		11,426
Total loans	\$	20	\$ 5	\$ 2	\$	27	\$	18,010	\$	287	\$	2,322	_	20,646
Allowance for loan losses														(72)
Total loans, net													\$	20,574

(1) Excludes loans measured at fair

value.

(2) Includes securities-backed loans and loans held-for-sale of \$9.6 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2012.

(3) Includes securities-backed loans and loans held-for-sale of \$8.9 billion and \$2.5 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2011.

Merrill Lynch monitors credit quality based on primary credit quality indicators. Merrill Lynch's commercial loans are evaluated using the internal classifications of pass rated or reservable criticized as the primary credit quality indicators. The term reservable criticized refers to those commercial loans that are internally classified or listed by Merrill Lynch as Special Mention, Substandard or Doubtful, which are asset categories defined by regulatory authorities. These assets have an elevated level of risk and may have a high probability of default or total loss. Pass rated refers to all loans not considered reservable criticized. In addition to these primary credit quality indicators, Merrill Lynch uses other credit quality indicators for certain types of loans. The table below presents credit quality indicators for Merrill Lynch's commercial loan portfolio, excluding loans accounted for under the fair value option, at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(dollars in millions)	December 31, 2012								
	Co	mmercial - U.S.		imercial l Estate		ommercial - non-U.S.			
Risk Ratings									
Pass rated	\$	2,506	\$	105	\$	2,918			
Reservable criticized		127		136		133			
Total Commercial	\$	2,633	\$	241	\$	3,051			

(dollars in millions)	December 31, 2011								
	Co	mmercial - U.S.		ommercial eal Estate		Commercial - non-U.S.			
Risk Ratings									
Pass rated	\$	3,594	\$	511	\$	2,967			
Reservable criticized		247		264		138			
Total Commercial	\$	3,841	\$	775	\$	3,105			

Activity in the allowance for loan losses, which is primarily associated with commercial loans, is presented below:

(dollars in millions)

	ne Year Ended mber 31, 2012	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011	
Allowance for loan losses, at beginning of period	\$ 72	\$ 170	
Provision for loan losses	(51)	66	
Charge-offs	(14)	(180)	
Recoveries	50	14	
Net charge-offs	 36	 (166)	
Other	_	2	
Allowance for loan losses, at end of period	\$ 57	\$ 72	

Consumer loans, substantially all of which are collateralized, consisted of approximately 17,000 individual loans at December 31, 2012. Commercial loans consisted of approximately 700 separate loans.

Merrill Lynch's outstanding loans include \$2.3 billion and \$2.5 billion of loans held for sale at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Loans held for sale are loans that Merrill Lynch expects to sell prior to maturity. At December 31, 2012, such loans consisted of \$1.4 billion of consumer loans, primarily residential mortgages, and \$0.9 billion of commercial loans. At December 31, 2011, such loans consisted of \$1.0 billion of consumer loans, primarily residential mortgages, and \$1.5 billion of commercial loans.

In some cases, Merrill Lynch enters into single name and index credit default swaps to mitigate credit exposure related to funded and unfunded commercial loans. The notional value of these swaps totaled \$2.0 billion and \$3.4 billion at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The following tables provide information regarding Merrill Lynch's net credit default protection associated with its funded and unfunded commercial loans as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

Net Credit Default Protection by Maturity Profile

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Less than or equal to one year	25%	16%
Greater than one year and less than or equal to five years	75	82
Greater than five years	—	2
Total net credit default protection	100%	100%

Net Credit Default Protection by Credit Exposure Debt Rating

(dollars in millions)

	Dec	ember 31	, 2012	December 31, 2011			
Ratings ⁽¹⁾	Net Not	tional	Percent	Ne No	t tional	Percent	
AA	\$	(268)	13.1%	\$	(661)	19.4%	
А		(1,034)	50.6		(1,542)	45.1	
BBB		(530)	26.0		(637)	18.6	
BB		(86)	4.2		(190)	5.6	
В		(30)	1.5		(190)	5.6	
CCC and below		(93)	4.6		(195)	5.7	
Total net credit default protection	\$	(2,041)	100%	\$	(3,415)	100.0%	

(1) Merrill Lynch considers ratings of BBB- or higher to meet the definition of investment grade.

Note 11. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill is the cost of an acquired company in excess of the fair value of identifiable net assets at the acquisition date. Goodwill is tested annually (or more frequently under certain conditions) for impairment at the reporting unit level in accordance with Goodwill and Intangible Assets Accounting. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, its goodwill is not deemed to be impaired. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, a further analysis is required to determine the amount of impairment, if any. Based on the annual impairment analysis completed during the third quarter of 2012, Merrill Lynch determined that there was no impairment of goodwill as of the June 30, 2012 test date.

The carrying amount of goodwill was \$6.4 billion at both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Such amounts include the impact of an adjustment made during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 for \$675 million of additional goodwill associated with Merrill Lynch's merger with Bank of America Securities Holdings Corporation as of November 1, 2010. Prior period financial statements have been adjusted to reflect this change, along with a

corresponding adjustment to paid-in capital.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets with definite lives at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted primarily of value assigned to customer relationships. Intangible assets with definite lives are tested for impairment in accordance with ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment* whenever certain conditions exist which would indicate the carrying amounts of such assets may not be recoverable. Intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives. Intangible assets with indefinite lives consist of value assigned to the Merrill Lynch brand and are tested for impairment in accordance with Goodwill and Intangible Assets Accounting. Intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized. Based on the annual impairment analysis completed during the third quarter of 2012, Merrill Lynch determined that there was no impairment of the Merrill Lynch brand as of the June 30, 2012 test date.

The table below presents the gross carrying amount, accumulated amortization, and net carrying amounts of intangible assets as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

(dollars in millions)

		Dece	Year Ended December 31, 2012		
Customer relationships	Gross carrying amount	\$	3,087	\$	3,087
	Accumulated amortization		(1,235)		(928)
	Net carrying amount		1,852		2,159
Other ⁽¹⁾	Gross carrying amount		1,515		1,515
	Accumulated amortization				_
	Net carrying amount		1,515		1,515
Total	Gross carrying amount		4,602		4,602
	Accumulated amortization		(1,235)		(928)
	Net carrying amount	\$	3,367	\$	3,674

(1) Represents value assigned to the Merrill Lynch brand

Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$307 million, \$309 million and \$309 million, respectively.

The estimated future amortization of intangible assets from 2013 through 2017 is \$309 million per year.

Note 12. Borrowings and Deposits

Prior to Merrill Lynch's acquisition by Bank of America, ML & Co. was the primary issuer of Merrill Lynch's unsecured debt instruments. Debt instruments were also issued by certain subsidiaries. Bank of America has not assumed or guaranteed the long-term debt that was issued or guaranteed by ML & Co. or its subsidiaries prior to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America.

Beginning late in the third quarter of 2009, in connection with the update or renewal of certain Merrill Lynch international securities offering programs, Bank of America agreed to guarantee debt securities, warrants and/or certificates issued by certain subsidiaries of ML & Co. on a going forward basis. All existing ML & Co. guarantees of securities issued by those same Merrill Lynch subsidiaries under various international securities offering programs will remain in full force and effect as long as those securities are outstanding, and Bank of America has not assumed any of those prior ML & Co. guarantees or otherwise guaranteed such securities. There were approximately \$7.0 billion of securities guaranteed by Bank of America at December 31, 2012.

Following the completion of Bank of America's acquisition of Merrill Lynch, ML & Co. became a subsidiary of Bank of America and established intercompany lending and borrowing arrangements to facilitate centralized liquidity management. Included in these intercompany agreements is a \$75 billion one-year revolving unsecured line of credit that allows ML & Co. to borrow funds from Bank of America at a spread to LIBOR that is reset periodically and is consistent with other intercompany agreements. This credit line was renewed effective January 1, 2013 with a maturity date of January 1, 2014. The credit line will automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding January 1st unless Bank of America provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. The agreement does not contain any financial or other covenants. There were no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

In addition to the \$75 billion unsecured line of credit, there is also a revolving unsecured line of credit that allows ML & Co. to borrow up to \$25 billion from Bank of America. Interest on borrowings under the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit does not contain any financial or other covenants. The line of credit matures on February 11, 2014. There were no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

MLPF&S also has the following borrowing agreements with Bank of America:

- A \$4 billion one-year revolving unsecured line of credit Interest on the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The credit line will mature on November 1, 2013 and may automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding November 1st unless Bank of America provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. At both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit.
- A \$15 billion 364-day revolving unsecured line of credit Interest on the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit matures on February 18, 2014. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, approximately \$0.9 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively, was outstanding under the line of credit.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, \$2.6 billion that was outstanding under the following MLPF&S borrowing agreements with Bank of America was repaid and the agreements were terminated. The two terminated agreements below were replaced by intercompany funding arrangements between MLPF&S and ML & Co.

- A subordinated loan agreement for approximately \$1.5 billion Interest under this agreement was calculated based on a spread to LIBOR.
- A \$7 billion revolving subordinated line of credit Interest under this agreement was calculated based on a spread to LIBOR.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch entered into a series of transactions involving repurchases of its senior and subordinated debt. Through tender offers and certain open market transactions, Merrill Lynch repurchased senior and subordinated debt with a carrying value of \$2,050 million for \$1,645 million in cash, and recorded gains of \$405 million.

The value of Merrill Lynch's debt instruments as recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets does not necessarily represent the amount that will be repaid at maturity. This is due to the following:

- As a result of the acquisition by Bank of America, all debt instruments were adjusted to fair value on January 1, 2009;
- Certain debt issuances are accounted for at fair value and incorporate changes in Merrill Lynch's creditworthiness (see Note 4);
- Certain structured notes whose coupon or repayment terms are linked to the performance of debt and equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities reflect the fair value of those risks (see Note 4); and
- Certain debt issuances are adjusted for the impact of fair value hedge accounting (see Note 6).

The tables below exclude Merrill Lynch's intercompany borrowings from Bank of America (see Note 2 for further information). Total borrowings at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, which are comprised of short-term borrowings, long-term borrowings and junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities), consisted of the following:

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012		
Senior debt	\$ 47,702	\$	59,394
Senior structured notes	27,010		28,523
Subordinated debt	10,740		12,661
Junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities)	3,809		3,789
Other subsidiary financing	941		868
Debt issued by consolidated VIEs	9,232		11,534
Total	\$ 99,434	\$	116,769



Borrowings and deposits at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, are presented below:

(dollars in millions)

	Dec	December 31, 2012		cember 31, 2011
Short-term borrowings				
Other unsecured short-term borrowings	\$	436	\$	1,112
Short-term debt issued by consolidated VIEs ⁽¹⁾		2,940		4,939
Total	\$	3,376	\$	6,051
Long-term borrowings ⁽²⁾				
Fixed-rate obligations ⁽³⁾	\$	52,224	\$	60,482
Variable-rate obligations ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾		33,733		39,852
Long-term debt issued by consolidated VIEs ⁽¹⁾		6,292		6,595
Total	\$	92,249	\$	106,929
Deposits				
Non-U.S.	\$	12,873	\$	12,364

⁽¹⁾ See Note 9 for additional information on debt issued by consolidated

VIEs.

⁽²⁾ Excludes junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities).

⁽³⁾ Fixed-rate obligations are generally swapped to variable

rates.

(4) Variable interest rates are generally based on rates such as LIBOR, the U.S. Treasury Bill Rate, or the Federal Funds

Rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes structured

notes.

See Note 5 for additional information on the fair value of long-term borrowings.

The weighted-average interest rates for borrowings at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (excluding structured products) were as follows:

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Short-term borrowings	0.2%	0.4 %
Long-term borrowings	3.9	4.0
Junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities)	6.5	6.5

Long-Term Borrowings

At December 31, 2012, long-term borrowings mature as follows:

(dollars in millions)

	Amount	Percentage of Total		
Less than 1 year	\$ 27,505	30%		
1-2 years	19,333	21		
2-3 years	5,427	6		
3 – 4 years	3,669	4		
4 – 5 years	8,817	10		
Greater than 5 years	27,498	29		
Total	\$ 92,249	100 %		

Certain long-term borrowing agreements contain provisions whereby the borrowings are redeemable at the option of the holder ("put" options) at specified dates prior to maturity. These borrowings are reflected in the above table as maturing at their put dates, rather than their contractual maturities. However, Merrill Lynch believes that a portion of such borrowings will remain outstanding beyond their earliest redemption date.

The maturity of certain structured notes whose coupon or repayment terms are linked to the performance of debt and equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities may be accelerated based on the value of a referenced

index or security, in which case Merrill Lynch may be required to immediately settle the obligation for cash or other securities. These notes are included in the portion of long-term debt maturing in less than a year.

Senior and subordinated debt obligations do not contain provisions that could, upon an adverse change in ML & Co.'s credit rating, financial ratios, earnings or cash flows, trigger a requirement for an early payment, additional collateral support, changes in terms, acceleration of maturity, or the creation of an additional financial obligation.

Junior Subordinated Notes (related to trust preferred securities)

Merrill Lynch has created six trusts that have issued preferred securities to the public ("trust preferred securities"). Merrill Lynch Preferred Capital Trust III, IV and V used the issuance proceeds to purchase Partnership Preferred Securities, representing limited partnership interests. Using the purchase proceeds, the limited partnerships extended junior subordinated loans to ML & Co. and one or more subsidiaries of ML & Co. Merrill Lynch Capital Trust I, II and III directly invested in junior subordinated notes issued by ML & Co.

ML & Co. has guaranteed, on a junior subordinated basis, the payment in full of all distributions and other payments on the trust preferred securities to the extent that the trusts have funds legally available. This guarantee and similar partnership distribution guarantees are subordinated to all other liabilities of ML & Co.

The following table summarizes Merrill Lynch's trust preferred securities as of December 31, 2012.

(dollars in millions)

TRUST	ISSUE DATE	I	GGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF TRUST PREFERRED CCURITIES ⁽⁴⁾	AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF NOTES	AGGREGATE CARRYING VALUE OF NOTES	ANNUAL DISTRIBUTION RATE	STATED MATURITY OF THE TRUST SECURITIES
ML Preferred Capital Trust III	Jan-1998	\$	750	\$ 900	\$ 659	7.00 %	Perpetual
ML Preferred Capital Trust IV	Jun-1998		400	480	349	7.12	Perpetual
ML Preferred Capital Trust V	Nov-1998		850	1,021	762	7.28	Perpetual
ML Capital Trust I	Dec-2006		1,050	1,051	580	6.45	Dec-2066(1)
ML Capital Trust II	May-2007		950	951	907	6.45	Jun-2067(2)
ML Capital Trust III	Aug-2007		750	751	552	7.375	Sep-2067 ⁽³⁾
Total		\$	4,750	\$ 5,154	\$ 3,809		

(1) Merrill Lynch has the option to extend the maturity of the junior subordinated note untilDecember, 2086

(2) Merrill Lynch has the option to extend the maturity of the junior subordinated note untilJune, 2087.

⁽³⁾ Merrill Lynch has the option to extend the maturity of the junior subordinated note untilSeptember, 2087.

(4) Includes related investments of \$25

million.

Deposits

Deposits at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, are presented below:

(dollars in millions)

	nber 31, 2012	Dec	cember 31, 2011		
Non-U.S.					
Non-interest bearing	\$ 1,288	\$	1,153		
Interest bearing	11,585		11,211		
Total Deposits	\$ 12,873	\$	12,364		

The effective weighted-average interest rate for deposits, which includes the impact of hedges, was 0.5% and 0.7% at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The fair value of deposits approximated their carrying value at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Other

Merrill Lynch also obtains standby letters of credit from issuing banks to satisfy various counterparty collateral requirements, in lieu of depositing cash or securities collateral. Such standby letters of credit aggregated \$1.6 billion and \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

As part of Bank of America's efforts to streamline its organizational structure and reduce complexity and costs, it has reduced and intends to continue to reduce the number of its subsidiaries, including through intercompany mergers. In connection with these efforts, Bank of America may merge ML & Co. with and into Bank of America Corporation. There is no assurance such merger will occur or the timing thereof. Any such merger would be subject to applicable regulatory approvals, consents and other conditions of closing.

Note 13. Stockholder's Equity and Earnings Per Share

Preferred Equity

As of October 15, 2010, all of Merrill Lynch's 9% Mandatory Convertible Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 2, and 9% Mandatory Convertible Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 3, were automatically converted into Bank of America common stock in accordance with the terms of these securities. As a result of the conversion, there were no preferred stock dividends recorded during the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Preferred stock dividends of \$134 million were recorded in the year ended December 31, 2010.

Common Stock

As of the completion of the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America on January 1, 2009, there have been 1,000 shares of ML & Co. common stock outstanding, all of which are held by Bank of America.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive loss represents cumulative gains and losses on items that are not reflected in Merrill Lynch's net earnings (loss). The balances at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

(dollars in millions)

	D	ecember 31, 2012	Dece	ember 31, 2011
Foreign currency translation adjustment				
Unrealized losses, net of gains	\$	(770)	\$	(744)
Income taxes		814		765
Total		44		21
Unrealized losses on investment securities available-for-sale				
Net unrealized losses		_		
Income taxes		(3)		(33)
Total		(3)		(33)
Deferred losses on cash flow hedges				
Deferred losses		(1)		(1)
Income taxes		_		
Total		(1)		(1)
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans				
Net actuarial losses		(899)		(362)
Net prior service cost		(32)		(35)
Income taxes		364		175
Total		(567)		(222)
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$	(527)	\$	(235)



Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share data is not provided for the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, as Merrill Lynch was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America during that period.

Note 14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

Litigation and Regulatory Matters

In the ordinary course of business, Merrill Lynch and its subsidiaries are routinely defendants in or parties to many pending and threatened legal actions and proceedings, including actions brought on behalf of various classes of claimants. These actions and proceedings are generally based on alleged violations of securities, employment, contract and other laws. In some of these actions and proceedings, claims for substantial monetary damages are asserted against Merrill Lynch and its subsidiaries.

In the ordinary course of business, Merrill Lynch and its subsidiaries are also subject to regulatory examinations, information gathering requests, inquiries, investigations, and threatened legal actions and proceedings. Certain subsidiaries of Merrill Lynch are registered broker-dealers or investment advisors and are subject to regulation by the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"), the Financial Services Authority ("FSA") and other domestic, international and state securities regulators. In connection with formal and informal inquiries by those agencies, such subsidiaries receive numerous requests, subpoenas and orders for documents, testimony and information in connection with various aspects of their regulated activities.

In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of such litigation and regulatory matters, particularly where the claimants seek very large or indeterminate damages or where the matters present novel legal theories or involve a large number of parties, Merrill Lynch generally cannot predict what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss, fines or penalties related to each pending matter may be.

In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, Merrill Lynch establishes an accrued liability for litigation and regulatory matters when those matters present loss contingencies that are both probable and estimable. In such cases, there may be an exposure to loss in excess of any amounts accrued. When a loss contingency is not both probable and estimable, Merrill Lynch does not establish an accrued liability. As a litigation or regulatory matter develops, Merrill Lynch, in conjunction with any outside counsel handling the matter, evaluates on an ongoing basis whether such matter presents a loss contingency that is probable and estimable. If, at the time of evaluation, the loss contingency related to a litigation or regulatory matter is not both probable and estimable. Once the loss contingency related to a litigation or regulatory matter is deemed to be both probable and estimable, Merrill Lynch will establish an accrued liability with respect to such loss contingency and record a corresponding amount of litigation-related expense. Merrill Lynch continues to monitor the matter for further developments that could affect the amount of the accrued liability that has been previously established. Excluding expenses of internal or external legal service providers, litigation-related expenses of \$358 million were recognized for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared with \$740 million for the year ending December 31, 2011.

For a limited number of the matters disclosed in this Note for which a loss is probable or reasonably possible in future periods, whether in excess of a related accrued liability or where there is no accrued liability, Merrill Lynch is able to estimate a range of possible loss. In determining whether it is possible to provide an estimate of loss or range of possible loss, Merrill Lynch reviews and evaluates its material litigation and regulatory matters on an ongoing basis, in conjunction with any outside counsel handling the matter, in light of potentially relevant factual and legal developments. These may include information learned through the discovery process, rulings on dispositive motions, settlement discussions, and other rulings by courts, arbitrators or others. In cases in which Merrill Lynch possesses sufficient appropriate information to develop an estimate of loss or range of possible loss, that estimate is



aggregated and disclosed below. There may be other disclosed matters for which a loss is probable or reasonably possible but such an estimate may not be possible. For those matters where an estimate is possible, management currently estimates the aggregate range of possible loss is approximately \$0 to \$650 million in excess of the accrued liability (if any) related to those matters. This estimated range of possible loss is based upon currently available information and is subject to significant judgment and a variety of assumptions, and known and unknown uncertainties. The matters underlying the estimated range will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate. Those matters for which an estimate is not possible are not included within this estimated range. Therefore, this estimated range of possible loss represents what Merrill Lynch believes to be an estimate of possible loss only for certain matters meeting these criteria. It does not represent Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure. Information is provided below regarding the nature of all of these contingencies and, where specified, the amount of the claim associated with these loss contingencies. Based on current knowledge, management does not believe that loss contingencies arising from pending matters, including the matters described herein, will have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or liquidity of Merrill Lynch. However, in light of the inherent uncertainties involved in these matters, some of which are beyond Merrill Lynch's control, and the very large or indeterminate damages sought in some of these matters, an adverse outcome in one or more of these matters could be material to Merrill Lynch's results of operations or cash flows for any particular reporting period.

Auction Rate Securities ("ARS") Litigation

Since October 2007, ML & Co. and certain affiliates have been named as defendants in a variety of lawsuits and other proceedings brought by customers, both individual and institutional investors, and issuers regarding ARS. These actions generally allege that defendants: (i) misled plaintiffs into believing that there was a deeply liquid market for ARS, and (ii) failed to adequately disclose their or their affiliates' practice of placing their own bids to support ARS auctions. Plaintiffs assert that ARS auctions started failing from August 2007 through February 2008 when defendants and other broker-dealers stopped placing those "support bids." In addition to the matters described in more detail below, arbitrations and individual lawsuits have been filed against ML & Co. and certain affiliates by parties who purchased ARS and are seeking relief that includes compensatory and punitive damages and rescission, among other relief.

Antitrust Actions

On September 4, 2008, two putative antitrust class actions were filed against ML & Co., Bank of America and other financial institutions in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Plaintiffs in both actions assert federal antitrust claims under Section 1 of the Sherman Act based on allegations that defendants conspired to restrain trade in ARS by placing support bids in ARS auctions, only to collectively withdraw those bids in February 2008, which allegedly caused ARS auctions to fail. In the first action, *Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, Maryland v. Citigroup, Inc., et al.,* plaintiff seeks to represent a class of issuers of ARS that defendants underwrote between May 12, 2003 and February 13, 2008. This issuer action seeks to recover, among other relief, the alleged above-market interest payments that ARS issuers allegedly have had to make after defendants allegedly stopped placing "support bids" in ARS auctions. In the second action, *Mayfield, et al. v. Citigroup, Inc., et al.*, plaintiff seeks to represent a class of investors that purchased ARS from defendants and held those securities when ARS auctions failed on February 13, 2008. Plaintiff seeks to recover, among other relief, unspecified damages for losses in the ARS' market value, and rescission of the investors' ARS purchases. Both actions also seek treble damages and attorneys' fees under the Sherman Act's private civil remedy. On January 25, 2010, the court dismissed both actions with prejudice and plaintiffs' respective appeals are currently pending in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

Benistar Litigation

In *Gail A. Cahaly, et al. v. Benistar Property Exchange Trust Company, Inc, et al.*, a matter filed on August 1, 2001, in Massachusetts Superior Court, Suffolk County, plaintiffs allege that MLPF&S aided and abetted a fraud and breach of fiduciary duty allegedly perpetrated by Benistar, a former client of MLPF&S. In 2002, following a trial, a jury rendered a verdict requiring MLPF&S to pay plaintiffs \$8.6 million in compensatory damages. After the court granted MLPF&S's motion to vacate the verdict, the court granted plaintiffs' motion for a new trial. On June 25, 2009, the jury in the second trial found in favor of plaintiffs on all counts. Plaintiffs filed discovery-related sanctions motions, as well as a petition seeking attorneys' fees and costs. On January 11, 2011, the court issued rulings denying plaintiffs' request for sanctions and punitive damages but awarding consequential damages and attorneys' fees to plaintiffs in an amount not material to Merrill Lynch's results of operations. Plaintiffs and MLPF&S agreed to settle for an amount not material to Merrill Lynch's results of operations.

"Good Reason" Litigation

Since 2009, MLPF&S and certain affiliates have been named as defendants in lawsuits and arbitrations brought by former Merrill Lynch employees, primarily financial advisors, who participated in certain Merrill Lynch equity and contingent long term incentive compensation plans (the "Plans"). These actions generally allege that the former employees had "good reason" to resign as that term is defined under the change in control provisions of the applicable Plans and, as such, are entitled to immediate vesting and payment of forfeited awards and/or monetary sums under those Plans. In addition to litigation and arbitration, additional employees or their representatives have sent letters seeking payment directly from Merrill Lynch.

In addition, a putative class action was filed in October 2009, entitled *Chambers, et al. v. Merrill Lynch & Co., et al.,* in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, seeking certification of a putative class of financial advisors and seeking damages and other payments under the good reason provisions of certain contingent incentive compensation plans. On November 26, 2012, the parties entered into an agreement to settle *Chambers.* The settlement amount has been fully accrued. On December 13, 2012, the court issued an order granting preliminary approval to the settlement and providing for notice to potential class members. The agreement is subject to the court's final approval. Other actions asserting good reason claims remain pending.

In re Bank of America Securities, Derivative and Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) Litigation

Beginning in January 2009, Bank of America, ML & Co. and/or certain of their current and former officers and directors, among others, were named as defendants in a variety of securities actions filed in federal courts in connection with securities filings by Bank of America and Merrill Lynch. The securities filings contained information with respect to events that took place from September 2008 through January 2009 contemporaneous with Bank of America's acquisition of Merrill Lynch (the "Acquisition"). Certain federal court actions were consolidated and/or coordinated in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York under the caption *In re Bank of America Securities, Derivative and Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) Litigation* (the "Consolidated Action").

The claims in these actions generally concern alleged material misrepresentations and/or omissions with respect to: (i) the Acquisition; (ii) the financial condition of and 2008 fourth-quarter losses experienced by Bank of America and Merrill Lynch; (iii) due diligence conducted in connection with the Acquisition; (iv) the terms of the Acquisition agreements regarding Merrill Lynch's ability to pay bonuses to Merrill Lynch employees of up to \$5.8 billion for the year 2008; (v) Bank of America's discussions with government officials in December 2008, regarding Bank of America's consideration of invoking the material adverse change clause in the Acquisition agreement; (vi) Bank of America's discussions with government assistance in completing the Acquisition; and/or (vii) the proxy statement and related materials for the Acquisition.

Plaintiffs ("Securities Plaintiffs") in the securities class action in the Consolidated Action (the "Consolidated Securities Class Action"), a consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, asserted claims under Sections 14(a), 10(b), and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), and Sections 11, 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") and asserted damages based on



the drop in the stock price upon subsequent disclosures. In February 2012, the court granted a motion for class certification. On November 30, 2012, the parties entered into a settlement agreement. The agreement, which is subject to court approval, provides for a payment by Bank of America of \$2.425 billion, and the institution and/or continuation of certain Bank of America corporate governance enhancements until the later of January 1, 2015 or eighteen months following the court's final approval of the settlement. In exchange, Securities Plaintiffs released their claims against all defendants and certain other persons or entities affiliated with defendants. On December 4, 2012, the court issued an order granting preliminary approval of the settlement and scheduling a final hearing for April 5, 2013.

Certain shareholders have opted to pursue Acquisition-related claims under the Exchange Act and/or Securities Act apart from the consolidated class action, and these individual actions have been coordinated for pre-trial purposes in the Consolidated Securities Class Action. These individual plaintiffs assert substantially the same facts and claims as the class action plaintiffs.

Mediafiction Litigation

In 1999, MLIB acted as manager for a \$284 million issuance of notes for an Italian library of movies, backed by future receivables to such movie rights. Mediafiction S.p.A ("Mediafiction") was responsible for forwarding payments to MLIB for distribution to note holders. Following Mediafiction's bankruptcy, on July 18, 2006, MLIB filed an opposition before the Tribunal of the Court of Rome to have its claims recognized in the Mediafiction bankruptcy proceeding. Thereafter, Mediafiction filed a counterclaim seeking return of payments previously made to MLIB. In October 2008, the Court of Rome granted Mediafiction's counterclaim against MLIB in the amount of \$137 million. While the matter was on appeal, on December 19, 2012, the parties settled for an amount not material to Merrill Lynch's results of operations.

Mortgage-Backed Securities ("MBS") Litigation

Merrill Lynch entities and their affiliates have been named as defendants in a number of cases relating to their various roles as issuer, originator, seller, depositor, sponsor, underwriter and/or controlling entity in MBS offerings, pursuant to which the MBS investors were entitled to a portion of the cash flow from the underlying pools of mortgages. These cases generally include actions by individual MBS purchasers. Although the allegations vary by lawsuit, these cases generally allege that the registration statements, prospectuses and prospectus supplements for securities issued by securitization trusts contained material misrepresentations and omissions, in violation of Sections 11, 12 and/or 15 of the Securities Act of 1933, Sections 10(b) and/or 20 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and/or state securities laws and other state statutory and/or common laws.

These cases generally involve allegations of false and misleading statements regarding (i) the process by which the properties that served as collateral for the mortgage loans underlying the MBS were appraised; (ii) the percentage of equity that mortgage borrowers had in their homes; (iii) the borrowers' ability to repay their mortgage loans; (iv) the underwriting practices by which those mortgage loans were originated; (v) the ratings given to the different tranches of MBS by rating agencies; and (vi) the validity of each issuing trusts' title to the mortgage loans comprising the pool for the securitization (collectively, "MBS Claims"). Plaintiffs in these cases generally seek unspecified compensatory damages, unspecified costs and legal fees and, in some instances, seek rescission. A number of other entities have threatened legal actions against Merrill Lynch and its affiliates concerning MBS offerings. On January 11, 2013, Merrill Lynch reached a settlement in principle to resolve claims concerning certain MBS offerings that the National Credit Union Administration (the "NCUA") had threatened to bring against Merrill Lynch and certain of its affiliates. The agreement is subject to the negotiation and execution of mutually agreeable settlement documentation and approval by the NCUA board. The settlement amount will be covered by existing reserves.

AIG Litigation

On August 8, 2011, American International Group, Inc. and certain of its affiliates (collectively, "AIG") filed a complaint in New York Supreme Court, New York County, in a case entitled *American International Group, Inc. et al. v. Bank of America Corporation et al.* AIG has named, among others, Merrill Lynch and a number of its related entities as defendants. AIG's complaint asserts certain MBS Claims pertaining to 158 MBS offerings and two MBS private placements relating to Merrill Lynch entities, in which AIG alleges that it purchased securities between 2005 and 2007.



AIG seeks rescission of its purchases or a rescissory measure of damages or, in the alternative, compensatory damages of not less than \$10 billion, punitive damages and other unspecified relief. Defendants removed the case to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The district court denied AIG's motion to remand the case to state court.

On April 24, 2012, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit granted plaintiffs' petition for leave to appeal the ruling of the district court in the Southern District of New York denying plaintiffs' motion to remand the case to the New York Supreme Court. The appeal is pending.

Federal Housing Finance Agency Litigation

On September 2, 2011, the Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA"), as conservator for FNMA and Freddie Mac ("FHLMC"), filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Bank of America, Merrill Lynch-related entities, and certain current and former officers and directors of these entities. The actions are entitled *Federal Housing Finance Agency v. Bank of America Corporation, et al.* (the "FHFA Bank of America Litigation") and *Federal Housing Finance Agency v. Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc., et al.* (the "FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation"). The complaints assert certain MBS Claims relating to MBS issued and/or underwritten by Bank of America, Merrill Lynch and related entities in 23 MBS offerings and in 72 MBS offerings, respectively, between 2005 and 2008 and allegedly purchased by either FNMA or FHLMC. The FHFA seeks among other relief, rescission of the consideration FNMA and FHLMC paid for the securities or alternatively damages allegedly incurred by FNMA and FHLMC, including consequential damages. The FHFA also seeks recovery of punitive damages in the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation action.

The FHFA Bank of America Litigation and the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation, along with fourteen other cases filed by the FHFA against other financial institutions, have been coordinated before a single judge in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. One action, *FHFA v. UBS Americas, Inc., et al* (the "UBS Action"), was designated the lead action with respect to allegations and claims common to the pending FHFA cases. On May 4, 2012, the court denied in part and granted in part a motion to dismiss in the UBS Action. The court subsequently denied motions to dismiss in the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation and the FHFA Bank of America Litigation on November 8, 2012 and November 28, 2012, respectively. On August 14, 2012, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit granted the UBS defendants' application for an interlocutory appeal of the district court's ruling pertaining to the statute of repose on the federal and state securities law claims and the statute of limitations on the FHFA has asserted similar claims in the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation and the FHFA has asserted similar claims in the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation and the fHFA has asserted similar claims in the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation and the FHFA has asserted similar claims in the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation and the fHFA has asserted similar claims in the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation and the FHFA has asserted similar claims in the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation and the FHFA has asserted similar claims in the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation and the FHFA has asserted similar claims in the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation and the FHFA has asserted similar claims in the FHFA Merrill Lynch Litigation and the FHFA Bank of America Litigation.

Regulatory Investigations

Merrill Lynch has received a number of subpoenas and other requests for information from regulators and governmental authorities regarding MBS and other mortgage-related matters, including inquiries, investigations and potential proceedings related to a number of transactions involving Merrill Lynch's underwriting and issuance of MBS and its participation in certain CDO offerings. These inquiries and investigations include, among others: an investigation by the SEC related to Merrill Lynch's risk control, valuation, structuring, marketing and purchase of CDOs, and an investigation by the New York State Attorney General concerning the purchase, securitization and underwriting of mortgage loans and MBS. Merrill Lynch has provided documents and testimony and continues to cooperate fully with these inquiries and investigations.

Merrill Lynch may also be subject to contractual indemnification obligations in the MBS matter discussed above.

Rosen Capital Partners LP & Rosen Capital Institutional LP's FINRA Arbitration

On May 28, 2008, two former hedge fund clients of Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corporation ("MLPCC"), Rosen Capital Partners LP and Rosen Capital Institutional LP (collectively, the "Rosen Funds"), filed a statement of claim asserting claims for breach of contract, fraud, and negligence against MLPCC in connection with alleged losses in the fall of 2008. On July 5, 2011, a FINRA panel awarded the Rosen Funds \$64 million plus pre-judgment interest. On December 23, 2011, the California Superior Court granted the Rosen Funds' motion to confirm the award and on February 15, 2012 entered judgment on the award and pre-judgment interest, an amount that was fully accrued. On February 5, 2013, the Court of Appeal affirmed the decision of the California Superior Court.

Commitments

At December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch's commitments had the following expirations:

(dollars in millions)

			Commitme	nt exp	biration	
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years		3-5 Years	Over 5 Years
Lending commitments	\$ 5,248	\$ 1,556	\$ 1,260	\$	2,306	\$ 126
Purchasing and other commitments	3,405	1,586	962		636	221
Operating leases	3,139	736	951		693	759
Commitments to enter into resale and securities borrowing agreements	66,884	66,884	_		_	_
Commitments to enter into repurchase and securities lending agreements	42,333	42,333	_		_	_
Total	\$ 121,009	\$ 113,095	\$ 3,173	\$	3,635	\$ 1,106

Lending Commitments

Merrill Lynch enters into commitments to extend credit, predominantly at variable interest rates, in connection with corporate finance, corporate and institutional transactions and asset-based lending transactions. Clients may also be extended loans or lines of credit collateralized by first and second mortgages on real estate, certain liquid assets of small businesses, or securities. These commitments usually have a fixed expiration date and are contingent on certain contractual conditions that may require payment of a fee by the counterparty. Once commitments are drawn upon, Merrill Lynch may require the counterparty to post collateral depending upon creditworthiness and general market conditions. See Note 10 for additional information.

Commitments to extend credit are outstanding as of the date the commitment letter is issued and are comprised of closed and contingent commitments. Closed commitments represent the unfunded portion of existing commitments available for draw down. Contingent commitments are contingent on the borrower fulfilling certain conditions or upon a particular event, such as an acquisition. A portion of these contingent commitments may be syndicated among other lenders or the counterparty may replace the commitment with capital markets funding.

The contractual amounts of these commitments represent the amounts at risk should the contract be fully drawn upon, the client defaults, and the value of the existing collateral becomes worthless. The total amount of outstanding commitments may not represent future cash requirements, as commitments may expire without being drawn.

For lending commitments where the loan will be classified as held for sale upon funding, liabilities associated with unfunded commitments are calculated at the lower of cost or fair value, capturing declines in the fair value of the respective credit risk. For loan commitments where the loan will be classified as held for investment upon funding, liabilities are calculated considering both market and historical loss rates. Loan commitments either held by entities that apply the Broker-Dealer Guide or for which the fair value option was elected are accounted for at fair value.

Purchasing and Other Commitments

At December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch had commitments to purchase loans of \$1.3 billion, which, upon settlement of the commitment, will be included in trading assets, loans held for investment or loans held for sale. Such commitments totaled \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2011. Merrill Lynch has also entered into agreements with providers of market data, communications, systems consulting, and other office-related services. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, minimum fee commitments over the remaining life of these agreements totaled \$1.2 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively. Other purchasing commitments to purchase partnership interests, primarily related to private equity and principal investing activities, at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 of \$0.1 billion and \$0.3 billion, respectively.

In the normal course of business, Merrill Lynch enters into commitments for underwriting transactions. Settlement of these transactions as of December 31, 2012 would not have a material effect on the Consolidated Balance Sheet of Merrill Lynch.

In connection with trading activities, Merrill Lynch enters into commitments to enter into resale and securities borrowing and also repurchase and securities lending agreements.

Operating Leases

(dollars in millions)

Merrill Lynch has entered into various non-cancelable long-term lease agreements for premises that expire through 2028. Merrill Lynch has also entered into various non-cancelable short-term lease agreements, which are primarily commitments of less than one year under equipment leases.

At December 31, 2012, future non-cancelable minimum rental commitments are as follows:

(dollars in millions)		Total
2013	\$	736
2014		506
2015		445
2016		388
2017		305
	2018 and thereafter	759
Total	<u>\$</u>	3,139

Net rent expense for each of the last three years is presented below:

		ear Ended cember 31,	
	 2012	2011	2010
Rent expense	\$ 752 \$	821 \$	838
Sublease revenue	(89)	(98)	(137)
Net rent expense	\$ 663 \$	723 \$	701



Guarantees

Merrill Lynch issues various guarantees to counterparties in connection with certain transactions. Merrill Lynch's guarantee arrangements and their expiration at December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows (see Note 6 for information related to derivative financial instruments within the scope of Guarantees Accounting):

(dollars in millions)

			Ex	pirati	ion		
	Maximum Payout	 Less than 1 year	1-3 years		3-5 years	Over 5 years	Carrying Value
Standby liquidity facilities	\$ 125	\$ 106	\$ _	\$	3	\$ 16	\$ _
Residual value guarantees	320	320	_		_	—	—
Standby letters of credit and other guarantees	491	333	112		37	9	_

Standby Liquidity Facilities

Standby liquidity facilities are primarily comprised of liquidity facilities provided to certain unconsolidated municipal bond securitization VIEs. In these arrangements, Merrill Lynch is required to fund these standby liquidity facilities if certain contingent events take place (e.g., a failed remarketing) and in certain cases if the fair value of the assets held by the VIE declines below the stated amount of the liquidity obligation. The potential exposure under the facilities is mitigated by economic hedges and/or other contractual arrangements entered into by Merrill Lynch. Based upon historical activity, it is considered remote that future payments would need to be made under these guarantees.

Refer to Note 9 for further information.

Residual Value Guarantees

At December 31, 2012, residual value guarantees of \$320 million consist of amounts associated with certain power plant facilities. Payments under these guarantees would only be required if the fair value of such assets declined below their guaranteed value. As of December 31, 2012, no payments have been made under these guarantees and the carrying value of the associated liabilities was not material, as (i) Merrill Lynch believes that the estimated fair value of such assets was in excess of their guaranteed value and/or (ii) there is a very remote risk of future payment pursuant to the remaining contractual provisions.

Standby Letters of Credit

At December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch provided guarantees to certain counterparties in the form of standby letters of credit in the amount of \$0.5 billion. Payment risk is evaluated based upon historical payment activity.



Representations and Warranties

<u>Background</u>

In prior years, Merrill Lynch and certain of its subsidiaries, including First Franklin Financial Corporation ("First Franklin"), sold pools of first-lien residential mortgage loans and home equity loans as private-label securitizations (in a limited number of these securitizations, monolines insured all or some of the securities) or in the form of whole loans. Most of the loans sold in the form of whole loans were subsequently pooled into private-label securitizations sponsored by the third-party buyer of the whole loans. In addition, Merrill Lynch and First Franklin securitized first-lien residential mortgage loans generally in the form of mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by the government sponsored enterprises (the "GSEs"). In connection with these transactions, Merrill Lynch made various representations and warranties. These representations and warranties, as set forth in the agreements, related to, among other things, the ownership of the loan for inclusion in a transaction, the loan's compliance with any applicable loan criteria, including underwriting standards, and the loan's compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws. Breaches of these representations and warranties may result in the requirement to repurchase mortgage loans or to otherwise make whole or provide other remedies to the GSEs, whole-loan investors, securitization trusts or monoline insurers (collectively, "repurchases"). In all such cases, Merrill Lynch would be exposed to any credit loss on the repurchased mortgage loans after accounting for any mortgage insurance or mortgage guarantee payments that it may receive.

Subject to the requirements and limitations of the applicable sales and securitization agreements, these representations and warranties can be enforced by the GSEs, the whole-loan investor, the securitization trustee, or others as governed by the applicable agreement or, in a limited number of first-lien and home equity securitizations where monoline insurers have insured all or some of the securities issued, by the monoline insurer, where the contract so provides. In the case of loans sold to parties other than the GSEs, the contractual liability to repurchase typically arises only if there is a breach of the representations and warranties that materially and adversely affects the interest of the investor, or investors, or of the monoline insurer (as applicable) in the loan. Contracts with the GSEs do not contain equivalent language. Merrill Lynch believes that the longer a loan performs prior to default, the less likely it is that an alleged underwriting breach of representations and warranties would have a material impact on the loan's performance. Historically, most demands for repurchase have occurred within the first several years after origination, generally after a loan has defaulted.

Merrill Lynch's credit loss would be reduced by any recourse it may have to originators (e.g., correspondents) that, in turn, had sold such loans to Merrill Lynch based upon its agreements with these originators. When a loan is originated by a correspondent or other third party, Merrill Lynch typically has the right to seek a recovery of related repurchase losses from that originator. Many of the correspondent originators of loans in 2004 through 2008 are no longer in business, or are in a weakened condition, and Merrill Lynch's ability to recover on valid claims is therefore impacted, or eliminated accordingly.

The fair value of the obligations to be absorbed under the representations and warranties provided is recorded as an accrued liability when the loans are sold. This liability for probable losses is updated by accruing a representations and warranties provision in Non-interest expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss). This is done throughout the life of the loans, as necessary, when additional relevant information becomes available.

The estimate of the liability for representations and warranties exposures, and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss, is based upon currently available information, significant judgment, and a number of factors, including those discussed under "Liability for Representations and Warranties" in this Note, that are subject to change. Changes to any one of these factors could significantly impact the estimate of the liability and could have a material adverse impact on Merrill Lynch's results of operations for any particular period. Given that these factors vary by counterparty, Merrill Lynch analyzes representations and warranties obligations based on the specific counterparty, or type of counterparty, with whom the sale was made.

Settlement Actions

Merrill Lynch has vigorously contested any request for repurchase when it concludes that a valid basis for repurchase does not exist and will continue to do so in the future. Merrill Lynch may reach settlements in the future if opportunities arise on terms it believes to be advantageous.

Bank of America's settlement (the "BNY Mellon Settlement") with the Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee (the "Trustee"), is subject to final court approval and certain other conditions. On August 10, 2012, the court issued an order setting a schedule for discovery and other proceedings, and setting May 2, 2013 as the date for the final court hearing on the settlement to begin. Bank of America and Merrill Lynch are not parties to the proceeding. If final court approval is not obtained by December 31, 2015, Bank of America may withdraw from the BNY Mellon Settlement, if the Trustee consents. The BNY Mellon Settlement also provides that if trusts among the 525 first-lien and five second-lien non-GSE securitization trusts holding loans with an unpaid principal balance exceeding a specified amount are excluded from the final BNY Mellon Settlement, based on investor objections or otherwise, Bank of America has the option to withdraw from the BNY Mellon Settlement agreement.

It is not currently possible to predict how many parties will ultimately object to the BNY Mellon Settlement, whether the objections will prevent receipt of final court approval or the ultimate outcome of the court approval process, which can include appeals and could take a substantial period of time. In particular, conduct of discovery and the resolution of the objections to the settlement and any appeals could take a substantial period of time and these factors could materially delay the timing of final court approval. Accordingly, it is not possible to predict when the court approval process will be completed.

There can be no assurance that final court approval of the BNY Mellon Settlement will be obtained, that all conditions to the BNY Mellon Settlement will be satisfied or, if certain conditions to the BNY Mellon Settlement permitting withdrawal are met, that Bank of America will not withdraw from the settlement. If final court approval is not obtained or if Bank of America withdraws from the BNY Mellon Settlement in accordance with its terms, Merrill Lynch's future representations and warranties losses could be substantially different than existing accruals and the estimated range of possible loss over existing accruals.

Unresolved Repurchase Claims

Unresolved representations and warranties repurchase claims represent the notional amount of repurchase claims made by counterparties, typically the outstanding principal balance or the unpaid principal balance at the time of default. In the case of first-lien mortgages, this amount is often significantly greater than the expected loss amount due to the benefit of collateral and, in some cases, mortgage insurance or mortgage guarantee payments. Claims received from a counterparty remain outstanding until the underlying loan is repurchased, the claim is rescinded by the counterparty, or the claim is otherwise resolved.

The notional amount of unresolved repurchase claims from private-label securitization trustees, whole-loan investors and others increased to \$5.8 billion at December 31, 2012 compared with \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2011. The increase in the notional amount of unresolved repurchase claims is primarily due to increases in submissions of claims by private-label securitization trustees; the level of detail, support and analysis impacting overall claim quality, and, therefore, claims resolution; and the lack of an established process to resolve disputes related to these claims. Merrill Lynch anticipated an increase in aggregate non-GSE claims at the time of the BNY Mellon Settlement in June 2011, and such increase in aggregate non-GSE claims was taken into consideration in developing the increase in Merrill Lynch's representations and warranties liability at that time. Although recent claims activity has been lower than anticipated, Merrill Lynch expects unresolved repurchase claims related to private-label securitization trustees, and there is not an established process for the ultimate resolution of claims on which there is a disagreement.

Table of Contents

The table below presents unresolved representations and warranties claims by counterparty at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The unresolved repurchase claims include only claims where Merrill Lynch believes that the counterparty has a basis to submit claims. During the year ended December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch received \$4.8 billion of new repurchase claims, which consists of approximately \$4.7 billion from private-label securitization trustees, \$54 million from GSEs, \$22 million from whole-loan investors and \$17 million from monoline investors.

Unresolved Repurchase Claims by Counterparty

(dollars in millions)

	December 3	31,
	2012	2011
GSEs	\$ 93 \$	65
Monoline	147	136
Whole-loan investors, private-label securitization trustees and other	5,805	1,101
Total	\$ 6,045 \$	1,302

Of the \$6,045 million of total unresolved repurchase claims as of December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch believes that for \$4,922 million, a valid defect has not been identified which would constitute an actionable breach of representations and warranties. The remaining \$1,123 million of claims are in the process of review. When a claim has been denied and there has not been communication with the counterparty for six months, Merrill Lynch views these claims as inactive; however, they remain in the unresolved repurchase claims balance until resolution.

In addition to the total unresolved repurchase claims above, there are \$1.3 billion in repurchase demands outstanding from a master servicer where Merrill Lynch believes the claimant has not satisfied the contractual thresholds to direct the securitization trustee to take action and/or that these demands are otherwise procedurally or substantively invalid. Merrill Lynch does not believe the \$1.3 billion in demands received are valid repurchase claims, and therefore, it is not possible to predict the resolution with respect to such demands.

Cash Settlements

As presented in the table below, during the year ended December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch paid \$73 million to resolve \$73 million of repurchase claims through repurchase or reimbursement to investors or securitization trusts for losses they incurred, resulting in a loss on the related loans at the time of repurchase or reimbursement of \$62 million. During the year ended December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch paid \$58 million to resolve \$62 million of repurchase claims through repurchase or reimbursement to investors or securitization trusts for losses they incurred, resulting in a loss on the related loans at the time of repurchase or reimbursement of \$48 million. Cash paid for loan repurchases includes the unpaid principal balance of the loan plus past due interest. The amount of loss for loan repurchases is reduced by the fair value of the underlying loan collateral. The repurchase of loans and indemnification payments related to repurchase claims generally resulted from material breaches of representations and warranties related to the loans' material compliance with the applicable underwriting standards, including borrower misrepresentations and warranties made in a sales transaction and the resulting repurchase and indemnification activity can vary by transaction or investor. A direct relationship between the type of defect that causes the breach of representations and warranties and the severity of the realized loss has not been observed.

(dollars in millions)

		Year Ender December 3	
	201	2	2011
Claims resolved (1)	\$	73 \$	62
Repurchases		14	12
Indemnification payments		59	46
Total payments	\$	73 \$	58

(1) Represents unpaid principal balance.

Liability for Representations and Warranties

The liability for representations and warranties is included in Interest and other payables on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the related provision is included in Non-interest expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss). The liability for representations and warranties is established when those obligations are both probable and reasonably estimable.

Merrill Lynch's estimated liability at December 31, 2012 for representations and warranties exposures and the corresponding range of possible loss considers, and is necessarily dependent on and limited by, a number of factors, including, depending on the counterparty, actual defaults, projected future defaults, historical loss experience, estimated home prices, other economic conditions, estimated probability that a repurchase claim will be received, including consideration of whether presentation thresholds will be met, number of payments made by the borrower prior to default and estimated probability that a loan will be required to be repurchased as well as other relevant facts and circumstances, such as bulk settlements, including those of its affiliates, and identity of the counterparty or type of counterparty, as Merrill Lynch believes appropriate.

Merrill Lynch's estimate of the non-GSE representations and warranties liability and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss considers, among other things, repurchase experience based on the BNY Mellon Settlement, and assumes that the conditions to the BNY Mellon Settlement will be satisfied and also takes into account more recent experience, such as claims and file requests, where relevant. Since the non-GSE securitization trusts that were included in the BNY Mellon Settlement differ from those that were not included in the BNY Mellon Settlement, Merrill Lynch adjusted the repurchase experience implied in the settlement in order to determine the

Table of Contents

estimated representations and warranties liability and the corresponding range of possible loss. The judgmental adjustments made include consideration of the differences in the mix of products in the securitizations, loan originator, likelihood of claims expected, the differences in the number of payments that the borrower has made prior to default, and the sponsor of the securitization. Where relevant, Merrill Lynch also takes into account more recent experience, such as increased claims and other facts and circumstances, such as bulk settlements, as Merrill Lynch believes appropriate.

Additional factors that impact the non-GSE representations and warranties liability and the portion of the estimated range of possible loss corresponding to non-GSE representations and warranties exposures include: (1) contractual material adverse effect requirements; (2) the representations and warranties provided; and (3) the requirement to meet certain presentation thresholds. The first factor is based on Merrill Lynch's belief that a non-GSE contractual liability to repurchase a loan generally arises only if the counterparties prove there is a breach of representations and warranties that materially and adversely affects the interest of the investor or all investors, or of the monoline insurer or other financial guarantor (as applicable), in a securitization trust, and accordingly, Merrill Lynch believes that the repurchase claimants must prove that the alleged representations and warranties breach was the cause of the loss. The second factor is based on the differences in the types of representations and warranties given in non-GSE securitizations from those provided to the GSEs. Merrill Lynch believes the non-GSE securitizations' representations and warranties are less rigorous and actionable than the explicit provisions of comparable agreements with the GSEs without regard to any variations that may have arisen as a result of dealings with the GSEs. The third factor is related to certain presentation thresholds that need to be met in order for any repurchase claim to be asserted on the initiative of investors under the non-GSE agreements. A securitization trustee may investigate or demand repurchase on its own action, and most agreements contain a presentation threshold, for example, 25% of the voting rights per trust, that allows investors to declare a servicing event of default under certain circumstances or to request certain action, such as requesting loan files, that the trustee may choose to accept and follow, exempt from liability, provided the trustee is acting in good faith. If there is an uncured servicing event of default and the trustee fails to bring suit during a 60-day period, then, under most agreements, investors may file suit. In addition to this, most agreements also allow investors to direct the securitization trustee to investigate loan files or demand the repurchase of loans, if security holders hold a specified percentage, for example, 25%, of the voting rights of each tranche of the outstanding securities.

Although Merrill Lynch continues to believe that presentation thresholds are a factor in the determination of probable loss, given the BNY Mellon Settlement, the estimated range of possible loss assumes that the presentation threshold can be met for all of the non-GSE securitization transactions. Claimants have come forward and Merrill Lynch believes it is probable that other claimants in certain types of securitizations may continue to come forward with claims that meet the requirements of the terms of the securitizations.

The table below presents a rollforward of the liability for representations and warranties and includes the provisions for representations and warranties exposures recorded in the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended Dece	ember 31
	 2012	2011
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 2,847 \$	213
Charge-offs	(82)	(97)
Provision	(754)	2,731
Balance, end of period	\$ 2,011 \$	2,847

As a result of the BNY Mellon Settlement in the second quarter of 2011, Merrill Lynch determined that it had sufficient experience to record a liability of \$2.7 billion in that period related to its exposure on certain private-label securitizations. Recent levels of claims and file requests with certain counterparties have been less than originally

anticipated and, as a result, Merrill Lynch recorded a reduction to non-interest expenses of \$754 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 that lowered the liability for representations and warranties exposures, as a portion of the loss was no longer deemed probable. The estimate of the liability for representations and warranties is based on currently available information, significant judgment and a number of other factors that are subject to change. Changes to any one of these factors could significantly impact the estimate of the liability and could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations for any particular period.

Estimated Range of Possible Loss

The representations and warranties liability represents Merrill Lynch's best estimate of probable incurred losses as of December 31, 2012. However, it is reasonably possible that future representations and warranties losses may occur in excess of the amounts recorded for these exposures. In addition, the BNY Mellon Settlement did not provide sufficient experience related to certain private-label securitizations sponsored by whole-loan investors. As it relates to certain private-label securitizations sponsored by whole-loan investors and certain whole loan sales, it is not possible to determine whether a loss has occurred or is probable and, therefore, no representations and warranties liability has been recorded in connection with these transactions.

Merrill Lynch currently estimates that the range of possible loss for representations and warranties exposures, consisting primarily of non-GSE exposures, could be up to \$1.1 billion over accruals at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$0.6 billion from December 31, 2011. The increase in the range of possible loss was primarily attributable to the reduction in Merrill Lynch's liability for representations and warranties exposures discussed above. The estimated range of possible loss related to these representations and warranties exposures does not represent a probable loss and is based on currently available information, significant judgment, and a number of assumptions, including those set forth below, that are subject to change.

Future provisions and/or ranges of possible loss for representations and warranties may be significantly impacted if actual experiences are different from Merrill Lynch's assumptions in its predictive models, including, without limitation, the ultimate resolution of the BNY Mellon Settlement, estimated repurchase rates, economic conditions, estimated home prices, consumer and counterparty behavior, and a variety of other judgmental factors. Adverse developments with respect to one or more of the assumptions underlying the liability for representations and warranties and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss could result in significant increases to future provisions and/or this estimated range of possible loss. For example, if courts, in the context of claims brought by private-label securitization trustees, were to disagree with Merrill Lynch's interpretation that the underlying agreements require a claimant to prove that the representations and warranties breach was the cause of the loss, it could significantly impact the estimated range of possible loss.

Additionally, if recent court rulings related to monoline litigation, including one related to an affiliate of Merrill Lynch, that have allowed sampling of loan files instead of requiring a loan-by-loan review to determine if a representations and warranties breach has occurred are followed generally by the courts in other monoline litigation, private-label securitization counterparties may view litigation as a more attractive alternative compared to a loan-by-loan review. Finally, although Merrill Lynch believes that the representations and warranties typically given in non-GSE transactions are less rigorous and actionable than those given in GSE transactions, Merrill Lynch does not have significant experience resolving loan-level claims in non-GSE transactions to measure the impact of these differences on the probability that a loan will be required to be repurchased.

The liability for representations and warranties exposures and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss do not consider any losses related to litigation matters disclosed herein, nor do they include any potential securities law or fraud claims or potential indemnity or other claims against Merrill Lynch. Merrill Lynch is not able to reasonably estimate the amount of any possible loss with respect to any such securities law, fraud or other claims against Merrill Lynch, except to the extent reflected in the aggregate range of possible loss for litigation and regulatory matters disclosed herein; however, such loss could be material.

Whole Loan Sales and Private-label Securitizations Experience

The majority of repurchase claims that Merrill Lynch has received are from private-label securitization trustees or whole-loan investors on loans sold by ML & Co.'s subsidiary, First Franklin. Merrill Lynch provided representations and warranties, and the whole-loan investors may retain those rights even when the loans were aggregated with other collateral into private-label securitizations sponsored by the whole-loan investors. Merrill Lynch reviews properly presented repurchase claims for these whole loans on a loan-by-loan basis. If, after Merrill Lynch's review, it does not believe a claim is valid, it will deny the claim and generally indicate a reason for the denial. When the counterparty agrees with Merrill Lynch's denial of the claim, the counterparty may rescind the claim. When there is disagreement as to the resolution of the claim, meaningful dialogue and negotiation between the parties are generally necessary to reach a resolution, either through loan-by-loan negotiation or at times, through a bulk settlement. Although the timeline for resolution varies, once an actionable breach is identified on a given loan, settlement is generally reached as to that loan within 60 to 90 days. When a claim has been denied and Merrill Lynch does not have communication with the counterparty for six months, Merrill Lynch views these claims as inactive; however, they remain in the unresolved repurchase claims balance until resolution.

In private-label securitizations, certain presentation thresholds need to be met in order for investors to direct a trustee to bring repurchase claims. Merrill Lynch and its affiliates have had limited experience with loan-level private-label securitization repurchases as the number of valid repurchase claims received has been limited. In the year ended December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch received \$665 million of new repurchase claims from whole-loan and private-label securitization investors, predominately from private-label securitization trustees received in the fourth quarter of 2011. In the year ended December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch received \$4.7 billion of new repurchase claims, primarily from private-label securitization trustees. Over time, there has been an increase in requests for loan files from certain private-label securitization trustees. Merrill Lynch believes it is likely that these requests will lead to a continued increase in repurchase claims from private-label securitization trustees with standing to bring such claims. The representations and warranties, as governed by the private-label securitization agreements, generally require that counterparties have the ability to both assert a claim and actually prove that a loan has an actionable defect under the applicable contracts. While Merrill Lynch believes the agreements for private-label securitizations and warranties and place higher burdens on investors seeking repurchases than the explicit provisions of comparable agreements with the GSEs, without regard to any variations that may have arisen as a result of dealing with the GSEs, the agreements generally include a representation that underwriting practices were prudent and customary. In the case of private-label securitization trustees, there is currently no established process in place for the parties to reach a conclusion on an individual loan if there is a disagreement on the resolution of the claim.

As of December 31, 2012, the notional amount of unresolved repurchase claims submitted by private-label securitization trustees or whole-loan investors was \$5,805 million. Merrill Lynch has performed an initial review with respect to \$4,696 million of these claims and does not believe a valid basis for repurchase has been established by the claimant, and is still in the process of reviewing the remaining \$1,109 million of these claims.

Other Guarantees

Merrill Lynch provides guarantees to securities clearinghouses and exchanges. Under the standard membership agreement, members are required to guarantee the performance of other members. Under the agreements, if another member becomes unable to satisfy its obligations to the clearinghouse, other members would be required to meet shortfalls. Merrill Lynch's liability under these arrangements is not quantifiable and could exceed the cash and securities it has posted as collateral. However, the potential for Merrill Lynch to be required to make payments under these arrangements is remote. Accordingly, no liability is carried in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for these arrangements.

In connection with its prime brokerage business, Merrill Lynch provides to counterparties guarantees of the performance of its prime brokerage clients. Under these arrangements, Merrill Lynch stands ready to meet the

obligations of its customers with respect to securities transactions. If the customer fails to fulfill its obligation, Merrill Lynch must fulfill the customer's obligation with the counterparty. Merrill Lynch is secured by the assets in the customer's account as well as any proceeds received from the securities transaction entered into by Merrill Lynch on behalf of the customer. No contingent liability is carried in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for these transactions as the potential for Merrill Lynch to be required to make payments under these arrangements is remote.

In connection with its securities clearing business, Merrill Lynch performs securities execution, clearance and settlement services on behalf of other broker-dealer clients for whom it commits to settle trades submitted for or by such clients, with the applicable clearinghouse; trades are submitted either individually, in groups or series or, if specific arrangements are made with a particular clearinghouse and client, all transactions with such clearing entity by such client. Merrill Lynch's liability under these arrangements is not quantifiable and could exceed any cash deposit made by a client. However, the potential for Merrill Lynch to be required to make unreimbursed payments under these arrangements is remote due to the contractual capital requirements associated with clients' activity and the regular review of clients' capital. Accordingly, no liability is carried in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for these transactions.

In connection with certain European mergers and acquisition transactions, Merrill Lynch, in its capacity as financial advisor, in some cases may be required by law to provide a guarantee that the acquiring entity has or can obtain or issue sufficient funds or securities to complete the transaction. These arrangements are short-term in nature, extending from the commencement of the offer through the termination or closing. Where guarantees are required or implied by law, Merrill Lynch engages in a credit review of the acquirer, obtains indemnification and requests other contractual protections where appropriate. Merrill Lynch's maximum liability equals the required funding for each transaction and varies throughout the year depending upon the size and number of open transactions. Based on the review procedures performed, Merrill Lynch believes the likelihood of being required to pay under these arrangements is remote. Accordingly, no liability is recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for these transactions.

In the course of its business, Merrill Lynch routinely indemnifies investors for certain taxes, including U.S. and foreign withholding taxes on interest and other payments made on securities, swaps and other derivatives. These additional payments would be required upon a change in law or interpretation thereof. Merrill Lynch's maximum exposure under these indemnifications is not quantifiable. Merrill Lynch believes that the potential for such an adverse change is remote. As such, no liability is recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Note 15. Employee Benefit Plans

Merrill Lynch provides pension and other postretirement benefits to its employees worldwide through sponsorship of defined contribution pension, defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans. These plans vary based on the country and local practices.

The Bank of America Corporation Corporate Benefits Committee has overall responsibility for the administration of all of Merrill Lynch's employee benefit plans. Merrill Lynch continues as the plan sponsor.

Bank of America maintains certain qualified defined benefit and defined contribution plans covering eligible employees. Eligible Merrill Lynch employees newly hired on or after January 1, 2010 participate in the Bank of America plans with certain exceptions. In connection with a redesign of its retirement plans, Bank of America amended its qualified defined benefit plans to freeze benefits earned effective June 30, 2012. Bank of America will continue to offer retirement benefits through its defined contribution plans. Employees of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries continue to participate in the various local plans.

Merrill Lynch accounts for its defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefit plans in accordance with ASC 715-20-50, *Compensation-Retirement Benefits, Defined Benefit Plans-General* ("Defined Benefit Plan Accounting"). Postemployment benefits are accounted for in accordance with ASC 712, *Compensation-Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits*.

Defined Benefit Plan Accounting requires the recognition of a plan's overfunded or underfunded status as an asset or liability, measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation, with an offsetting adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income. Defined Benefit Plan Accounting also requires the determination of the fair values of a plan's assets at a company's year-end and recognition of actuarial gains and losses, prior service costs or credits, and transition assets and obligations as a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.

Defined Contribution Pension Plans

Merrill Lynch sponsors U.S. defined contribution pension plans previously consisting of the Retirement Accumulation Plan ("RAP"), the Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP"), and the 401(k) Savings & Investment Plan ("SIP"). During 2012 these plans were merged, with the SIP being the successor plan. The Merrill Lynch SIP is closed to new participants with certain exceptions. Beginning July 1, 2012, an additional annual company contribution was made to the SIP and certain of the Bank of America defined contribution plans. Merrill Lynch also receives an allocation of the cost of benefits for employees participating in Bank of America defined contribution plans as described above. Merrill Lynch also has various non-U.S. defined contribution plans. The costs of benefits under the U.S. and non-U.S. plans are expensed during the related service period.

Merrill Lynch contributed approximately \$305 million, \$240 million and \$228 million in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, in cash to the U.S. defined contribution pension plans. Merrill Lynch contributed approximately \$90 million, \$97 million and \$81 million in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, in cash to the non-U.S. defined contribution pension plans.

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

Merrill Lynch previously purchased an annuity contract that guarantees the payment of benefits vested under a U.S. defined benefit pension plan that was terminated (the "U.S. terminated pension plan") in accordance with the applicable provisions of ERISA. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, a substantial portion of the assets supporting the annuity contract were invested in U.S. Government and agency securities. Merrill Lynch, under a supplemental agreement, may be responsible for, or benefit from, actual experience and investment performance of the annuity assets. Merrill Lynch made no contribution under this agreement in 2012, 2011 or 2010. Contributions may be required in the future under this agreement. Merrill Lynch also maintains supplemental defined benefit pension plans (i.e., plans not subject to Title IV of ERISA) for certain U.S. participants. Merrill Lynch expects to pay \$1 million of benefit payments to participants in the U.S. non-qualified pension plans in 2013. Merrill Lynch also receives an allocation of the cost of benefits for employees participating in Bank of America defined benefit plans as described above. Expenses allocated to Merrill Lynch for Bank of America defined benefit plans were not material for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Employees of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries participate in various local defined benefit pension plans. These plans provide benefits that are generally based on years of credited service and a percentage of the employee's eligible compensation during the final years of employment. Merrill Lynch's funding policy has been to contribute annually at least the amount necessary to satisfy local funding standards. Merrill Lynch currently expects to contribute \$82 million to its non-U.S. pension plans in 2013.

Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

Health insurance benefits are provided to eligible retired employees and dependents through Bank of America sponsored plans. The plans cover substantially all U.S. employees who have met age and service requirements. The health care coverage is contributory, with certain retiree contributions adjusted periodically. The accounting for costs of health care benefits for most eligible employees anticipates future changes in cost-sharing provisions. Merrill Lynch also sponsors similar plans that provide health care benefits to eligible retired employees of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, none of these plans had been funded. Merrill Lynch currently expects to pay \$19 million of benefit payments to participants in these plans in 2013.

Merrill Lynch Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Plans

The following table provides a summary of the changes in the fair value of plan assets, projected benefit obligation and funded status for the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011 for Merrill Lynch's U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans.

(dollars in millions)

	U.S. I Ber Pensio	nefit		Non-U.S Ber Pension	nefit			Total Be Pensio	nefit		Postret Pla	tireme ans ⁽²⁾	nt
	2012		2011	2012		2011		2012		2011	2012		2011
Change in fair value of plan assets													
Fair value, January 1	\$ 3,059	\$	2,687	\$ 1,768	\$	1,472	\$	4,827	\$	4,159	\$ _	\$	_
Actual return on plan assets	126		493	94		264		220		757	—		—
Contributions	1		1	127		89		128		90	17		11
Benefits paid	(125)		(122)	(64)		(52)		(189)		(174)	(17)		(11)
Plan transfers ⁽³⁾	_		_	_		10		_		10	_		_
Foreign currency exchange rate changes	_		_	78		(15)		78		(15)	—		—
Fair value, December 31	 3,061		3,059	 2,003		1,768		5,064		4,827	 _		
Change in projected benefit obligation													
Projected benefit obligation, January 1	1,970		1,885	1,672		1,624		3,642		3,509	312		315
Service cost	_		_	38		40		38		40	4		4
Interest cost	90		96	82		84		172		180	14		15
Plan participant contributions	_		_	3		3		3		3	_		_
Plan amendments	_		_	2		2		2		2	_		(21)
Actuarial loss (gain)	225		111	267		(36)		492		75	(24)		10
Benefits paid	(125)		(122)	(64)		(52)		(189)		(174)	(17)		(11)
Plan transfers ⁽³⁾	_		_	_		15		_		15	_		_
Foreign currency exchange rate changes	_		_	69		(8)		69		(8)	1		_
Projected benefit obligation, December 31	 2,160		1,970	 2,069		1,672		4,229		3,642	 290		312
Amount recognized, December 31	\$ 901	\$	1,089	\$ (66)	\$	96	\$	835	\$	1,185	\$ (290)	\$	(312)
Funded status, December 31	\$ 901	\$	1,089	\$ (66)	\$	96	\$	835	\$	1,185	\$ (290)	\$	(312)
Weighted average assumptions, December 31				 			_		_				
Discount rate	3.8%		4.7%	4.2%		4.8%					3.7%		4.7%
Rate of compensation increase	N/A		N/A	4.4		4.5					N/A		N/A
Healthcare cost trend rates: (4)													
Initial	N/A		N/A	N/A		N/A					7.5		8.0
Long-term	N/A		N/A	N/A		N/A					5.0		5.0

(1) Primarily represents the U.K. pension plan, which accounts for 83% of the fair value of plan assets and 70% of the benefit obligation at December 31, 2012.

plan. ⁽³⁾ Plan transfers resulted from employee transfers to and from Merrill Lynch and non-Merrill Lynch subsidiaries of Bank of America.

⁽⁴⁾ The healthcare cost trend rate is assumed to decrease gradually through 2019 and remain constant thereafter.

N/A Not applicable

The accumulated benefit obligation ("ABO") for all defined benefit pension plans was \$4.1 billion and \$3.6 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.



⁽²⁾ Approximately 92% of the postretirement benefit obligation at December 31, 2012 relates to the U.S. postretirement

Table of Contents

Amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011, were as follows:

(dollars in millions)

	U.S. E Ber Pensio	nefit		Non-U.S Ber Pensio	nefit		Total Ber Ber Pensio	nefit		Postret Pl	iremo ans	ent
	 2012		2011	2012		2011	2012		2011	2012		2011
Other assets	\$ 908	\$	1,096	\$ 202	\$	318	\$ 1,110	\$	1,414	\$ _	\$	_
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(7)		(7)	(268)		(222)	(275)		(229)	(290)		(312)
Net amount recognized at December 31	\$ 901	\$	1,089	\$ (66)	\$	96	\$ 835	\$	1,185	\$ (290)	\$	(312)

The projected benefit obligation ("PBO"), ABO, and fair value of plan assets for pension plans with ABO and PBO in excess of plan assets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are presented in the table below. These plans primarily represent U.S. supplemental plans not subject to ERISA or non-U.S. plans where funding strategies vary due to legal requirements and local practices.

(dollars in millions)

	B	Define enefit on Pla			Non-U.S Be Pensio	nefit			Define nefit on Plar	
	2012		2011		2012		2011	2012		2011
Plans with ABO in excess of plan assets										
РВО	\$ 7	\$		7	\$ 605	\$	512	\$ 612	\$	519
ABO	7			7	570		482	577		489
Fair value of plan assets	_		-	_	337		289	337		289
Plans with PBO in excess of plan assets										
РВО	\$ 7	\$		7	\$ 618	\$	512	\$ 625	\$	519
Fair value of plan assets	_		-	_	350		289	350		289

Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss, pre-tax, at December 31, 2012 consisted of:

(dollars in millions)

	U.S. Defined Benefit Pension Plans		Non-U.S. Define Benefit Pension Plans	d	Total Defined Benefit Pension Plans		Postretirement Plans
Actuarial loss (gain)	\$	804	\$	105	\$	909	\$ (10)
Prior service cost		_		4		4	28
Total	\$	804	\$	109	\$	913	\$ 18
Table of Contents

Total pension plan net periodic benefit (income) cost for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 included the following components:

(dollars in millions)

			U.S	S. Pensio	n			N	on-	U.S. Pens	sion				То	tal Pens	ion	
				Plans						Plans						Plans		
		2012		2011		2010		2012		2011		2010		2012		2011		2010
Components of net periodic benefit (income) cost																		
Service cost ⁽¹⁾	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	38	\$	40	\$	30	\$	38	\$	40	\$	30
Interest cost		90		96		101		82		84		79		172		180		180
Expected return on plan assets		(152)		(141)		(138)		(124)		(104)		(88)		(276)		(245)		(226)
Amortization of losses, prior service costs and other		2		5		5		(9)		_		_		(7)		5		5
Net periodic benefit (income) cost	\$	(60)	\$	(40)	\$	(32)	\$	(13)	\$	20	\$	21	\$	(73)	\$	(20)	\$	(11)
Weighted average assumptions used to determine net cost for years ended December 31	_		_		_		_		_				_		_			
Discount rate		4.7%		5.3%		5.8%		4.8%		5.3%		5.4%						
Expected return on plan assets		5.3		5.3		5.3		6.8		6.8		6.8						
Rate of compensation increase		N/A		N/A		N/A		4.5		4.9		4.7						

(1) The U.S. plan was terminated in1988 and thus does not incur service

Total postretirement plan net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 included the following components:

(dollars in millions)

			Po	ostretirement				
	Plans							
		2012		2011		2010		
Components of net periodic benefit cost								
Service cost	\$	4	\$	4	\$	3		
Interest cost		14		15		17		
Amortization of losses, prior service								
costs and other		7		8		10		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	25	\$	27	\$	30		
Weighted average assumptions used to determine net cost for years ended December 31								
Discount rate		4.7%		5.2%		5.8%		
Healthcare cost trend rates:								
Initial		7.5		7.6		8.1		
Long-term		5.0		5.0		5.0		

The net actuarial losses represent changes in the amount of either the projected benefit obligation or plan assets resulting from actual experience being different than that assumed and from changes in assumptions. Gains and losses for all benefits except the postretirement plans are recognized in accordance with standard amortization provisions of the applicable accounting standards. For the postretirement plans, 50% of the unrecognized gain or loss at the beginning of the fiscal year (or at subsequent remeasurement) is recognized on a level basis during the year. The estimated net actuarial gain for the postretirement plans that will be recorded into income over the next fiscal year is approximately \$5 million. The estimated net actuarial loss for the defined benefit pension plans that will be recorded into expense over the next fiscal year is approximately \$15 million.

Plan Assumptions

The discount rate assumption used in determining the benefit obligation for the defined benefit pension plans and postretirement plans is based on a cash flow matching technique and is subject to change each year. This technique



costs. N/A Not applicable

Table of Contents

utilizes yield curves that are based upon Aa-rated corporate bonds with cash flows that match estimated benefit payments of each of the plans to produce the discount rate assumptions. The asset valuation method for the U.S. defined benefit pension plans recognizes 60% of the prior year's market gains and losses at the next measurement date, with the remaining 40% spread equally over the subsequent four years. The asset valuation method for the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans uses the fair market value as of the measurement date.

The expected return on plan assets assumption was developed through analysis of historical market and asset returns, historical asset class volatility and correlations, current market conditions, anticipated future asset allocations, and expectations on potential future market returns. The expected return on plan assets assumption represents a long-term view of the assets in the defined benefit pension plans, a return that may or may not be achieved during one calendar year. The U.S. terminated pension plan, which represents approximately 60% of Merrill Lynch's total pension plan assets as of December 31, 2012, is solely invested in a group annuity contract which is currently 100% invested in fixed income securities. The expected return on plan assets assumption on the non-U.S. pension plans reflects the weighted average long-term return assumption across all funded non-U.S. Plans. Although Merrill Lynch's pension and postretirement benefit plans can be sensitive to changes in the discount rate, it is expected that a 25 basis point rate reduction would not have a material impact on the U.S. or the non-U.S. defined benefit plan expenses for 2013. Such a change would increase the U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plan and the non-U.S. pension plans would result in an expense increase for 2013 of approximately \$7 million and \$5 million, respectively.

A one percent change in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate would have the following effects on the amounts reported for the postretirement plans:

(dollars in millions)		1% Ir	ıcrease		1% D	ecrease	
	:	2012		2011	 2012		2011
Effect on:							
Other postretirement benefits cost	\$	2	\$	2	\$ (2)	\$	(2)
Accumulated benefit obligation		31		29	(26)		(25)

Investment Strategy and Asset Allocation

The U.S. terminated pension plan asset portfolio is structured such that the asset maturities match the duration of the plan's obligations. Consistent with the plan termination in 1988, the annuity contract and the supplemental agreement, the asset portfolio's investment objective calls for a concentration in fixed income securities, the majority of which have investment grade ratings.

The assets of the U.K. pension plan are invested so that the benefits promised to members are provided, considering the nature and the duration of the plan's liabilities. The current planned investment strategy was set following an asset-liability study and advice from the Trustees' investment advisors. The asset allocation strategy selected is designed to achieve a higher return than the lowest risk strategy while maintaining a prudent approach to meeting the plan's liabilities. As a risk control measure, a series of interest rate and inflation risk swaps have been executed covering all of the plan's assets.

The pension plan target allocations for 2013 by asset category are shown below. The Merrill Lynch postretirement benefit plans are not funded and do not hold assets for investment.

	Defined Benefit I	Pension Plans
	U.S. Plan	Non-U.S. Plans
	2013 Target	Allocation
Debt securities	100 %	20-65%
Equity securities	_	10-60%
Real estate	_	0-15%
Other ⁽¹⁾	_	5-40%

⁽¹⁾ Other consists primarily of alternative investments, private equity investments, swaps and real property.

Fair Value Measurements

For information on fair value measurements, including descriptions of Level 1, 2, and 3 of the fair value hierarchy and the valuation methods employed by Merrill Lynch, see Note 1 and Note 4.

Plan assets measured at fair value by level and in total at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are summarized in the tables below:

(dollars in millions)

			Decembe	r 31, 201	12	
	I	evel 1	Level 2		Level 3	Total
Cash and short-term investments						
Money market and interest bearing cash	\$	482	\$ 	\$	_	\$ 482
Fixed Income						
U.S. Government and government agency obligations		164	2,018		13	2,195
Corporate debt securities		_	136		_	136
Asset-backed securities		_	667		_	667
Non-U.S. debt securities		32	214		_	246
Fixed income commingled/mutual funds		52	118		_	170
Equity						
Common and preferred equity securities		147	_		_	147
Equity commingled/mutual funds		31	201		_	232
Real estate commingled/mutual funds		_	10		126	136
Limited partnerships		_	5		175	180
Other investments ⁽¹⁾		1	472		_	473
Total plan investment assets, at fair value	\$	909	\$ 3,841	\$	314	\$ 5,064

(1) Other investments includes swaps of \$311 million, commodity and balanced funds of \$158 million, and various other investments of \$4 million.

(dollars in millions)

				December	31, 201	1	
			Fair V	alue Measurements			
	I	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3	Total
Cash and short-term investments							
Money market and interest bearing cash	\$	190	\$	_	\$		\$ 190
Fixed Income							
U.S. Government and government agency obligations		432		2,085		13	2,530
Corporate debt securities		_		132		_	132
Asset-backed securities		_		399		_	399
Non-U.S. debt securities		20		153		_	173
Fixed income commingled/mutual funds		46		104		_	150
Equity							
Common and preferred equity securities		84		_		_	84
Equity commingled/mutual funds		28		327		_	355
Real estate commingled/mutual funds		_		11		69	80
Limited partnerships		_		5		176	181
Other investments ⁽¹⁾		_		553		_	553
Total plan investment assets, at fair value	\$	800	\$	3,769	\$	258	\$ 4,827

(1) Other investments includes swaps of \$465 million and commodity and balanced funds of \$88 million.

The tables below presents a reconciliation of all plan assets measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

(dollars in millions)

			Year Ended Decemb	er 31,	2012				
	Jai	alance wary 1, 2012	Actual Return on Plan Assets Still Held at the Reporting Date		Purchases	Sales and Settlements	Transfers Into/(Out of) Level 3]	Balance December 31, 2012
U.S. Government and government agency securities	\$	13	\$ _	\$	_	\$ _	\$ _	\$	13
Real estate commingled/mutual funds		69	1		56	_	_		126
Limited partnerships		176	4		10	(15)	—		175
Totals	\$	258	\$ 5	\$	66	\$ (15)	\$ _	\$	314

(dollars in millions)

			Year Ended Decemb	er 31,	2011				
	Jan	llance uary 1, 2011	Actual Return on Plan Assets Still Held at the Reporting Date		Purchases	Sales and Settlements	Transfers Into/(Out of) Level 3	1	Balance December 31, 2011
U.S. Government and government agency securities	\$	14	\$ (1)	\$	_	\$ _	\$ _	\$	13
Real estate commingled/mutual funds		65	1		4	(1)			69
Limited partnerships		175	(7)		13	(5)	_		176
Totals	\$	254	\$ (7)	\$	17	\$ (6)	\$ 	\$	258



(dollars in millions)

				Year Ended Decem	ber 3	1, 2010					
		Balance anuary 1, 2010	Actual Return on Plan Assets Still Held at the Reporting Date		Purchases		Sales and Settlements		Transfers Into/(Out of) Level 3		Balance December 31, 2010
U.S. Government and government agency securities	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	14	\$ 14
Real estate commingled/mutual funds		45		(5)		25		_			65
Limited partnerships		111		10		2		_		52	175
Other investments		110		_		_		_		(110)	_
Totals	\$	266	\$	5	\$	27	\$	_	\$	(44)	\$ 254

Estimated Future Benefit Payments

Expected benefit payments associated with Merrill Lynch's defined benefit pension and postretirement plans for the next five years and in the aggregate for the five years thereafter are as follows:

(dollars in millions)

			ed Benefit ion Plans		Postretirement Plans ⁽³⁾						
	U.S. ⁽¹⁾	No	n-U.S. ⁽²⁾	Total		Gross Payments		Medicare Subsidy		Net Payments	
2013 \$	132	\$	50	\$ 182	\$	22	\$	(3)	\$	19	
2014	141		53	194		23		(3)		20	
2015	143		54	197		23		(3)		20	
2016	145		58	203		24		(4)		20	
2017	146		61	207		24		(4)		20	
2018 through 2022	726		376	1,102		117		(17)		100	

⁽¹⁾ The U.S. defined benefit pension plan payments are primarily funded under the terminated plan annuity contract.

(2) The U.K., Swiss and Japan pension plans payments represent approximately 47%, 15% and 22%, respectively, of the non-U.S. 2013 expected defined benefit pension payments.

⁽³⁾ The U.S. postretirement plan payments, net of Medicare subsidy, represent approximately95% of the total 2013 expected postretirement benefit payments.

Postemployment Benefits

Merrill Lynch provides certain postemployment benefits for employees on extended leave due to injury or illness and for terminated employees. Employees who are disabled due to non-work-related illness or injury are entitled to disability income, medical coverage, and life insurance. Merrill Lynch also provides severance benefits to terminated employees. In addition, Merrill Lynch is mandated by U.S. state and federal regulations to provide certain other postemployment benefits. Merrill Lynch funds these benefits through a combination of self-insured and insured plans.

Note 16. Employee Incentive Plans

Prior to its acquisition by Bank of America, Merrill Lynch sponsored several employee compensation plans that provided eligible employees with stockbased compensation or options to purchase stock. In connection with the acquisition, all stock-based compensation plans of Merrill Lynch were assumed by Bank of America and awards under those plans became payable in Bank of America common stock. Other than the Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. Employee Stock Compensation Plan ("ESCP") and the Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP"), existing Merrill Lynch plans were frozen as to new grants, although all previously granted awards outstanding under such plans continue to be governed by the applicable terms of the plan under which the awards were granted. Following the acquisition, grants with respect to Bank of America common stock may be made to eligible legacy Merrill Lynch employees under the ESCP as well as the Bank of America Corporation 2003 Key Associate Stock Plan ("KASP"). As discussed further below, the ESPP was discontinued effective March 31, 2012.



The total pre-tax compensation cost recognized in earnings for share-based compensation plans for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$1.5 billion, \$1.9 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively. Total related tax benefits recognized in earnings for share-based payment compensation plans for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$0.6 billion, \$0.6 billion and \$0.5 billion, respectively.

Below is a description of Merrill Lynch's share-based payment compensation plans.

Equity Compensation Plans

Prior to 2009, the Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plans ("LTIC Plans") and the Equity Capital Accumulation Plan ("ECAP") provided for grants of equity and equity-related instruments to certain employees. LTIC Plans consist of the Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan, used for grants to executive officers, and the Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan for Managers and Producers, a broad-based plan. LTIC Plans provided for the issuance of restricted shares, restricted units, and non-qualified stock options, as well as incentive stock options, performance shares, performance units, performance options, stock appreciation rights, and other securities of Merrill Lynch. The ECAP provided for the issuance of restricted shares, as well as performance shares. The ECAP was terminated in 2008 and its shares were rolled into the ESCP. Upon Bank of America's acquisition of Merrill Lynch on January 1, 2009, the LTIC Plans were frozen.

The ESCP was amended at the time of the Bank of America acquisition to provide for the issuance of Bank of America common stock. The ESCP covers employees who were salaried key employees of Merrill Lynch immediately prior to the effective date of the Bank of America acquisition, other than executive officers. Under the ESCP, Bank of America may award restricted shares, restricted units, non-qualified stock options and stock appreciation rights. Awards of restricted shares and restricted units are subject to a vesting schedule specified in the grant documentation. There were no shares granted under this plan during 2012 and 2010. Bank of America granted approximately 8 million restricted stock unit awards to certain Merrill Lynch employees in 2011 under the ESCP, which generally vest in three equal annual installments beginning one year from the grant date. Awards granted in 2009 generally vest in three annual installments beginning one year from the grant date. As of December 31, 2012, there were approximately 115 million shares available under the ESCP for future awards. Shares that are canceled, forfeited, or settled in cash from the frozen Merrill Lynch Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan for Managers and Producers will become available for grant under the ESCP. The ESCP expired on February 24, 2013.

Bank of America shareholders approved the KASP to be effective January 1, 2003. Awards to Merrill Lynch employees may also be made under the KASP effective as of January 1, 2009. In 2012, Bank of America issued approximately 141 million restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards to certain Merrill Lynch employees under the KASP. Restricted stock awards generally vest in three equal annual installments beginning one year from the grant date, with the exception of certain awards of restricted stock that were vested and released from restrictions on the grant date and certain awards which will vest subject to the attainment of specified performance goals. Shares that are canceled, forfeited, or settled in cash from the frozen Merrill Lynch Long Term Incentive Compensation Plan and Financial Advisor Capital Accumulation Award Plans (discussed below) will become available to grant under the KASP.

Restricted Shares and Units

Restricted shares are shares of Bank of America common stock carrying voting and dividend rights. A restricted unit is deemed equivalent in fair market value to one share of common stock. Awards of restricted units may be settled in shares of common stock or cash. Recipients of restricted unit awards may receive cash payments equivalent to dividends. The following table presents the activity of the restricted stock/unit awards that were granted as stock settled awards during 2012:

	Restricted Shares/Units	Weighted Average Fair Value Per Share
Outstanding at January 1, 2012	177,485,106	\$ 13.29
Granted — 2012	141,409,035	7.78
Paid, forfeited, or released from contingencies	(223,087,090)	10.00
Transfers in ⁽¹⁾	1,241,157	10.37
Transfers out ⁽¹⁾	(710,779)	14.34
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	96,337,429	12.78

(1) Transfers in and transfers out resulted from employee transfers to and from Merrill Lynch and non-Merrill Lynch subsidiaries of Bank of America.

The total fair value of restricted shares and units granted to retirement-eligible employees, or for which service criteria were satisfied during 2012, was approximately \$469 million. The total fair value of restricted shares and units delivered during 2012 was approximately \$2.2 billion. The fair value of restricted shares and units delivered during stock at the date of grant.

As of December 31, 2012, there was \$1.3 billion of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based payment compensation arrangements. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 0.7 years. In 2012, the amount of cash used to settle equity instruments was \$612 million.

Stock compensation accounting requires the immediate expensing of share-based payment awards granted or modified to retirement-eligible employees, including awards that are subject to non-compete provisions. The above activity includes awards with or without a future service requirement, as follows:

	No Future Servic	No Future Service Required					
	Shares/Units	Weighted Avg Grant Price	Shares/Units	Weighted Avg Grant Price			
Outstanding at January 1, 2012	78,808,825 \$	13.18	98,676,281	\$ 13.39			
Granted — includes net transfers	138,920,149	7.75	3,019,264	8.62			
Paid, forfeited, or released from contingencies	(178,309,788)	9.04	(44,777,302)	13.82			
Service criteria satisfied ⁽¹⁾	8,271,783	13.80	(8,271,783)	13.80			
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	47,690,969	12.96	48,646,460	12.59			

(1) Represents awards granted prior to 2012 for which employees attained retirement-eligibility or for which service criteria were satisfied during 2012.

Stock Appreciation Rights and Non-Qualified Stock Options

The activity for non-qualified stock options and stock appreciation rights under LTIC Plans for the year ended December 31, 2012 is presented below:

	Options Outstanding	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	
Outstanding, end of 2011	60,178,703	\$ 54.53	
Granted — 2012	—	—	
Exercised	—	—	
Forfeited	(27,547,959)	59.90	
Transfers in ⁽¹⁾	1,200,194	45.57	
Transfers out ⁽¹⁾	(443,953)	48.42	
Outstanding, end of 2012	33,386,985	49.86	
Exercisable, end of 2012	33,385,945	49.86	

(1) Transfers in and transfers out resulted from employee transfers to and from Merrill Lynch and non-Merrill Lynch subsidiaries of Bank of America.

All options and stock appreciation rights outstanding as of December 31, 2012 are fully vested or expected to vest.

At December 31, 2012, the weighted-average remaining contractual terms for options outstanding was 2.3 years and for options exercisable was 2.3 years.

There were no stock options granted in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Proceeds from the exercise of stock options were not significant in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

As of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the total intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable was zero.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP")

The ESPP was discontinued effective March 31, 2012. The ESPP allowed eligible associates to invest from one percent to 10 percent of eligible compensation to purchase Bank of America's common stock, subject to legal limits. Purchases were made at a discount of up to five percent of the average high and low market price on the relevant purchase date and the maximum annual contribution per employee was \$23,750 in 2012.

The weighted-average fair value of the ESPP stock purchase rights (i.e., the discount on purchases of Bank of America common stock) exercised by employees in the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$0.39 per stock purchase right.

Financial Advisor Capital Accumulation Award Plans ("FACAAP")

The FACAAP is no longer an active plan and no awards were granted in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. At December 31, 2012, there were 11 million shares awarded under FACAAP outstanding. Prior to 2009, the FACAAP provided for awards to eligible employees in Merrill Lynch's Global Wealth Management division. Payment for an award was contingent upon continued employment for a period of time and subject to forfeiture during that period. Awards granted in 2003 and thereafter are generally payable eight years from the date of grant in a fixed number of shares of Bank of America common stock. For outstanding awards granted prior to 2003 (the"pre-2003 awards"), payment is generally made ten years from the date of grant in a fixed number of shares of Bank of America common stock unless the fair market value of such shares is less than a specified minimum value, in which case the minimum value is paid in cash. In accordance with the terms of the pre-2003 awards, accruals of approximately \$141 million and \$246 million were made in the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, for cash payments made in lieu of shares. There were no accruals made in the year ended December 31, 2012, which was the last year of payment for the pre-2003 awards.

Other Compensation Arrangements

Merrill Lynch sponsors deferred compensation plans in which employees who meet certain minimum compensation thresholds may participate on either a voluntary or mandatory basis. Contributions to the plans are made on a tax-deferred basis by participants. Participants' returns on these contributions may be indexed to various mutual funds and other funds.

Merrill Lynch also sponsors several cash-based employee award programs, under which certain employees are eligible to receive future cash compensation, generally upon fulfillment of the service and vesting criteria for the particular program.

When appropriate, Merrill Lynch maintains various assets as an economic hedge of its liabilities to participants under the deferred compensation plans and award programs. These assets and the payables accrued by Merrill Lynch under the various plans and grants are included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Such assets totaled \$2.7 billion and \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Accrued liabilities at December 31, 2012 and

2011 were \$2.2 billion and \$2.1 billion, respectively. Changes to deferred compensation liabilities and corresponding returns on the assets that economically hedge these liabilities are recorded within compensation and benefits expense on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss).

Note 17. Income Taxes

The components of income tax (benefit) expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended ecember 31, 2011	Year Ended December 31, 2010
Current income tax expense (benefit)			
U.S. federal	\$ (110)	\$ (5,875)	\$ (778)
U.S. state	137	(161)	34
Non-U.S.	210	11	255
Total current expense (benefit)	237	(6,025)	(489)
Deferred income tax (benefit) expense			
U.S. federal	(2,743)	2,199	(566)
U.S. state	(723)	(288)	472
Non-U.S.	681	1,170	731
Total deferred (benefit) expense	 (2,785)	 3,081	 637
Total income tax (benefit) expense ⁽¹⁾	\$ (2,548)	\$ (2,944)	\$ 148

(1) Total income tax (benefit) expense does not reflect the deferred tax effects of unrealized gains and losses on AFS debt securities, foreign currency translation adjustments, derivatives and employee benefit plan adjustments that are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss. As a result of these tax effects, accumulated other comprehensive loss decreased \$238 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, increased\$178 million in the year ended December 31, 2011 and decreased \$322 million in the year ended December 31, 2010. In addition, total income tax (benefit) expense does not reflect the tax effects associated with employee stock compensation plans, which decreased stockholder's equity \$232 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, increased stockholder's equity \$43 million in the year ended December 31, 2011 and decreased \$220 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, increased stockholder's equity \$43 million in the year ended December 31, 2011 and decreased \$232 million in the year ended December 31, 2012.

The income tax (benefit) expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 varied from the amount computed by applying the statutory income tax rate to (loss) income before income taxes. A reconciliation of the expected U.S. federal income tax (benefit) expense using the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35% to Merrill Lynch's actual income tax (benefit) expense and resulting effective tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is presented in the table below.

Table of Contents

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31, 2012				Year E Decemb 201	er 31,	Year En Decembe 2010	er 31,
		Amount	Percent	1	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
U.S. federal income tax at statutory rate		(790)	35.0 %	\$	(1,607)	35.0 %	\$ 1,409	35.0 %
U.S. state and local income taxes, net of federal effect		(381)	16.9		(292)	6.4	329	8.2
Non-U.S.tax differential (1)		(1,908)	84.5		(20)	0.4	(75)	(1.9)
Change in prior period UTBs (including interest)		(135)	6.0		(102)	2.2	31	0.8
Tax-exempt income, including dividends		(103)	4.6		(96)	2.1	(375)	(9.3)
Subsidiary sales and liquidations		_	_		(593)	12.9	_	_
Non-deductible U.K. bank payroll tax		—	_		_		87	2.2
Non-U.S. statutory rate reductions ⁽²⁾		781	(34.6)		845	(18.4)	386	9.6
Change in federal and non-U.S. valuation allowance		41	(1.8)		(1,102)	24.0	(1,657)	(41.1)
Other		(53)	2.2		23	(0.5)	13	0.2
Income tax (benefit) expense	\$	(2,548)	112.8 %	\$	(2,944)	64.1 %	\$ 148	3.7 %

(1) Includes in 2012 a \$1.7 billion income tax benefit attributable to the excess of foreign tax credits recognized in the U.S. upon repatriation of the earnings of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries over the related U.S. tax liability.

(2) Includes charges of \$781 million, \$774 million, and \$386 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, to reduce the carrying value of certain U.K. net deferred tax assets due to U.K. corporate income tax rate reductions.

The reconciliation of the beginning UTB balance to the ending balance is presented in the table below.

Reconciliation of the Change in UTBs

(dollars in millions)

	Year Ende	ed December 31	
	2012	2011	2010
Beginning balance	\$ 1,547 \$	2,261 \$	1,714
Increases related to positions taken during the current year	52	38	97
Increases related to positions taken during prior years ⁽¹⁾	37	450	520
Decreases related to positions taken during prior years ⁽¹⁾	(181)	(967)	(51)
Settlements	(9)	(152)	(3)
Expiration of statute of limitations	(31)	(83)	(16)
Ending balance	\$ 1,415 \$	1,547 \$	2,261

(1) Amounts differ from the tax rate reconciliation table due to temporary items and jurisdictional offsets, as well as the inclusion of interest in the tax rate reconciliation table.

As of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the balance of Merrill Lynch's UTBs which would, if recognized, affect Merrill Lynch's effective tax rate was \$1.1 billion, \$1.2 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively. Included in the UTB balance are some items the recognition of which would not affect the effective tax rate, such as the tax effect of certain temporary differences, the portion of gross state UTBs that would be offset by the tax benefit of the associated federal deduction and the portion of gross non-U.S. UTBs that would be offset by tax reductions.

Merrill Lynch files income tax returns in more than 100 state and non-U.S. jurisdictions each year. The Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and other tax authorities in countries and states in which Merrill Lynch has significant business operations, examine tax returns periodically (continuously in some jurisdictions). The table below summarizes the status of significant tax examinations, by jurisdiction, for Merrill Lynch as of December 31, 2012.

Tax Examination Status

Jurisdiction	Years under examination ⁽¹⁾	Status at December 31, 2012
U.S. federal	2004-2009(2)	See below
U.S. federal	2010-2011(2)	Field examination
U.K.	2011	Field examination

(1) All tax years subsequent to the above years remain open to

examination.

⁽²⁾ From the date of its acquisition by Bank of America, Merrill Lynch has been included in Bank of America's consolidated federal income tax return.

During 2012, Merrill Lynch, Bank of America and the IRS continued to make significant progress toward resolving all federal income tax examinations for Bank of America tax years through 2009 and Merrill Lynch tax years through 2008. While subject to final agreement, including review by the Joint Committee on Taxation of the U.S. Congress for certain years, Merrill Lynch believes that these examinations may be concluded during 2013.

Considering all examinations, it is reasonably possible that the UTB balance will decrease by as much as \$0.9 billion during the next twelve months, since resolved items will be removed from the balance whether their resolution resulted in payment or recognition. If such a decrease were to occur, it likely would primarily result from outcomes consistent with management's expectations.

During 2012 and 2011, Merrill Lynch recognized in income tax expense, a benefit of \$40 million and a benefit of \$135 million, respectively, of interest and penalties, net-of-tax. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, Merrill Lynch's accrual for interest and penalties that related to income taxes, net of taxes and remittances, was \$102 million and \$137 million, respectively.

Significant components of Merrill Lynch's net deferred tax assets at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are presented in the table below.

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2012	De	cember 31, 2011
Deferred tax assets			
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 14,584	\$	14,815
Tax credit carryforwards	3,120		317
Employee compensation and retirement benefits	2,283		2,367
Deferred interest	726		881
Accrued expenses	577		899
Allowance for credit losses	363		490
Securities, loan and debt valuations and investments	321		
Capital loss carryforwards	89		72
Other	150		556
Gross deferred tax assets	22,213		20,397
Valuation allowance	(1,057)		(1,047
Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	21,156		19,350
Deferred tax liabilities			
Long-term borrowings	3,820		3,924
Intangibles	1,166		1,798
Securities, loan and debt valuations and investments			583
Other	852		749
Gross deferred tax liabilities	5,838		7,054
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 15,318	\$	12,296



Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the deferred tax assets and related valuation allowances recognized for the net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards at December 31, 2012.

			Net	
	Deferred	Valuation	Deferred	First Year
	Tax Asset	Allowance	Tax Asset	Expiring
Net operating losses - U.S.	\$ 4,742	\$ _ \$	4,742	After 2028
Net operating losses - U.K. ⁽¹⁾	8,483	_	8,483	None
Net operating losses - other non-U.S.	282	(222)	60	Various
Net operating losses - U.S. states ⁽²⁾	1,166	(358)	808	Various
Tax credits ⁽³⁾	3,120	(271)	2,849	After 2017

⁽¹⁾ The U.K. net operating losses may be carried forward indefinitely.

(2) Amounts above include capital losses. The losses and related valuation allowances for U.S. states before considering

the benefit of federal deductions were \$1.8 billion and \$(551) million, respectively.

⁽³⁾ Primarily U.S. foreign tax credits.

Realization of the deferred tax assets recognized for net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards in the table

above is dependent on Merrill Lynch's or Bank of America's ability to generate sufficient taxable income prior to their expiration. Management concluded that no valuation allowance was necessary to reduce the U.K. NOL carryforwards and U.S. federal NOL carryforwards since estimated future taxable income will more-likely-than-not be sufficient to utilize these assets prior to expiration. The majority of Merrill Lynch's U.K. net deferred tax assets, which consist primarily of NOLs, are realizable by a few non-U.S. subsidiaries that have a recent history of cumulative losses. For the deferred tax assets of those subsidiaries, the cessation of certain business activities, changes to capital and funding, forecasts of business volumes and the indefinite period to carry forward NOLs represent significant positive evidence supporting management's conclusion. However, significant changes to those estimates, such as changes that would be caused by substantial and prolonged worsening of the condition of Europe's capital markets, could lead management to reassess its U.K. valuation allowance conclusions.

Merrill Lynch is included in the consolidated U.S. federal income tax return and certain combined and unitary state income tax returns of Bank of America. At December 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch had a current tax payable to Bank of America of approximately \$0.2 billion as a result of its inclusion in consolidated, combined, and unitary tax return filings with Bank of America.

At December 31, 2012, U.S. federal income taxes had not been provided on \$7.9 billion of undistributed earnings of non-U.S. subsidiaries that management has determined have been reinvested for an indefinite period of time. If Merrill Lynch were to record a deferred tax liability associated with these undistributed earnings, the amount would be approximately \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2012.

Note 18. Regulatory Requirements

As a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America, a bank holding company that is also a financial holding company, Merrill Lynch is subject to the oversight of, and inspection by, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Certain U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries are subject to various securities and banking regulations and capital adequacy requirements promulgated by the regulatory and exchange authorities of the countries in which they operate. These regulatory restrictions may impose regulatory capital requirements and limit the amounts that these subsidiaries can pay in dividends or advance to ML & Co. The principal regulated subsidiaries of ML & Co. are discussed below.

Securities Regulation

As a registered broker-dealer and futures commission merchant, MLPF&S is subject to the uniform net capital requirements of SEC Rule 15c3-1, and CFTC Regulation 1.17. MLPF&S has elected to compute the minimum capital requirement in accordance with the "Alternative Net Capital Requirement" as permitted by SEC Rule 15c3-1. At December 31, 2012, MLPF&S's regulatory net capital as defined by Rule 15c3-1 was \$10.3 billion and exceeded the minimum requirement of \$683 million by \$9.6 billion.

In accordance with the Alternative Net Capital Requirement, MLPF&S is required to maintain tentative net capital in excess of \$1.0 billion net capital in excess of \$500 million and notify the SEC in the event its tentative net capital is less than \$5 billion. As of December 31, 2012, MLPF&S had tentative net capital and net capital in excess of the minimum and notification requirements.

MLI, a U.K. regulated investment firm, is subject to capital requirements of the U.K.'s FSA. Financial resources, as defined, must exceed the total financial resources requirement set by the FSA. December 31, 2012, MLI's financial resources were \$19.4 billion, exceeding the minimum requirement by \$4.3 billion.

MLJS, a Japan-based regulated broker-dealer, is subject to capital requirements of the Japanese Financial Services Agency ("JFSA"). Net capital, as defined, must exceed 120% of the total risk equivalents requirement of the JFSA. At December 31, 2012, MLJS's net capital was \$2.6 billion, exceeding the minimum requirement by \$2.0 billion.

Banking Regulation

(dollars in millions)

MLIB, an Ireland-based regulated bank, is subject to the capital requirements of the Central Bank of Ireland ("CBI"). MLIB is required to meet minimum regulatory capital requirements under the European Union ("EU") banking law as implemented in Ireland by the CBI. At December 31, 2012, MLIB's financial resources were \$13.6 billion exceeding the minimum requirement by \$4.1 billion.

Note 19. Quarterly Information (Unaudited)

The unaudited quarterly results of operations of Merrill Lynch for the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations for the periods presented. Results of any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

		For the Quarter Ended													
]	Dec 31, 2012		Sep 30, 2012		Jun 30, 2012		Mar 31, 2012]	Dec 31, 2011		Sep 30, 2011	Jun 30, 2011]	Mar 31, 2011
Total revenues	\$	7,255	\$	6,419	\$	6,969	\$	6,672	\$	5,545	\$	8,075	\$ 9,303	\$	10,259
Interest expense		1,605		1,732		1,854		1,907		1,840		2,202	2,390		2,353
Revenues, net of interest expense		5,650		4,687		5,115		4,765		3,705		5,873	6,913		7,906
Non-interest expenses		5,487		5,509		4,897		6,582		6,124		6,260	9,365		7,239
Pre-tax earnings (loss)		163		(822)		218		(1,817)		(2,419)		(387)	 (2,452)		667
Income tax (benefit) expense		(1,813)		246		(770)		(211)		(1,606)		(537)	(1,020)		219
Net earnings (loss)	\$	1,976	\$	(1,068)	\$	988	\$	(1,606)	\$	(813)	\$	150	\$ (1,432)	\$	448

Note 20. Sale of International Wealth Management Businesses and Brokerage Joint Venture Investment

In 2012, Merrill Lynch entered into agreements to sell its International Wealth Management ("IWM") businesses based outside of the U.S., subject to regulatory approval in multiple jurisdictions, and the first of a series of closings occurred in February 2013. Also, in late 2012, Merrill Lynch sold its investment in a Japanese brokerage joint venture, which resulted in a gain of approximately \$370 million. The IWM businesses and the Japanese brokerage joint venture had combined client balances of approximately \$115 billion.

Note 21. Parent Company Information

The following tables present Parent Company Only financial information:

	e Year Ended Iber 31, 2012	e Year Ended 1ber 31, 2011	e Year Ended 1ber 31, 2010
Revenues			
Principal transactions	\$ (2,116)	\$ 1,189	\$ 5
Management service fees (from affiliates)	—	—	213
Earnings from equity method investments	25	24	25
Other revenue	286	546	336
Subtotal	(1,805)	 1,759	 579
Interest revenue	4,420	3,712	2,999
Less interest expense	 4,324	 4,458	 4,550
Net interest revenue (expense)	 96	 (746)	 (1,551)
Revenues, net of interest expense	(1,709)	 1,013	 (972)
Non-interest expenses			
Compensation and benefits	536	704	430
Professional fees	7	15	57
Communications and technology	11	21	32
Occupancy and related depreciation	27	39	51
Other	528	615	673
Total non-interest expenses	 1,109	 1,394	 1,243
Pre-tax loss	(2,818)	 (381)	 (2,215)
Income tax benefit	(2,209)	(1,874)	(1,469)
Equity in earnings (loss) of affiliates, net of tax	899	(3,140)	4,626
Net earnings (loss)	290	 (1,647)	 3,880
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(292)	19	(142)
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (2)	\$ (1,628)	\$ 3,738
Preferred stock dividends	 _	 _	 134
Net earnings (loss) applicable to common stockholder	\$ 290	\$ (1,647)	\$ 3,746

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (Parent Company Only) Condensed Statements of Earnings (Loss) and Comprehensive (Loss) Income

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (Parent Company Only) Condensed Balance Sheets

(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	De	cember 31, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	124	\$	105
Investment securities		459		495
Receivables from Bank of America		17,240		4,169
Advances to affiliates				
Senior advances		48,629		66,016
Subordinated loans and preferred securities		18,862		54,226
		67,491		120,242
Investments in affiliates		76,743		41,767
Goodwill and other intangible assets		3,508		3,579
Other assets		4,287		4,606
Total Assets	\$	169,852	\$	174,963
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY				
Liabilities				
Payables to affiliates	\$	26,951	\$	21,616
Other liabilities and accrued interest payable		6,183		5,289
Long-term borrowings (includes \$11,422 in 2012 and \$13,389 in 2011				
measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)		72,318		89,018
Total Liabilities		105,452		115,923
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES				
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY				
Common stock (par value $1.33^{1/3}$ per share; authorized; 3,000,000,000 shares; issued: 2012 and 2011 — 1,000 shares)		_		_
Paid-in capital		56,127		50,765
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (net of tax)		(527)		(235)
Retained earnings		8,800		8,510
Total Stockholder's Equity		64,400		59,040
Total Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	\$	169,852	\$	174,963

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (Parent Company Only) Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

	or the Year Ended ecember 31, 2012	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011		For the Year Ended December 31, 2010
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 290	\$ (1,6	17) \$	3,880
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to cash (used for) provided by operating activities:				
Equity in loss (earnings) of affiliates	(899)	3,14	0	(4,626
Depreciation and amortization	87	9	7	98
Share-based compensation expense	385	48	6	167
Gains on repurchases of long-term borrowings	(405)	-	_	—
Fair value adjustments on structured notes	2,116	(1,20	0)	156
Deferred taxes	(1,210)	(2,5)	1)	(317
Foreign exchange (gains) losses on long-term borrowings	(79)	(4	94)	(2,171
Other	597	2,7	7	1,994
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Receivables under resale agreements	_	-	_	1,022
Receivables from Bank of America	(8,840)	5,4	7	(4,708
Payables under repurchase agreements	_	-	_	(7,177
Dividends and partnerships distributions from affiliates	189	99	5	46
Other, net	3,787	2,5	14	3,270
Cash (used for) provided by operating activities	 (3,982)	9,6	:4	(8,366
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Proceeds from (payments for):				
Advances to affiliates	57,853	8,8	:0	23,666
Maturities of available-for-sale securities	_	-	_	557
Sales of available-for-sale securities	_		_	10,190
Non-qualifying investments	36	1	4	33
Investments in affiliates	(34,268)	1,8	6	(2,302)
Cash provided by investing activities	 23,621	10,69	0	32,144
Cash flows from financing activities:		-		
Payments for:				
Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings	(19,620)	(20,58	8)	(23,739)
Dividends	_		_	(134
Cash used for financing activities	 (19,620)	(20,58	8)	(23,873
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	 19	(2	(4)	(95
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	105	35	9	474
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 124	\$ 10		379
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:				
Income taxes paid	\$ 125	\$ 1	60 \$	40
Income taxes refunded ⁽¹⁾	(2,677)	(3	5)	(1,703
Interest paid	3,896	1,74		2,968

(1) Included in income taxes refunded are amounts received from Bank of America that represent payments for utilization of Merrill Lynch & Co.'s net tax deductions in the consolidated Bank of America tax returns in accordance with the intercompany tax allocation policy.

Non-cash investing and financing activities:

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2010, Merrill Lynch received non-cash capital contributions of approximately \$1.1 billion and \$1.0 billion, respectively, from Bank of America associated with certain employee stock compensation awards. In addition, as of January 1, 2010, Merrill Lynch assumed assets and liabilities in connection with the consolidation of certain VIEs. See Note 9. In October 2010, Merrill Lynch's mandatory convertible preferred stock was automatically converted to Bank of America common stock. The redemption was settled through a non-cash intercompany transaction. Effective on January 1, 2009, Merrill Lynch acquired the net assets of two affiliates and their respective subsidiaries from Bank of America. This was recorded as a non-cash capital contribution. See Note 1. In addition, effective on January 1, 2009, Bank of America contributed the net assets of Bank of America Securities Holdings Corporation to Merrill Lynch.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. <u>Controls and</u> <u>Procedures</u>

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report and pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), Merrill Lynch's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of ML & Co., conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness and design of Merrill Lynch's disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Merrill Lynch's disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Merrill Lynch's disclosure controls and procedures were effective, as of the end of the period covered by this report, in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting information required to be disclosed by ML & Co. in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management recognizes its responsibility for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting.

Merrill Lynch's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Merrill Lynch's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that Merrill Lynch's receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of Merrill Lynch's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*. Based on that assessment, management believes that Merrill Lynch maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Merrill Lynch's independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an opinion on the effectiveness of Merrill Lynch's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. This report appears under "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No change in Merrill Lynch's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred in the fourth quarter of 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Merrill Lynch's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate</u> Governance

Not required pursuant to General Instruction I (2).

Item 11. <u>Executive</u> <u>Compensation</u>

Not required pursuant to General Instruction I (2).

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters Matters

Not required pursuant to General Instruction I (2).

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Not required pursuant to General Instruction I (2).

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Subsequent to our acquisition by Bank of America and consistent with SEC requirements, we follow the policies established by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Bank of America (the "BAC Audit Committee") regarding engagements of the provision of audit services and permitted nonaudit services to us by the independent registered public accounting firm and by any other accounting firm proposed to be retained to provide audit services (e.g., in compliance with a foreign statute) or non-audit services.

On an annual basis the BAC Audit Committee pre-approves a list of services and sets pre-approved fee levels that may be provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and their affiliates ("PwC"), our registered independent public accounting firm, without obtaining engagement specific pre-approval from the BAC Audit Committee. The pre-approved list of services consists of audit services, audit-related services, tax services and all other services. All requests or applications for PwC audit, audit-related services, tax services or all other services must be submitted to members of our corporate audit function or tax function to determine if the services are included within the pre-approved list of services that have received BAC Audit Committee pre-approval. Any type of service that is not included on the pre-approved list of services but will cause the pre-approved fee level to be exceeded also requires specific pre-approval by the BAC Audit Committee or its designee, the BAC Audit Committee Chairman, provided that any such pre-approval by the BAC Audit Committee Chairman is presented to the full BAC Audit Committee at its next meeting. All of the services provided by, and fees paid to, PwC in 2012 were pre-approved by the BAC Audit Committee, and there were no services for which the de minimis exception permitted in certain circumstances under SEC rules was utilized.

PwC's 2012 and 2011 Fees

PwC's fees for professional services rendered in, or provided for, 2012 and 2011 were:

(dollars in millions)

2012		2011
\$ 44.2	\$	45.5
6.4		4.6
4.3		3.7
_		—
\$ 54.9	\$	53.8
\$	6.4 4.3 —	\$ 44.2 \$ 6.4 4.3

⁽¹⁾ Audit fees consisted of fees billed by PwC related to the audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements filed with the SEC, the audits of domestic and international statutory and subsidiary financial statements and certain agreed upon procedures and other attestation reports. Audit fees are those billed or expected to be billed for audit services related to each fiscal year.

⁽²⁾ Audit-related fees consisted of fees billed by PwC for other audit and attest services, and financial accounting, reporting and compliance matters. Fees for audit-related services are for those services rendered during each fiscal year.

(3) Tax fees billed by PwC for services performed for tax compliance, advisory and planning services rendered during the fiscal year are billed to Bank of America on a consolidated basis and excluded from the table above. The tax fees above include preparation of certain tax returns (principally Forms 1041 and 990) for certain customer trusts and foundations.

⁽⁴⁾ All other fees, if incurred, would consist primarily of amounts billed for permissible advisory services and other miscellaneous services.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The following documents are filed as part of this report:

(1) Financial

Statements:

The Consolidated Financial Statements required in response to this Item are incorporated herein by reference from Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(2) Exhibits

An exhibit index has been filed as part of this report beginning on page E-1 and is incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on the 28th day of February 2013.

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.

By /s/ Thomas K. Montag

Name: Thomas K. Montag Title: Director and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities indicated on the 28th day of February 2013.

<u>Signature</u>		<u>Title</u>
/s/ Thomas K. Montag		Director and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
Thomas	K. Montag	
*/s/ Jennifer M. Hill		Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
Jennifer 1	M. Hill	
*/s/ Anthony Biniaris		Chief Accounting Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)
Anthony	Biniaris	
*/s/ Brian T. Moynihan		Chairman and Director
Brian T.	Moynihan	
*/s/ Terrence P. Laughlin		Director
Terrence	P. Laughlin	
*/s/ Bruce R. Thompson		Director
Bruce R.	Thompson	
*By:	/s/ Lauren A. Mogensen	
	Lauren A. Mogensen Attorney-in-Fact	
		154

EXHIBIT INDEX

Certain exhibits were previously filed by ML & Co. as exhibits to other reports or registration statements and are incorporated herein by reference as indicated parenthetically below. ML & Co.'s Exchange Act file number is 001-07182. For convenience, ML & Co.'s Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and Registration Statements on Form S-3 are designated and referred to herein as "Form 10-K," "Form 10-Q," "Form 8-K" and "Form S-3," respectively.

Plan of acquisition, reorganization, arrangement, liquidation or succession

Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 15, 2008, by and between Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Bank of America Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K dated September 19, 2008).

Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of ML & Co., effective as of May 3, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Form 8-K dated November 14, 2005).
- 3.2 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications, restrictions and limitations relating to ML & Co.'s Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 1, par value \$1.00 per share, effective as of October 25, 2004 (the "Series 1 Preferred Stock") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 and 4.1 to Form 8-K dated November 14, 2005).
- 3.3 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications, restrictions and limitations relating to ML & Co.'s Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 2, par value \$1.00, effective as of March 9, 2005 (the "Series 2 Preferred Stock") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 and 4.2 to Form 8-K dated November 14, 2005).
- 3.4 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications, restrictions and limitations relating to ML & Co.'s 6.375% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 3, par value \$1.00 per share, effective as of November 14, 2005 (the "Series 3 Preferred Stock") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 and 4.3 to Form 8-K dated November 14, 2005).
- 3.5 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications, restrictions and limitations relating to ML & Co.'s Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 4, par value \$1.00 per share, effective as of November 14, 2005 (the "Series 4 Preferred Stock") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 and 4.4 to Form 8-K dated November 14, 2005).
- 3.6 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications, restrictions and limitations relating to ML & Co.'s Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 5, par value \$1.00 per share, effective as of March 16, 2007 (the "Series 5 Preferred Stock") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 and 4.5 to Form 8-K dated March 21, 2007).
- 3.7 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications, restrictions and limitations relating to ML & Co.'s 6.70% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series 6, par value \$1.00 per share, effective as of September 21, 2007 (the "Series 6 Preferred Stock") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.7 and 4.6 to Form 8-K dated September 24, 2007).
- 3.8 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications, restrictions and limitations relating to ML & Co.'s 6.25% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series 7, par value \$1.00 per share, effective as of September 21, 2007 (the "Series 7 Preferred Stock") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.8 and 4.7 to Form 8-K dated September 24, 2007).
- 3.9 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications, restrictions and limitations relating to ML & Co.'s 9.00% Non-Voting Mandatory Convertible Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 1, par value \$1.00 per share, effective as of January 15, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.9 and 4.8 to Form 8-K dated January 16, 2008).
- 3.1 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications, restrictions and limitations relating to ML & Co.'s 8.625% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 8 (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 3.10 and 4.9 to Form 8-K dated April 29, 2008).
- 3.11 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications, restrictions and limitations relating to ML & Co.'s 9.00% Non-Voting Mandatory Convertible Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 2, par value \$1.00 per share and liquidation preference \$100,000 per share (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 3.11 and 4.10 to Form 8-K dated August 1, 2008).
- 3.12 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications, restrictions and limitations relating to ML & Co.'s 9.00% Non-Voting Mandatory Convertible Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 3, par value \$1.00 per share and liquidation preference \$100,000 per share (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 3.12 and 4.11 are incorporated by reference to Form 8-K dated August 1, 2008).

E-1

- 3.13 Certificate of Merger merging MER Merger Corporation with and into Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K dated January 2, 2009).
- 3.14 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Designations of 9.00% Non-Voting Mandatory Convertible Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 2 of ML & Co. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K dated January 2, 2009).
- 3.15 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Designations of 9.00% Non-Voting Mandatory Convertible Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 3 of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Form 8-K dated January 2, 2009).
- 3.16 By-Laws of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. as of January 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to Form 8-K dated January 2, 2009).

Instruments Defining the Rights of Security Holders, Including Indentures ML & Co. hereby undertakes to furnish to the SEC, upon request, copies of any agreements not filed defining the rights of holders of long-term debt securities of ML & Co., none of which authorize an amount of securities that exceed 10% of the total assets of ML & Co.

- 4.1 Senior Indenture, dated as of April 1, 1983, as amended and restated as of April 1, 1987, between ML & Co. and The Bank of New York Mellon¹, as Trustee ("1983 Senior Indenture") and the Supplemental Indenture thereto dated as of March 5, 1990 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(i) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 29, 1999 ("1999 10-K")).
- 4.2 Sixth Supplemental Indenture to the 1983 Senior Indenture, dated as of October 25, 1993, between ML & Co. and The Bank of New York Mellon (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(ii) to 1999 10-K).
- 4.3 Twelfth Supplemental Indenture to the 1983 Senior Indenture, dated as of September 1, 1998, between ML & Co. and The Bank of New York Mellon (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Form 8-K dated October 21, 1998).
- 4.4 Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture to the 1983 Senior Indenture, dated as of October 14, 2003, between ML & Co. and The Bank of New York Mellon (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)(ix) to Form S-3 (file no. 333-109802))
- 4.5 Eighteenth Supplemental Indenture to the 1983 Senior Indenture, dated as of October 21, 2004, between Merrill Lynch and The Bank of New York Mellon, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)(xiv) to Form S-3 (file no. 333-122639).
- 4.6 Senior Indenture, dated as of October 1, 1993 between ML & Co. and The Bank of New York Mellon ("1993 Senior Indenture") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (4)(iv) to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 25, 1998 ("1998 10-K")).
- 4.7 First Supplemental Indenture to the 1993 Senior Indenture, dated as of June 1, 1998, between ML & Co. and The Bank of New York Mellon (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Form 8-K dated July 2, 1998).
- 4.8 Form of Subordinated Indenture, dated as of December 17, 1996, between ML & Co. and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee ("1996 Subordinated Indenture") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to Amendment No. 2 to Form S-3 (file no. 333-16603).
- 4.9 Supplemental Indenture to the 1996 Subordinated Indenture, dated as of May 16, 2006, between ML & Co. and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Form 8-K dated May 16, 2006).
- 4.1 Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of December 14, 2006, between Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee ("2006 Junior Subordinated Indenture") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Form 8-K dated December 14, 2006).
- 4.11 First Supplemental Indenture to the 2006 Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of December 14, 2006, between Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to Form 8-K dated December 14, 2006).
- 4.12 Second Supplemental Junior Subordinated Indenture to the 2006 Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of May 2, 2007, between ML & Co. and The Bank of New York Mellon, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to Form 8-K dated May 2, 2007).
- 4.13 Third Supplemental Indenture to the 2006 Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of August 22, 2007, between ML & Co. and The Bank of New York Mellon, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to Form 8-K dated August 22, 2007).

⁽¹⁾ As used in this section of this Report, "The Bank of New York Mellon" means The Bank of New York Mellon, a New York banking corporation and successor to the corporate trust business of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., the entity formerly known as JPMorgan Chase Bank, The Chase Manhattan Bank and Chemical Bank (successor by merger to Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company).

E-2

Table of Contents

Material Contracts

- 10.1† Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. 2010 Performance Year Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Form S-8 (file no. 333-163003)).
- 10.2† Form of Agreement dated May 1, 2008 with Thomas K. Montag (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K dated May 2, 2008).
- Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. 2011 Performance Year Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Form S-8 (file no. 333-10.3† 170404)).
- Agreement and Plan of Merger dated November 1, 2010 between Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Bank of America Securities Holdings Corporation 10.4 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.01 of Form 8-K dated November 1, 2010)).
- 10.5† Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. 2012 Performance Year Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Form S-8 (file no. 333-177874)).
- 10.6† Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Form S-8 (file no. 333-184920)).
- Statement re: computation of earnings per common share (the calculation of per share earnings is in Part II, Item 8, Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial 11 Statements (Stockholders' Equity and Earnings Per Share) and is not required in accordance with Section(b)(11) of Item 601 of Regulation S-K). 12* Statement re: computation of ratios.
- 23* Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm of the Registrant.
- 24* Power of Attorney.
- 31.1* Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2* Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1* Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2* Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101* The following materials from Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss), (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Comprehensive (Loss) Income, (iii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholder's Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Filed herewith

E-3

[†] Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES COMPUTATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES AND COMBINED FIXED CHARGES AND PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS (dollars in millions)

		Suc	cess	or Company					P	redecessor Company	
_		Year Ended December 31, 2012		Year Ended December 31, 2011	Decembe	Year Ended December 31, 2010		Year Ended December 31, 2009		Year Ended December 26, 2008	
Pre-tax (loss) earnings (a)	\$	(2,574)	\$	(5,344) \$	5	2,812	\$	6,527	\$	(45,438)	
Add: Fixed charges (excluding capitalized interest and preferred security dividend requirements of subsidiaries)		7,387		9,098		9,924		12,335		29,641	
Pre-tax earnings (loss) before fixed charges	\$	4,813	\$	3,754	\$	12,736	\$	18,862	\$	(15,797)	
Fixed charges:											
Interest	\$	7,098	\$	8,785	5	9,610	\$	12,035	\$	29,349	
Other (b)		289		313		314		300		292	
Total fixed charges	\$	7,387	\$	9,098	\$	9,924	\$	12,335	\$	29,641	
Preferred stock dividend requirements		_		_		140		141		4,356	
Total combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends	\$	7,387	\$	9,098	5	10,064	\$	12,476	\$	33,997	
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges		*		*	1.28			1.53		*	
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends		*		*	1.27			1.51		*	

On January 1, 2009, Merrill Lynch (the "Predecessor Company") was acquired by Bank of America through the merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America with and into ML & Co. (the "Successor Company") continuing as the surviving corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America. The Predecessor Company and Successor Company periods have been separated by a vertical line above to highlight the fact that the financial information for such periods has been prepared under two different cost bases of accounting.

(a) Excludes undistributed earnings (loss) from equity investments and earnings from discontinued operations.(b) Other fixed charges consist of the interest factor in rentals, amortization of debt issuance costs and preferred security dividend requirements of subsidiaries.

*The earnings for the years 2012, 2011 and 2008 were inadequate to cover total fixed charges and total fixed charges and preferred stock dividends.

The coverage deficiencies for total fixed charges for the years 2012, 2011 and 2008 were \$2,574 million, \$5,344 million and \$45,438 million, respectively.

The coverage deficiencies for total fixed charges and preferred stock dividends for the years 2012, 2011 and 2008 were \$2,574 million, \$5,344 million and \$49,794 million, respectively.

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-179693) and Registration Statements on Form S-8 (No. 333-163003, No. 333-170404, No. 333-177874, No. 333-184920) of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. of our report dated February 28, 2013 relating to the financial statements and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Form 10-K.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP New York, New York February 28, 2013

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and the several undersigned officers and directors whose signatures appear below, hereby makes, constitutes and appoints Gary G. Lynch, Lauren A. Mogensen, Ross E. Jeffries, Jr. and Michael Pressman, and each of them acting individually, its, his or her true and lawful attorneys with power to act without any other and with full power of substitution, to prepare, execute, deliver and file in its, his or her name and on its, his or her behalf, and in each of the undersigned officer's and director's capacity or capacities as shown below, an Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, and all exhibits thereto and all documents in support thereof or supplemental thereto, and any and all amendments or supplements to the foregoing, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys or attorney might do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. has caused this power of attorney to be signed on its behalf, and each of the undersigned officers and directors, in the capacity or capacities noted, has hereunto set his or her hand as of the date indicated below. MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC.

> By: <u>/s/ Thomas K. Montag</u> Thomas K. Montag Director and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: February 28, 2013

	<u>Signature</u>	Title	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ Thomas K. Monta</u> Thomas K. Montag	g	Director and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	February 28, 2013
<u>/s/ Jennifer M. Hill</u> Jennifer M. Hill		Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	February 28, 2013
<u>/s/ Anthony Biniaris</u> Anthony Biniaris		Chief Accounting Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)	February 28, 2013
<u>/s/ Brian T. Moyniha</u> Brian T. Moynihan	<u>n</u>	Chairman and Director	February 28, 2013
<u>/s/ Terrence P. Laug</u> Terrence P. Laughlir		Director	February 28, 2013
<u>/s/ Bruce R. Thomps</u> Bruce R.Thompson	<u>ən</u>	Director	February 28, 2013

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 FOR THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

I, Thomas K. Montag, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 28, 2013

/s/ Thomas K. Montag

Thomas K. Montag Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 FOR THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

I, Jennifer M. Hill, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 28, 2013

/s/ Jennifer M. Hill

Jennifer M. Hill Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (the "registrant") as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Thomas K. Montag, Chief Executive Officer of the registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

Date: February 28, 2013

/s/ Thomas K. Montag

Thomas K. Montag Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (the "registrant") as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Jennifer M. Hill, Chief Financial Officer of the registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

Date: February 28, 2013

/s/ Jennifer M. Hill

Jennifer M. Hill Chief Financial Officer